# EXHIBIT 19



## Transcript of the Deposition of **Jennifer Walker**

**Case:** James Fletcher Jr. v. Jerome Bogucki; et al. **Taken On:** February 14, 2024

Royal Reporting Services, Inc. Phone:312.361.8851

Email:info@royalreportingservices.com Website: www.royalreportingservices.com

	Page 1
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTI NORTHERN DISTRICT OF II EASTERN DIVISION	
JAMES FLETCHER JR.,	)
Plaintiff,	)
VS.	) No. 20 CV 4786
JEROME BOGUCKI, ANTHONY NORADIN, RAYMOND SCHALK, ANTHONY WOJCIK, UNKNOWN CITY OF CHICAGO POLICE OFFICERS, and the CITY OF CHICAGO,	) ) ) ) ) ) )
Defendants.	, )

The deposition of JENNIFER WALKER, called by the Defendant for examination, taken pursuant to notice and pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for the United States District Courts pertaining to the taking of depositions, taken via videoconference before Kari Wiedenhaupt, Certified Shorthand Reporter commencing at 10:32 a.m. on February 14, 2024.

```
Page 2
1
     APPEARANCES (via videoconference):
2
       LOEVY & LOEVY
       MR. SEAN STARR
       311 North Aberdeen Street
       3rd Floor
       Chicago, Illinois 60607
       Phone: 312.243.5900
5
       E-mail: sean@loevy.com
 6
            On behalf of the Plaintiff;
7
       REITER BURNS
       MR. DANIEL J. BURNS
       311 South Wacker Drive
       Suite 5200
       Chicago, Illinois 60606
9
       Phone: 312.982.0900
10
       E-Mail: dburns@reiterburns.com
11
            On behalf of the Defendant, City of
            Chicago;
12
       HALE & MONICO, LLC
13
       MS. ALLYSON L. WEST
       53 West Jackson Boulevard
14
       Suite 334
       Chicago, Illinois 60604
       Phone: 312.341.9646
15
       E-mail: awest@halemonico.com
16
            On behalf of the Individual Defendants;
17
18
       LAW OFFICES OF JOHN C. COYNE
       MR. JOHN C. COYNE
19
       53 West Jackson Boulevard
       Suite 1750
20
       Chicago, Illinois 60604
       Phone: 312.929.4308
21
       E-mail: jcc@johnccoynelaw.com
22
            On behalf of the Deponent;
23
2.4
```

### Case: 1:20-cv-04768 Document #: 184-19 Filed: 05/06/25 Page 5 of 118 PageID #:5810

```
Page 3
     APPEARANCES (via videoconference) CONT'D:
 1
 2
       COOK COUNTY STATE'S ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
       MR. WILLIAM CLIFTON HOLMES
       500 Richard J. Daley Center
 3
       Chicago, Illinois 60602
       Phone: 312.603.5440
       E-mail: william.holmes@cookcountysao.org
 5
            On behalf of the State's Attorney's
            Office:
 6
 7
       ALSO PRESENT: Lo Ramanujam, paralegal at
 8
                      Hale & Monico
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

## Case: 1:20-cv-04768 Document #: 184-19 Filed: 05/06/25 Page 6 of 118 PageID #:5811

		Page 4
1	I N D E X	
2	WITNESS	PAGE
3	JENNIFER WALKER	
4	Examination by Ms. West	5
5	Examination by Mr. Starr	159
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11	EXHIBITS	
12	NUMBER	MARKED FOR ID
13	Walker Deposition Exhibit	
14	No. 1	17
15	No. 2	66
16	No. 3	88
17	No. 4	125
18	No. 5	130
19	No. 6	136
20	No. 7	144
21	No. 8	156
22		
23		
24		

Page 5 Page 7 1 1 (Whereupon, the witness was duly Q. Okay. And did you review those 2 2 sworn.) documents? 3 3 JENNIFER WALKER, A. I did read them, yes. 4 having been first duly sworn, was examined via 4 Q. Okay. Do you recall specifically what 5 5 documents were included in that packet? videoconference and testified as follows: 6 6 WHEREUPON: A. So I have them -- I printed them out, 7 **EXAMINATION** 7 and they are to my left. And so if I'm looking 8 8 over here, I'm looking at the documents, just so BY MS. WEST: 9 9 Q. Ms. Walker, can you please state your you know. 10 10 Q. Okay. full name for the record and spell your last 11 name, please? 11 A. There was an attachment full of what 12 A. Sure. Jennifer, J-E-N-N-I-F-E-R, 12 appears to be what we would call Felony --13 copies of Felony Review files. 13 Walker, W-A-L-K-E-R. 14 14 Q. Ms. Walker, I -- my name is Allyson Q. Uh-huh. 15 15 A. There is a handwritten -- what appears West. I represent some individual officers that 16 to be a handwritten statement of Terry Rogers 16 are sued in a lawsuit by James Fletcher. 17 17 and a grand jury transcript involving testimony Have you ever been deposed before? 18 of Terry Rogers, a -- what appears to be a 18 A. I have. 19 19 Q. Okay. How many times have you been handwritten statement of Sheeree [sic] Friend, 20 deposed before? 20 and grand jury testimony of Sheeree Friend, a --21 A. I believe twice, but I'm not 21 what appears to be a CPD sup report from 22 22 December of 1990, a document entitled 100 percent sure. 23 "Declaration" made by someone named Emmett Wade, 23 Q. Okay. I won't bore you with all the 24 a Felony 101 sheet, a grand jury transcript of 24 ground rules, but if at any point you need to Page 6 Page 8 1 1 take a break, please let me know, and we'll take Jennifer Gonzalez's testimony that looks like it 2 2 that break. was an indictment. 3 If you any point you don't understand 3 O. Mm-hmm. 4 4 A. A sup -- a CPD sup report that was my question, please let me know, and I will printed on May 24th of 2002, a picture of five 5 5 repeat it or rephrase it in hopes to help you 6 6 understand the questions. Understand? men, a group of -- a collection of pictures of 7 A. Yes. 7 men that appeared to be printed from the IDOC 8 Q. Where are you currently located today? 8 website, and a document that purports to be an 9 9 A. My office at 26th Street. arrest warrant pertaining to James Fletcher. 10 10 Q. Are you utilizing the Zoom platform Q. Okay. 11 11 from your computer or a phone? A. And just one more thing I want people 12 A. From a computer. 12 to know is that I wrote in blue kind of a 13 Q. Okay. Is there anything else up on 13 summary of what something is on the top of these 14 your screen other than the Zoom? 14 documents that I printed out just so it would 15 A. No. 15 make it easier for me to find them on my desk, 16 Q. Is anyone else present in the room with 16 because they -- you know, they're photocopies 17 you? 17 and they all kind of look alike. 18 A. No. I'm -- I'm in my office with the 18 Q. Absolutely. 19 door closed, and no one else is here. 19 A. So if there's blue marks on it, that's 20 Q. Did you review any documents in 20 what those blue marks are. 21 preparation for your deposition today? 21 Q. Okay. I understand. Thank you for 22 A. I did read documents that were sent by 22 telling us that. And thank you for going 23 my attorneys -- by John Coyne's office that I 23 through what you looked at. 24 was told were related to this deposition. 24 You are a licensed attorney, correct?

Page 9 Page 11 A. Yes. 1 1 Q. Did you ever facilitate a witness going Q. And how long have you been licensed? 2 2 to the grand jury to testify by calling another 3 3 A. Since 19- -- November of 1994. assistant state's attorney who was assigned to 4 O. And where are you currently employed? 4 that division and give them a heads-up? 5 A. The Cook County State's Attorney's 5 MR. STARR: Objection to form. 6 6 Office. BY THE WITNESS: 7 7 A. I don't remember ever calling an ASA in Q. And how long have you been with the 8 8 the grand jury unit and telling them someone was Office? 9 9 coming. I know I would make rec- -- I would A. Since August of 1997. 10 10 tell the police officers that someone should be Q. What is your current assignment? 11 A. I am the supervisor of the complex 11 taken to the grand jury, but I didn't -- I don't remember getting involved in the arrangements of 12 narcotics unit. 12 13 Q. At some point in time in your career at 13 that happening. the State's Attorney's Office, were you in 14 14 BY MS. WEST: 15 15 Felony Review? Q. Thank you. A. Yes. 16 And you -- you testified earlier that 16 17 17 Q. Were you in Felony Review more than one part of this process is making a 18 18 time? determination on a recommendation for charges; 19 19 is that right? 20 Q. Okay. When were you in Felony Review? 20 A. Correct. 21 A. From the fall of 2001 until, I believe, 21 Q. Generally speaking, when you're on 22 the late summer, early fall of 2000- -- 2003. 22 Felony Review, do you have to make that 23 23 Q. Okay. When you were an assistant recommendation to a supervisor? 24 24 state's attorney in the -- in Felony Review, A. On Felony Review I did have Page 10 Page 12 1 1 what did your duties and responsibilities conversations with supervisors about what 2 2 consist of? charging decisions should be made. It -- it 3 A. When I was on Felony Review, I would --3 wasn't necessarily that I was telling the 4 we would review cases to see about filing -- if 4 supervisor what to charge. 5 5 felony charges would be brought. We would MR. HOLMES: On behalf of the State's 6 6 review police reports at -- we would interview Attorney's Office, just given the nature of the 7 witnesses at times, speak with defendants if 7 question and ASA's Walker's response just given, 8 they wished to speak to us. We would make 8 I would caution and instruct the witness not to 9 9 charging decisions. reveal the substance of any charging decision 10 10 Q. Okay. And you mentioned that you conversations with supervisors or colleagues 11 11 pursuant to the deliberative process privilege would -- you would speak with witnesses from 12 time to time; is that right? 12 of the Office. 13 A. Correct. 13 BY MS. WEST: 14 Q. Okay. Would you ever facilitate people 14 Q. Do you have an independent recollection 15 testifying before the grand jury? 15 of working on Mr. James Fletcher's case in -- as 16 16 A. I'm not sure what you mean by a Felony Review assistant state's attorney in 17 "facilitate." 17 18 18 Q. Was there ever an instance when you A. I have a general recollection, but I 19 would transport or take a witness after 19 don't have a specific recollection. 20 interviewing them from whatever area or police 20 Q. Tell me what your general recollection 21 21 station you were speaking with them at to the 22 grand jury to testify? 22 A. I remember that I was involved in the 23 A. I never trans- -- I don't remember ever 23 review process of a case of -- of this -- of a 24 transporting a witness to the grand jury. 24 case involving a bread truck driver. I remember

Page 13	Page 15
1 that I was involved in it on several days. I	1 A. I don't remember.
2 don't remember I remember going with	2 Q. Had you worked with Detective Schalk
3 detectives to IDOC to interview the defendant.	3 or have you excuse me worked with
4 I do not remember the specifics of	4 Detective Schalk since working with him in 2002?
5 what what IDOC facility we went to. I do not	5 A. I I don't remember.
6 remember the conversation between myself, the	6 Q. Same question for Noradin: Had you
7 detectives, and the defendant. I do not	7 worked with Detective Noradin prior to 2002?
8 remember specific conversations with witnesses.	8 A. I I don't remember.
9 I just have a general memory of of	9 <b>O. And</b>
10 recognition, should I say?	10 A. I'm probably going to say I don't
Q. Prior to reading the documents, did you	11 remember to this question too.
have an independent recollection of the	12 Q. That's fine.
detectives that were involved in the case of	13 And have you worked with Detective
14 James Fletcher?	14 Noradin since 2002?
15 A. I didn't know who the detectives were	15 A. I don't remember.
16 until I read the documents, so I would say no.	Q. When you were first assigned to a case
Q. And now are you informed or is your	on Felony Review, what would you do to get
18 recollection refreshed as to who detectives were	18 yourself familiar with the investigation?
19 that were involved in the case?	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.
20 A. I do after reading their names and	20 Go ahead.
21 I and thinking about it for a second, I do	21 BY THE WITNESS:
recognize the names, and I do remember I have	22 A. Typically, I would it would depend
a vague recollection of of what they looked	on if it was a phone case or a what we would
24 like.	24 call a personal case where we would respond in
Page 14	Page 16
Page 14  1 Q. And who were the detectives involved	Page 16  1 person. If it was a if it was a personal
1 Q. And who were the detectives involved 2 that you worked with on Mr. Fletcher's case?	person. If it was a if it was a personal case, I would go I would typically go, meet
Q. And who were the detectives involved that you worked with on Mr. Fletcher's case?  A. Detective Schalk and Detective Bogucki.	person. If it was a if it was a personal case, I would go I would typically go, meet the detectives wherever they were at a police
Q. And who were the detectives involved that you worked with on Mr. Fletcher's case? A. Detective Schalk and Detective Bogucki. Q. Any other detectives that you recall	person. If it was a if it was a personal case, I would go I would typically go, meet the detectives wherever they were at a police station. I would have a conversation with them,
Q. And who were the detectives involved that you worked with on Mr. Fletcher's case? A. Detective Schalk and Detective Bogucki. Q. Any other detectives that you recall that worked on the case?	person. If it was a if it was a personal case, I would go I would typically go, meet the detectives wherever they were at a police station. I would have a conversation with them, and I would review any reports that were ready
Q. And who were the detectives involved that you worked with on Mr. Fletcher's case?  A. Detective Schalk and Detective Bogucki.  Q. Any other detectives that you recall that worked on the case?  A. Not that I can not that I remember.	person. If it was a if it was a personal case, I would go I would typically go, meet the detectives wherever they were at a police station. I would have a conversation with them, and I would review any reports that were ready for me to review at that time.
Q. And who were the detectives involved that you worked with on Mr. Fletcher's case?  A. Detective Schalk and Detective Bogucki.  Q. Any other detectives that you recall that worked on the case?  A. Not that I can not that I remember.  Q. Okay. Did you ever work with a	person. If it was a if it was a personal case, I would go I would typically go, meet the detectives wherever they were at a police station. I would have a conversation with them, and I would review any reports that were ready for me to review at that time.  BY MS. WEST:
Q. And who were the detectives involved that you worked with on Mr. Fletcher's case?  A. Detective Schalk and Detective Bogucki.  Q. Any other detectives that you recall that worked on the case?  A. Not that I can not that I remember.  Q. Okay. Did you ever work with a Detective Noradin, Anthony Noradin?	person. If it was a if it was a personal case, I would go I would typically go, meet the detectives wherever they were at a police station. I would have a conversation with them, and I would review any reports that were ready for me to review at that time.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. How did it differ when it was a phone
1 Q. And who were the detectives involved 2 that you worked with on Mr. Fletcher's case? 3 A. Detective Schalk and Detective Bogucki. 4 Q. Any other detectives that you recall 5 that worked on the case? 6 A. Not that I can not that I remember. 7 Q. Okay. Did you ever work with a 8 Detective Noradin, Anthony Noradin? 9 A. I know when I was on Felony Review I	person. If it was a if it was a personal case, I would go I would typically go, meet the detectives wherever they were at a police station. I would have a conversation with them, and I would review any reports that were ready for me to review at that time.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. How did it differ when it was a phone case?
1 Q. And who were the detectives involved 2 that you worked with on Mr. Fletcher's case? 3 A. Detective Schalk and Detective Bogucki. 4 Q. Any other detectives that you recall 5 that worked on the case? 6 A. Not that I can not that I remember. 7 Q. Okay. Did you ever work with a 8 Detective Noradin, Anthony Noradin? 9 A. I know when I was on Felony Review I 10 worked with Detective Noradin.	person. If it was a if it was a personal case, I would go I would typically go, meet the detectives wherever they were at a police station. I would have a conversation with them, and I would review any reports that were ready for me to review at that time.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. How did it differ when it was a phone case?  A. If it was a phone case, typically, I
1 Q. And who were the detectives involved 2 that you worked with on Mr. Fletcher's case? 3 A. Detective Schalk and Detective Bogucki. 4 Q. Any other detectives that you recall 5 that worked on the case? 6 A. Not that I can not that I remember. 7 Q. Okay. Did you ever work with a 8 Detective Noradin, Anthony Noradin? 9 A. I know when I was on Felony Review I 10 worked with Detective Noradin. 11 Q. Do you have a recollection of him being	person. If it was a if it was a personal case, I would go I would typically go, meet the detectives wherever they were at a police station. I would have a conversation with them, and I would review any reports that were ready for me to review at that time.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. How did it differ when it was a phone case?  A. If it was a phone case, typically, I was having a conversation with an officer over
1 Q. And who were the detectives involved 2 that you worked with on Mr. Fletcher's case? 3 A. Detective Schalk and Detective Bogucki. 4 Q. Any other detectives that you recall 5 that worked on the case? 6 A. Not that I can not that I remember. 7 Q. Okay. Did you ever work with a 8 Detective Noradin, Anthony Noradin? 9 A. I know when I was on Felony Review I 10 worked with Detective Noradin. 11 Q. Do you have a recollection of him being 12 involved in Mr. Fletcher's case at all?	person. If it was a if it was a personal case, I would go I would typically go, meet the detectives wherever they were at a police station. I would have a conversation with them, and I would review any reports that were ready for me to review at that time.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. How did it differ when it was a phone case?  A. If it was a phone case, typically, I was having a conversation with an officer over the phone. I would never have I wouldn't
Q. And who were the detectives involved that you worked with on Mr. Fletcher's case?  A. Detective Schalk and Detective Bogucki.  Q. Any other detectives that you recall that worked on the case?  A. Not that I can not that I remember.  Q. Okay. Did you ever work with a Detective Noradin, Anthony Noradin?  A. I know when I was on Felony Review I worked with Detective Noradin.  Q. Do you have a recollection of him being involved in Mr. Fletcher's case at all?  MR. STARR: Asked and answered.	person. If it was a if it was a personal case, I would go I would typically go, meet the detectives wherever they were at a police station. I would have a conversation with them, and I would review any reports that were ready for me to review at that time.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. How did it differ when it was a phone case?  A. If it was a phone case, typically, I was having a conversation with an officer over the phone. I would never have I wouldn't have met them in person. And typically, on
1 Q. And who were the detectives involved 2 that you worked with on Mr. Fletcher's case? 3 A. Detective Schalk and Detective Bogucki. 4 Q. Any other detectives that you recall 5 that worked on the case? 6 A. Not that I can not that I remember. 7 Q. Okay. Did you ever work with a 8 Detective Noradin, Anthony Noradin? 9 A. I know when I was on Felony Review I 10 worked with Detective Noradin. 11 Q. Do you have a recollection of him being 12 involved in Mr. Fletcher's case at all? 13 MR. STARR: Asked and answered. 14 BY THE WITNESS:	person. If it was a if it was a personal case, I would go I would typically go, meet the detectives wherever they were at a police station. I would have a conversation with them, and I would review any reports that were ready for me to review at that time.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. How did it differ when it was a phone case?  A. If it was a phone case, typically, I was having a conversation with an officer over the phone. I would never have I wouldn't have met them in person. And typically, on phone cases, we did not receive reports to
1 Q. And who were the detectives involved 2 that you worked with on Mr. Fletcher's case? 3 A. Detective Schalk and Detective Bogucki. 4 Q. Any other detectives that you recall 5 that worked on the case? 6 A. Not that I can not that I remember. 7 Q. Okay. Did you ever work with a 8 Detective Noradin, Anthony Noradin? 9 A. I know when I was on Felony Review I 10 worked with Detective Noradin. 11 Q. Do you have a recollection of him being 12 involved in Mr. Fletcher's case at all? 13 MR. STARR: Asked and answered. 14 BY THE WITNESS: 15 A. I I don't as I sit here today.	person. If it was a if it was a personal case, I would go I would typically go, meet the detectives wherever they were at a police station. I would have a conversation with them, and I would review any reports that were ready for me to review at that time.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. How did it differ when it was a phone case?  A. If it was a phone case, typically, I was having a conversation with an officer over the phone. I would never have I wouldn't have met them in person. And typically, on phone cases, we did not receive reports to review. It was the review process was mostly
1 Q. And who were the detectives involved 2 that you worked with on Mr. Fletcher's case? 3 A. Detective Schalk and Detective Bogucki. 4 Q. Any other detectives that you recall 5 that worked on the case? 6 A. Not that I can not that I remember. 7 Q. Okay. Did you ever work with a 8 Detective Noradin, Anthony Noradin? 9 A. I know when I was on Felony Review I 10 worked with Detective Noradin. 11 Q. Do you have a recollection of him being 12 involved in Mr. Fletcher's case at all? 13 MR. STARR: Asked and answered. 14 BY THE WITNESS: 15 A. I I don't as I sit here today. 16 BY MS. WEST:	person. If it was a if it was a personal case, I would go I would typically go, meet the detectives wherever they were at a police station. I would have a conversation with them, and I would review any reports that were ready for me to review at that time.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. How did it differ when it was a phone case?  A. If it was a phone case, typically, I was having a conversation with an officer over the phone. I would never have I wouldn't have met them in person. And typically, on phone cases, we did not receive reports to review. It was the review process was mostly a conversation with the officer or the
1 Q. And who were the detectives involved 2 that you worked with on Mr. Fletcher's case? 3 A. Detective Schalk and Detective Bogucki. 4 Q. Any other detectives that you recall 5 that worked on the case? 6 A. Not that I can not that I remember. 7 Q. Okay. Did you ever work with a 8 Detective Noradin, Anthony Noradin? 9 A. I know when I was on Felony Review I 10 worked with Detective Noradin. 11 Q. Do you have a recollection of him being 12 involved in Mr. Fletcher's case at all? 13 MR. STARR: Asked and answered. 14 BY THE WITNESS: 15 A. I I don't as I sit here today. 16 BY MS. WEST: 17 Q. Had you worked with Detective Bogucki	person. If it was a if it was a personal case, I would go I would typically go, meet the detectives wherever they were at a police station. I would have a conversation with them, and I would review any reports that were ready for me to review at that time.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. How did it differ when it was a phone case?  A. If it was a phone case, typically, I was having a conversation with an officer over the phone. I would never have I wouldn't have met them in person. And typically, on phone cases, we did not receive reports to review. It was the review process was mostly a conversation with the officer or the detective.
1 Q. And who were the detectives involved 2 that you worked with on Mr. Fletcher's case? 3 A. Detective Schalk and Detective Bogucki. 4 Q. Any other detectives that you recall 5 that worked on the case? 6 A. Not that I can not that I remember. 7 Q. Okay. Did you ever work with a 8 Detective Noradin, Anthony Noradin? 9 A. I know when I was on Felony Review I 10 worked with Detective Noradin. 11 Q. Do you have a recollection of him being 12 involved in Mr. Fletcher's case at all? 13 MR. STARR: Asked and answered. 14 BY THE WITNESS: 15 A. I I don't as I sit here today. 16 BY MS. WEST: 17 Q. Had you worked with Detective Bogucki 18 prior to 2002?	person. If it was a if it was a personal case, I would go I would typically go, meet the detectives wherever they were at a police station. I would have a conversation with them, and I would review any reports that were ready for me to review at that time.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. How did it differ when it was a phone case?  A. If it was a phone case, typically, I was having a conversation with an officer over the phone. I would never have I wouldn't have met them in person. And typically, on phone cases, we did not receive reports to review. It was the review process was mostly a conversation with the officer or the detective.  Q. Understood.
1 Q. And who were the detectives involved 2 that you worked with on Mr. Fletcher's case? 3 A. Detective Schalk and Detective Bogucki. 4 Q. Any other detectives that you recall 5 that worked on the case? 6 A. Not that I can not that I remember. 7 Q. Okay. Did you ever work with a 8 Detective Noradin, Anthony Noradin? 9 A. I know when I was on Felony Review I 10 worked with Detective Noradin. 11 Q. Do you have a recollection of him being 12 involved in Mr. Fletcher's case at all? 13 MR. STARR: Asked and answered. 14 BY THE WITNESS: 15 A. I I don't as I sit here today. 16 BY MS. WEST: 17 Q. Had you worked with Detective Bogucki 18 prior to 2002? 19 A. I don't remember.	person. If it was a if it was a personal case, I would go I would typically go, meet the detectives wherever they were at a police station. I would have a conversation with them, and I would review any reports that were ready for me to review at that time.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. How did it differ when it was a phone case?  A. If it was a phone case, typically, I was having a conversation with an officer over the phone. I would never have I wouldn't have met them in person. And typically, on phone cases, we did not receive reports to review. It was the review process was mostly a conversation with the officer or the detective.  Q. Understood. BY MS. WEST:
1 Q. And who were the detectives involved 2 that you worked with on Mr. Fletcher's case? 3 A. Detective Schalk and Detective Bogucki. 4 Q. Any other detectives that you recall 5 that worked on the case? 6 A. Not that I can not that I remember. 7 Q. Okay. Did you ever work with a 8 Detective Noradin, Anthony Noradin? 9 A. I know when I was on Felony Review I 10 worked with Detective Noradin. 11 Q. Do you have a recollection of him being 12 involved in Mr. Fletcher's case at all? 13 MR. STARR: Asked and answered. 14 BY THE WITNESS: 15 A. I I don't as I sit here today. 16 BY MS. WEST: 17 Q. Had you worked with Detective Bogucki 18 prior to 2002? 19 A. I don't remember. 20 Q. Have you worked with Detective Bogucki	person. If it was a if it was a personal case, I would go I would typically go, meet the detectives wherever they were at a police station. I would have a conversation with them, and I would review any reports that were ready for me to review at that time.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. How did it differ when it was a phone case?  A. If it was a phone case, typically, I was having a conversation with an officer over the phone. I would never have I wouldn't have met them in person. And typically, on phone cases, we did not receive reports to review. It was the review process was mostly a conversation with the officer or the detective.  Q. Understood. BY MS. WEST:  Q. I'm going to mark what you have got in
Q. And who were the detectives involved that you worked with on Mr. Fletcher's case?  A. Detective Schalk and Detective Bogucki.  Q. Any other detectives that you recall that worked on the case?  A. Not that I can not that I remember.  Q. Okay. Did you ever work with a Detective Noradin, Anthony Noradin?  A. I know when I was on Felony Review I worked with Detective Noradin.  Q. Do you have a recollection of him being involved in Mr. Fletcher's case at all?  MR. STARR: Asked and answered.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I don't as I sit here today.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Had you worked with Detective Bogucki prior to 2002?  A. I don't remember.  Q. Have you worked with Detective Bogucki since Mr. Fletcher's case in 2002?	person. If it was a if it was a personal case, I would go I would typically go, meet the detectives wherever they were at a police station. I would have a conversation with them, and I would review any reports that were ready for me to review at that time.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. How did it differ when it was a phone case?  A. If it was a phone case, typically, I was having a conversation with an officer over the phone. I would never have I wouldn't have met them in person. And typically, on phone cases, we did not receive reports to review. It was the review process was mostly a conversation with the officer or the detective.  Q. Understood. BY MS. WEST:  Q. I'm going to mark what you have got in front of you, but I will share it on the screen
Q. And who were the detectives involved that you worked with on Mr. Fletcher's case?  A. Detective Schalk and Detective Bogucki.  Q. Any other detectives that you recall that worked on the case?  A. Not that I can not that I remember.  Q. Okay. Did you ever work with a Detective Noradin, Anthony Noradin?  A. I know when I was on Felony Review I worked with Detective Noradin.  Q. Do you have a recollection of him being involved in Mr. Fletcher's case at all?  MR. STARR: Asked and answered.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I don't as I sit here today.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Had you worked with Detective Bogucki prior to 2002?  A. I don't remember.  Q. Have you worked with Detective Bogucki since Mr. Fletcher's case in 2002?  A. I don't remember that.	person. If it was a if it was a personal case, I would go I would typically go, meet the detectives wherever they were at a police station. I would have a conversation with them, and I would review any reports that were ready for me to review at that time.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. How did it differ when it was a phone case?  A. If it was a phone case, typically, I was having a conversation with an officer over the phone. I would never have I wouldn't have met them in person. And typically, on phone cases, we did not receive reports to review. It was the review process was mostly a conversation with the officer or the detective.  Q. Understood. BY MS. WEST:  Q. I'm going to mark what you have got in front of you, but I will share it on the screen if you'd prefer as well. Let's mark the Felony
Q. And who were the detectives involved that you worked with on Mr. Fletcher's case?  A. Detective Schalk and Detective Bogucki.  Q. Any other detectives that you recall that worked on the case?  A. Not that I can not that I remember.  Q. Okay. Did you ever work with a Detective Noradin, Anthony Noradin?  A. I know when I was on Felony Review I worked with Detective Noradin.  Q. Do you have a recollection of him being involved in Mr. Fletcher's case at all?  MR. STARR: Asked and answered.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I don't as I sit here today.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Had you worked with Detective Bogucki prior to 2002?  A. I don't remember.  Q. Have you worked with Detective Bogucki since Mr. Fletcher's case in 2002?	person. If it was a if it was a personal case, I would go I would typically go, meet the detectives wherever they were at a police station. I would have a conversation with them, and I would review any reports that were ready for me to review at that time.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. How did it differ when it was a phone case?  A. If it was a phone case, typically, I was having a conversation with an officer over the phone. I would never have I wouldn't have met them in person. And typically, on phone cases, we did not receive reports to review. It was the review process was mostly a conversation with the officer or the detective.  Q. Understood. BY MS. WEST:  Q. I'm going to mark what you have got in front of you, but I will share it on the screen

	Page 17		Page 19
1	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition	1	Okay. Based on the document in front
2	Exhibit No. 1 was marked for	2	of you, what type of charges were you
3	identification.)	3	investigating relative to Mr. Fletcher?
4	BY THE WITNESS:	4	A. Well, I'm looking at this folder. I
5	A. If you don't mind, I'm going to look at	5	don't remember creating this or I'm looking
6	it.	6	at this piece this copy of a folder. I don't
7	BY MS. WEST:	7	remember creating this folder. I do see writing
8	Q. Yes.	8	on there that looks like my handwriting. I see
9	A. Because I can't see it very well on my	9	writing that is not my handwriting, and the
10	screen.	10	folder itself in not my in handwriting I can
11	MR. COYNE: Allyson, if you would still post	11	say is not my handwriting says "murder" on it.
12	it on the screen, I would appreciate it. I have	12	Q. Okay. Can you tell me on I'm sorry.
13	some of the documents printed out, but not all.	13	Did I cut you off?
14	MS. WEST: Okay. I'll do that.	14	A. No. You're fine.
15	And I agree with you, Ms. Walker. I	15	Q. On this first page that we are looking
16	have it printed, because I think it's hard to	16	at, which is 3014, what on this folder front is
17	follow on the screen.	17	your handwriting?
18	So for the record, it is	18	A. Well, in the upper left corner, the
19	CCSAO_Conflicts_FletcherDixonBogucki_20cv4768_	19	words "Fletcher, comma, James," looks like it
20	0003014 through 003040. All right. Let me get	20	could be my handwriting, but I don't remember
21	this pulled up really quick.	21	
22	BY MS. WEST:	22	writing on this document.
23			Q. Okay. I'm going to direct your
	Q. Okay. Ms. Walker, can you see well,	23	attention well, let me ask you this before we
24	do you have what we previously marked as	24	go there: Do you have an independent
	Page 18		Page 20
1	Exhibit 1 in front of you, which is the Felony	1	recollection of when you first became involved
2	Review folder?	2	with the review of Mr. Fletcher's case?
3	A. I do.	3	A. I do not.
4	Q. Okay. And then it's also up on the	4	Q. After looking at the Felony Review
5	screen.	5	folder documents, did that refresh your memory
6	Do you see that?	6	as to when you first became involved?
7	A. Yes.	7	A. I don't remember creating any of these
0	Q. Okay. A couple just preliminary		
8	Q. Okay. A couple just premimary	8	documents, so it's it's hard for me to say
9	questions about this document itself.	8 9	documents, so it's it's hard for me to say that I that I know that.
9	questions about this document itself.	9	that I that I know that.
9 10	questions about this document itself.  Is there anything else and when I	9	that I that I know that.  Q. Can you take a look at it's at
9 10 11	questions about this document itself.  Is there anything else and when I call it the Felony Review folder, is there anything else other than this that is typically	9 10 11	that I that I know that.  Q. Can you take a look at it's at the bottom the Bates is 3018 or page 5 of the
9 10 11 12	questions about this document itself.  Is there anything else and when I call it the Felony Review folder, is there anything else other than this that is typically included in the Felony Review folder?	9 10 11 12	that I that I know that.  Q. Can you take a look at it's at the bottom the Bates is 3018 or page 5 of the document.
9 10 11 12 13 14	questions about this document itself.  Is there anything else and when I call it the Felony Review folder, is there anything else other than this that is typically	9 10 11 12 13	that I that I know that.  Q. Can you take a look at it's at the bottom the Bates is 3018 or page 5 of the document.  A. So I I'm sorry. What's the Bates number?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	questions about this document itself.  Is there anything else and when I call it the Felony Review folder, is there anything else other than this that is typically included in the Felony Review folder?  A. Other than this, the document on the screen?	9 10 11 12 13 14	that I that I know that.  Q. Can you take a look at it's at the bottom the Bates is 3018 or page 5 of the document.  A. So I I'm sorry. What's the Bates number?  Q. Sure. 3018.
9 10 11 12 13 14	questions about this document itself.  Is there anything else and when I call it the Felony Review folder, is there anything else other than this that is typically included in the Felony Review folder?  A. Other than this, the document on the screen?  Q. Correct.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that I that I know that.  Q. Can you take a look at it's at the bottom the Bates is 3018 or page 5 of the document.  A. So I I'm sorry. What's the Bates number?  Q. Sure. 3018.  A. To make it easier for myself to prep, I
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	questions about this document itself.  Is there anything else — and when I call it the Felony Review folder, is there anything else other than this that is typically included in the Felony Review folder?  A. Other than this, the document on the screen?  Q. Correct.  A. Okay. Well, this appears to be a copy	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that I that I know that.  Q. Can you take a look at it's at the bottom the Bates is 3018 or page 5 of the document.  A. So I I'm sorry. What's the Bates number?  Q. Sure. 3018.  A. To make it easier for myself to prep, I took I took that file apart. Oh, you have
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	questions about this document itself.  Is there anything else — and when I call it the Felony Review folder, is there anything else other than this that is typically included in the Felony Review folder?  A. Other than this, the document on the screen?  Q. Correct.  A. Okay. Well, this appears to be a copy of the outside of a Felony Review folder.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that I that I know that.  Q. Can you take a look at it's at the bottom the Bates is 3018 or page 5 of the document.  A. So I I'm sorry. What's the Bates number?  Q. Sure. 3018.  A. To make it easier for myself to prep, I took I took that file apart. Oh, you have got it up on the screen now. Okay.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	questions about this document itself.  Is there anything else and when I call it the Felony Review folder, is there anything else other than this that is typically included in the Felony Review folder?  A. Other than this, the document on the screen?  Q. Correct.  A. Okay. Well, this appears to be a copy of the outside of a Felony Review folder.  Inside the Felony Review folder there was a	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that I that I know that.  Q. Can you take a look at it's at the bottom the Bates is 3018 or page 5 of the document.  A. So I I'm sorry. What's the Bates number?  Q. Sure. 3018.  A. To make it easier for myself to prep, I took I took that file apart. Oh, you have got it up on the screen now. Okay.  Q. I do. Thanks.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	questions about this document itself.  Is there anything else and when I call it the Felony Review folder, is there anything else other than this that is typically included in the Felony Review folder?  A. Other than this, the document on the screen?  Q. Correct.  A. Okay. Well, this appears to be a copy of the outside of a Felony Review folder.  Inside the Felony Review folder there was a were carbon sheets that had a place to place	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that I that I know that.  Q. Can you take a look at it's at the bottom the Bates is 3018 or page 5 of the document.  A. So I I'm sorry. What's the Bates number?  Q. Sure. 3018.  A. To make it easier for myself to prep, I took I took that file apart. Oh, you have got it up on the screen now. Okay.  Q. I do. Thanks.  A. Hold on. That will help. Sorry.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	questions about this document itself.  Is there anything else and when I call it the Felony Review folder, is there anything else other than this that is typically included in the Felony Review folder?  A. Other than this, the document on the screen?  Q. Correct.  A. Okay. Well, this appears to be a copy of the outside of a Felony Review folder.  Inside the Felony Review folder there was a were carbon sheets that had a place to place certain information, but since this is the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that I that I know that.  Q. Can you take a look at it's at the bottom the Bates is 3018 or page 5 of the document.  A. So I I'm sorry. What's the Bates number?  Q. Sure. 3018.  A. To make it easier for myself to prep, I took I took that file apart. Oh, you have got it up on the screen now. Okay.  Q. I do. Thanks.  A. Hold on. That will help. Sorry.  Q. No, no. You're fine.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	questions about this document itself.  Is there anything else and when I call it the Felony Review folder, is there anything else other than this that is typically included in the Felony Review folder?  A. Other than this, the document on the screen?  Q. Correct.  A. Okay. Well, this appears to be a copy of the outside of a Felony Review folder.  Inside the Felony Review folder there was a were carbon sheets that had a place to place certain information, but since this is the outside of the folder, you wouldn't be able to	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that I that I know that.  Q. Can you take a look at it's at the bottom the Bates is 3018 or page 5 of the document.  A. So I I'm sorry. What's the Bates number?  Q. Sure. 3018.  A. To make it easier for myself to prep, I took I took that file apart. Oh, you have got it up on the screen now. Okay.  Q. I do. Thanks.  A. Hold on. That will help. Sorry.  Q. No, no. You're fine.  A. And just so because I'm having a
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	questions about this document itself.  Is there anything else and when I call it the Felony Review folder, is there anything else other than this that is typically included in the Felony Review folder?  A. Other than this, the document on the screen?  Q. Correct.  A. Okay. Well, this appears to be a copy of the outside of a Felony Review folder.  Inside the Felony Review folder there was a were carbon sheets that had a place to place certain information, but since this is the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that I that I know that.  Q. Can you take a look at it's at the bottom the Bates is 3018 or page 5 of the document.  A. So I I'm sorry. What's the Bates number?  Q. Sure. 3018.  A. To make it easier for myself to prep, I took I took that file apart. Oh, you have got it up on the screen now. Okay.  Q. I do. Thanks.  A. Hold on. That will help. Sorry.  Q. No, no. You're fine.

	Page 21		Page 23
1	A the date on this is it looks like	1	evidence, your legal theories, your strategies,
2	it's 13 February 02, Action No. 55? Is that	2	or your decisions; basically, everything that
3	what you see?	3	constitutes your mental impressions.
4	Q. Yes.	4	If in order to answer these questions,
5	A. Okay. Yes. I've got that in front of	5	including the interpretation of what appears to
6	me now. Thank you.	6	be an abbreviation if in order to do that you
7	Q. Okay. Okay. Great.	7	would need to reveal your mental impressions of
8	Is this document and when I say this	8	your work as a prosecutor, I would instruct you
9	document, specifically this page, 3018 in	9	not to answer that question pursuant to Federal
10	your handwriting?	10	Rule 26 and the work product doctrine. If you
11	A. I don't remember creating this	11	can answer the question or questions without
12	document, but the handwriting on this on this	12	doing so, then you may proceed.
13	document looks like my handwriting.	13	Do you understand the instruction?
14	Q. You note under charges well, strike	14	THE WITNESS: I do. Thank you.
15	that.	15	MR. COYNE: Okay.
16		16	MR. HOLMES: Same guidance and same
17	There is writing under charges that looks like it says, "first-degree murder, armed	17	instruction on behalf of the State's Attorney's
18		18	
19	robbery."	19	Office on grounds of deliberative process
	Do you see that?	20	privilege.
20	A. I do see that.		THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you state the
21	Q. And next to that there is the word	21	question again?
22	"action," and it has the letters "CI."	22	MS. WEST: Absolutely. Can you read it back,
23	What does that mean?	23	please? Thank you.
24	A. So when on Felony Review, when ASAs	24	
	Page 22		Page 24
1	are reviewing a case, there is typically three	1	(Whereupon, the record was read
2	actions. There is approve, there is a reject,	2	as requested.)
3	or CI, which I believe stands for continuing	3	BY THE WITNESS:
4	investigation.	4	A. I don't as I said before, I don't
5	Q. And below that it looks like it's a W	5	remember creating this document. It is possible
6	with a circle around it, and it says, "ASA	6	that the character inside the circle is an N,
7	O'Brien."	7	not a W, to indicate notification.
8	Do you see that?	8	BY MS. WEST:
9	A. Yes.	9	Q. Thank you.
10	Q. Do you know who that individual is?	10	And what is what does notification
11	A. I don't remember creating this	11	mean?
12	document, and I in order in order I	12	MR. COYNE: Foundation.
	have a guess, but I don't know for certain who	13	THE WITNESS: Should I answer?
1.3	III. I II GOVERNO CONTINUO IN TOTALI VET WITH WITH		MR. COYNE: You can answer consistent with
13 14		1 14	
14	that person would would be.	14 15	
14 15	that person would would be.  Q. Do you have any idea what the W with	15	the instruction previously provided and if you
14 15 16	that person would would be.  Q. Do you have any idea what the W with the circle, that shorthand, stands for?	15 16	the instruction previously provided and if you know.
14 15 16 17	that person would would be.  Q. Do you have any idea what the W with the circle, that shorthand, stands for?  MR. COYNE: Let me just instruct you first	15 16 17	the instruction previously provided and if you know. BY THE WITNESS:
14 15 16 17 18	that person would would be.  Q. Do you have any idea what the W with the circle, that shorthand, stands for?  MR. COYNE: Let me just instruct you first of all, objection, foundation. Secondly, in	15 16 17 18	the instruction previously provided and if you know. BY THE WITNESS: A. It would indicate that I notified it
14 15 16 17 18 19	that person would would be.  Q. Do you have any idea what the W with the circle, that shorthand, stands for?  MR. COYNE: Let me just instruct you first of all, objection, foundation. Secondly, in terms of answering these questions and I'm	15 16 17 18 19	the instruction previously provided and if you know. BY THE WITNESS: A. It would indicate that I notified it would indicate that a notification was made to a
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that person would would be.  Q. Do you have any idea what the W with the circle, that shorthand, stands for?  MR. COYNE: Let me just instruct you first of all, objection, foundation. Secondly, in terms of answering these questions and I'm going to give you instruction now just to	15 16 17 18 19 20	the instruction previously provided and if you know. BY THE WITNESS: A. It would indicate that I notified it would indicate that a notification was made to a supervisor.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that person would would be.  Q. Do you have any idea what the W with the circle, that shorthand, stands for?  MR. COYNE: Let me just instruct you first of all, objection, foundation. Secondly, in terms of answering these questions and I'm going to give you instruction now just to avoid potentially avoid interruptions.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the instruction previously provided and if you know. BY THE WITNESS: A. It would indicate that I notified it would indicate that a notification was made to a supervisor. BY MS. WEST:
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that person would would be.  Q. Do you have any idea what the W with the circle, that shorthand, stands for?  MR. COYNE: Let me just instruct you first of all, objection, foundation. Secondly, in terms of answering these questions and I'm going to give you instruction now just to avoid potentially avoid interruptions.  I would instruct you not to answer any	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the instruction previously provided and if you know. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It would indicate that I notified it would indicate that a notification was made to a supervisor. BY MS. WEST:  Q. Next to "action" has the title
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that person would would be.  Q. Do you have any idea what the W with the circle, that shorthand, stands for?  MR. COYNE: Let me just instruct you first of all, objection, foundation. Secondly, in terms of answering these questions and I'm going to give you instruction now just to avoid potentially avoid interruptions.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the instruction previously provided and if you know. BY THE WITNESS: A. It would indicate that I notified it would indicate that a notification was made to a supervisor. BY MS. WEST:

Page 25 Page 27 A. Yes. 1 1 charging decision or noncharging decision. 2 2 THE WITNESS: And -- I'm sorry. Can we Q. Can you read what's listed, Nos. 1 3 3 through 4, if -- if you can read it? repeat that question just so I know what I --4 A. In real life the sheets inside the 4 MS. WEST: Sure. 5 5 THE WITNESS: -- remember what to answer? Felony Review folder are much bigger. This 6 6 piece of paper that I have been given, the MS. WEST: Sure. Do you mind reading it 7 writing has shrunk. I -- it is difficult for me 7 back, please? 8 8 to read all of this because the writing is (Whereupon, the record was read 9 9 small. as requested.) 10 10 MR. HOLMES: Same guidance and instruction on Q. Does that help you at all --11 A. Yes, it does. 11 behalf of the Office. 12 Q. -- that I just expanded? 12 MR. COYNE: Same objection. 13 A. Thank you. 13 BY THE WITNESS: 14 Q. Okay. 14 A. So typically -- if a case was continued 15 A. It has "1" -- it says, "interview 15 for further investigation, typically, the ASA 16 delta. 2, find Sheeree Friend, something, 16 would list things that would be -- we would like interview, slash" -- I'm not sure what that 17 17 to have done or goals before we would be able word is. 3, find Emmett Wade, something, 18 18 to -- to complete before we would be able to interview" -- I can't read that. I believe, 4, 19 19 make a decision on charging the case. 20 "run live lineup with Edward" -- I think -- I'm 20 BY MS. WEST: 21 not sure what that last -- there is -- the copy 21 Q. Below here you indicate -- I'm sorry. 22 is not the greatest, and I can't read that last 22 Under "Statement," do you see writing 23 word. 23 in that box? And it starts with "defendant or 24 Q. Thank you. 24 delta"? Page 26 Page 28 1 A. I do see writing in the box. As I have 1 Now, what is the purpose of -- strike 2 2 stated before, I don't remember creating this that. 3 3 document, but I do see writing in the box. What do you typically list when you're 4 4 on Felony Review under the title of "reason?" Q. Okay. Can you read what that says? 5 5 MR. COYNE: Same instruction as previously A. "Delta not in custody for this case. 6 6 provided, Jennifer. With respect to the work Paren, delta currently in" -- I'm not sure what 7 product doctrine, you can answer questions 7 that word is, but then I -- IDO- -- "something 8 generally without regard to a specific case. 8 IDOC, closed paren." 9 9 Q. Okay. And you testified that you had a However, if in order to answer the question, you 10 10 recollection of going to IDOC to speak with would need to divulge your mental impressions 11 Mr. Fletcher; is that right? 11 with respect to a particular case, then the work product privilege would apply, and I would 12 12 13 13 instruct you not to answer that question. I Q. Okay. As you sit here today, do you 14 know you had testified you don't remember 14 have an independent recollection of documenting 15 reading this document, but I wanted to provide 15 that he was in custody -- not in custody for 16 16 you that instruction going forward. this specific case? 17 Do you understand? 17 A. I do not have an independent 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. Thank you. 18 recollection, no. 19 MR. COYNE: All right. Please proceed. 19 Q. Are you aware that this armed robbery 20 MR. HOLMES: And same guidance and same 20 took place in December of 1990? 21 instruction on behalf of the State's Attorney's 21 A. I read in the police reports to prepare Office on the grounds of deliberative process 22 for the deposition that there was an armed 22 23 robbery that allegedly took place then, yes. privilege as to any question that would seek to 23 24 elicit information regarding the why of a 24 Q. And you weren't involved in the case by

	Page 29		Page 31
1	way of Felony Review until 2002, correct?	1	BY THE WITNESS:
2	A. I wasn't on Felony Review until 2001,	2	A. I have no independent recollection.
3	so right.	3	MR. COYNE: Hang on. Hang on a second.
4	Q. Okay. Do you have a recollection as to	4	Yeah, Jennifer, hang on a second. Let me just
5	why this case was at the Felony Review stage in	5	make my objection.
6	2002 when the crime happened in 1990?	6	And if you pause between the
7	MR. COYNE: Objection to form, foundation.	7	question if you pause for a moment, that will
8	Again, I would repeat the earlier instruction,	8	give the attorneys an opportunity to object
9	Jennifer. In addition, I would instruct you not	9	without stepping on your answer going forward.
10	to answer any questions that would alternately	10	So, also, objection, foundation. Objection,
11	require you to divulge anything I told you	11	speculation. And if you did remember the
12	during our confidential preparation for your	12	answer, please keep in mind your earlier
13	deposition in this case.	13	instruction as to work product.
14	With those instructions, you can	14	MR. HOLMES: Same objection and instruction
15	proceed.	15	on behalf of the State's Attorney's Office on
16	MR. HOLMES: And on behalf of the Office to	16	grounds of deliberative process privilege.
17	elaborate on the State's Attorney's deliberative	17	BY THE WITNESS:
18	process objection, the deliberative process	18	A. I don't recall. And as I said before,
19	privilege protects communications that are part	19	I don't remember authoring this document.
20	of the decision-making process to reach a	20	BY MS. WEST:
21	charging or noncharging decision. And so I	21	Q. Under reason, No. 3, where it says,
22	would instruct ASA Walker not to respond in a	22	"find Emmett Wade," do you have a recollection
23	way that would disclose any of the Office's	23	of who Emmett Wade is?
24	deliberations concerning whether to charge or	24	A. I do not.
	Page 30		Page 32
1	not to charge Mr. Fletcher.		
		1	Q. Okay. Do you have a recollection of
2	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back,	2	his involvement in the underlying crime?
3	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back, please? Could we restate the question?	2	his involvement in the underlying crime?  A. I do not.
3 4	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back, please? Could we restate the question?  (Whereupon, the record was read	2 3 4	his involvement in the underlying crime?  A. I do not.  Q. If individuals were listed under this
3 4 5	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back, please? Could we restate the question?  (Whereupon, the record was read as requested.)	2 3 4 5	his involvement in the underlying crime?  A. I do not.  Q. If individuals were listed under this reason, for example, "find Sheeree Friend," or
3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back, please? Could we restate the question?  (Whereupon, the record was read as requested.)  MR. HOLMES: Same objection.	2 3 4 5 6	his involvement in the underlying crime?  A. I do not.  Q. If individuals were listed under this reason, for example, "find Sheeree Friend," or "find Emmett Wade," how would you have obtained
3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back, please? Could we restate the question?  (Whereupon, the record was read as requested.)  MR. HOLMES: Same objection.  BY THE WITNESS:	2 3 4 5 6 7	his involvement in the underlying crime?  A. I do not.  Q. If individuals were listed under this reason, for example, "find Sheeree Friend," or "find Emmett Wade," how would you have obtained those individuals' names?
3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back, please? Could we restate the question? (Whereupon, the record was read as requested.) MR. HOLMES: Same objection. BY THE WITNESS: A. I do not. I do not have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	his involvement in the underlying crime?  A. I do not.  Q. If individuals were listed under this reason, for example, "find Sheeree Friend," or "find Emmett Wade," how would you have obtained those individuals' names?  MR. COYNE: Objection.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back, please? Could we restate the question?  (Whereupon, the record was read as requested.)  MR. HOLMES: Same objection.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do not. I do not have a recollection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	his involvement in the underlying crime?  A. I do not.  Q. If individuals were listed under this reason, for example, "find Sheeree Friend," or "find Emmett Wade," how would you have obtained those individuals' names?  MR. COYNE: Objection.  MR. STARR: Objection, speculation.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back, please? Could we restate the question? (Whereupon, the record was read as requested.) MR. HOLMES: Same objection. BY THE WITNESS: A. I do not. I do not have a recollection. BY MS. WEST:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	his involvement in the underlying crime?  A. I do not.  Q. If individuals were listed under this reason, for example, "find Sheeree Friend," or "find Emmett Wade," how would you have obtained those individuals' names?  MR. COYNE: Objection.  MR. STARR: Objection, speculation.  BY THE WITNESS:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back, please? Could we restate the question?  (Whereupon, the record was read as requested.)  MR. HOLMES: Same objection.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do not. I do not have a recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. I know we we talked about this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	his involvement in the underlying crime?  A. I do not.  Q. If individuals were listed under this reason, for example, "find Sheeree Friend," or "find Emmett Wade," how would you have obtained those individuals' names?  MR. COYNE: Objection.  MR. STARR: Objection, speculation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't remember authoring this
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back, please? Could we restate the question?  (Whereupon, the record was read as requested.)  MR. HOLMES: Same objection.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do not. I do not have a recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. I know we we talked about this earlier under "Reason." "No. 2, find" and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	his involvement in the underlying crime?  A. I do not.  Q. If individuals were listed under this reason, for example, "find Sheeree Friend," or "find Emmett Wade," how would you have obtained those individuals' names?  MR. COYNE: Objection.  MR. STARR: Objection, speculation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't remember authoring this document. If you're speaking I don't I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back, please? Could we restate the question?  (Whereupon, the record was read as requested.)  MR. HOLMES: Same objection.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do not. I do not have a recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. I know we we talked about this earlier under "Reason." "No. 2, find" and what you said was "Sheeree Friend"; do you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	his involvement in the underlying crime?  A. I do not.  Q. If individuals were listed under this reason, for example, "find Sheeree Friend," or "find Emmett Wade," how would you have obtained those individuals' names?  MR. COYNE: Objection.  MR. STARR: Objection, speculation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't remember authoring this document. If you're speaking — I don't — I don't know how I would have gotten those names.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back, please? Could we restate the question?  (Whereupon, the record was read as requested.)  MR. HOLMES: Same objection.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do not. I do not have a recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. I know we we talked about this earlier under "Reason." "No. 2, find" and what you said was "Sheeree Friend"; do you have an independent recollection of who that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	his involvement in the underlying crime?  A. I do not.  Q. If individuals were listed under this reason, for example, "find Sheeree Friend," or "find Emmett Wade," how would you have obtained those individuals' names?  MR. COYNE: Objection.  MR. STARR: Objection, speculation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't remember authoring this document. If you're speaking I don't I don't know how I would have gotten those names.  BY MS. WEST:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back, please? Could we restate the question?  (Whereupon, the record was read as requested.)  MR. HOLMES: Same objection.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do not. I do not have a recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. I know we we talked about this earlier under "Reason." "No. 2, find" and what you said was "Sheeree Friend"; do you have an independent recollection of who that individual is?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	his involvement in the underlying crime?  A. I do not.  Q. If individuals were listed under this reason, for example, "find Sheeree Friend," or "find Emmett Wade," how would you have obtained those individuals' names?  MR. COYNE: Objection.  MR. STARR: Objection, speculation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't remember authoring this document. If you're speaking I don't I don't know how I would have gotten those names.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. And
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back, please? Could we restate the question?  (Whereupon, the record was read as requested.)  MR. HOLMES: Same objection.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do not. I do not have a recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. I know we we talked about this earlier under "Reason." "No. 2, find" and what you said was "Sheeree Friend"; do you have an independent recollection of who that individual is?  A. I do not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	his involvement in the underlying crime?  A. I do not.  Q. If individuals were listed under this reason, for example, "find Sheeree Friend," or "find Emmett Wade," how would you have obtained those individuals' names?  MR. COYNE: Objection.  MR. STARR: Objection, speculation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't remember authoring this document. If you're speaking I don't I don't know how I would have gotten those names.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. And  A. And it
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back, please? Could we restate the question?  (Whereupon, the record was read as requested.)  MR. HOLMES: Same objection.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do not. I do not have a recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. I know we we talked about this earlier under "Reason." "No. 2, find" and what you said was "Sheeree Friend"; do you have an independent recollection of who that individual is?  A. I do not.  Q. Do you recall what her involvement was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	his involvement in the underlying crime?  A. I do not.  Q. If individuals were listed under this reason, for example, "find Sheeree Friend," or "find Emmett Wade," how would you have obtained those individuals' names?  MR. COYNE: Objection.  MR. STARR: Objection, speculation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't remember authoring this document. If you're speaking I don't I don't know how I would have gotten those names.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. And  A. And it  Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back, please? Could we restate the question?  (Whereupon, the record was read as requested.)  MR. HOLMES: Same objection.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do not. I do not have a recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. I know we we talked about this earlier under "Reason." "No. 2, find" and what you said was "Sheeree Friend"; do you have an independent recollection of who that individual is?  A. I do not.  Q. Do you recall what her involvement was in the underlying crime?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I do not.  Q. If individuals were listed under this reason, for example, "find Sheeree Friend," or "find Emmett Wade," how would you have obtained those individuals' names?  MR. COYNE: Objection.  MR. STARR: Objection, speculation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't remember authoring this document. If you're speaking I don't I don't know how I would have gotten those names.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. And  A. And it  Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.  A. Are you asking generally?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back, please? Could we restate the question?  (Whereupon, the record was read as requested.)  MR. HOLMES: Same objection.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do not. I do not have a recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. I know we we talked about this earlier under "Reason." "No. 2, find" and what you said was "Sheeree Friend"; do you have an independent recollection of who that individual is?  A. I do not.  Q. Do you recall what her involvement was in the underlying crime?  A. I do not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	his involvement in the underlying crime?  A. I do not.  Q. If individuals were listed under this reason, for example, "find Sheeree Friend," or "find Emmett Wade," how would you have obtained those individuals' names?  MR. COYNE: Objection.  MR. STARR: Objection, speculation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't remember authoring this document. If you're speaking I don't I don't know how I would have gotten those names.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. And  A. And it  Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.  A. Are you asking generally?  Q. Let's ask it this way: So previously
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back, please? Could we restate the question?  (Whereupon, the record was read as requested.)  MR. HOLMES: Same objection.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do not. I do not have a recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. I know we we talked about this earlier under "Reason." "No. 2, find" and what you said was "Sheeree Friend"; do you have an independent recollection of who that individual is?  A. I do not.  Q. Do you recall what her involvement was in the underlying crime?  A. I do not.  Q. If you listed her if she is listed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I do not.  Q. If individuals were listed under this reason, for example, "find Sheeree Friend," or "find Emmett Wade," how would you have obtained those individuals' names?  MR. COYNE: Objection.  MR. STARR: Objection, speculation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't remember authoring this document. If you're speaking I don't I don't know how I would have gotten those names.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. And A. And it Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. A. Are you asking generally? Q. Let's ask it this way: So previously you testified that at the outset you would
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back, please? Could we restate the question?  (Whereupon, the record was read as requested.)  MR. HOLMES: Same objection.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do not. I do not have a recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. I know we we talked about this earlier under "Reason." "No. 2, find" and what you said was "Sheeree Friend"; do you have an independent recollection of who that individual is?  A. I do not.  Q. Do you recall what her involvement was in the underlying crime?  A. I do not.  Q. If you listed her if she is listed under reason or you listed her under reason, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I do not.  Q. If individuals were listed under this reason, for example, "find Sheeree Friend," or "find Emmett Wade," how would you have obtained those individuals' names?  MR. COYNE: Objection.  MR. STARR: Objection, speculation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't remember authoring this document. If you're speaking I don't I don't know how I would have gotten those names.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. And A. And it Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. A. Are you asking generally? Q. Let's ask it this way: So previously you testified that at the outset you would review any police reports if it was an in-person
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back, please? Could we restate the question?  (Whereupon, the record was read as requested.)  MR. HOLMES: Same objection.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do not. I do not have a recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. I know we we talked about this earlier under "Reason." "No. 2, find" and what you said was "Sheeree Friend"; do you have an independent recollection of who that individual is?  A. I do not.  Q. Do you recall what her involvement was in the underlying crime?  A. I do not.  Q. If you listed her if she is listed under reason or you listed her under reason, is that because she was a witness to the underlying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I do not.  Q. If individuals were listed under this reason, for example, "find Sheeree Friend," or "find Emmett Wade," how would you have obtained those individuals' names?  MR. COYNE: Objection.  MR. STARR: Objection, speculation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't remember authoring this document. If you're speaking I don't I don't know how I would have gotten those names. BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. And A. And it Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. A. Are you asking generally? Q. Let's ask it this way: So previously you testified that at the outset you would review any police reports if it was an in-person assignment, and you would speak with the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back, please? Could we restate the question?  (Whereupon, the record was read as requested.)  MR. HOLMES: Same objection.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do not. I do not have a recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. I know we we talked about this earlier under "Reason." "No. 2, find" and what you said was "Sheeree Friend"; do you have an independent recollection of who that individual is?  A. I do not.  Q. Do you recall what her involvement was in the underlying crime?  A. I do not.  Q. If you listed her if she is listed under reason or you listed her under reason, is that because she was a witness to the underlying crime?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I do not.  Q. If individuals were listed under this reason, for example, "find Sheeree Friend," or "find Emmett Wade," how would you have obtained those individuals' names?  MR. COYNE: Objection.  MR. STARR: Objection, speculation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't remember authoring this document. If you're speaking I don't I don't know how I would have gotten those names.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. And A. And it Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. A. Are you asking generally? Q. Let's ask it this way: So previously you testified that at the outset you would review any police reports if it was an in-person assignment, and you would speak with the detectives; is that right?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Can we read the question back, please? Could we restate the question?  (Whereupon, the record was read as requested.)  MR. HOLMES: Same objection.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do not. I do not have a recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. I know we we talked about this earlier under "Reason." "No. 2, find" and what you said was "Sheeree Friend"; do you have an independent recollection of who that individual is?  A. I do not.  Q. Do you recall what her involvement was in the underlying crime?  A. I do not.  Q. If you listed her if she is listed under reason or you listed her under reason, is that because she was a witness to the underlying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I do not.  Q. If individuals were listed under this reason, for example, "find Sheeree Friend," or "find Emmett Wade," how would you have obtained those individuals' names?  MR. COYNE: Objection.  MR. STARR: Objection, speculation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't remember authoring this document. If you're speaking I don't I don't know how I would have gotten those names. BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. And A. And it Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. A. Are you asking generally? Q. Let's ask it this way: So previously you testified that at the outset you would review any police reports if it was an in-person assignment, and you would speak with the

	Page 33		Page 35
1	Q. Okay. And through reviewing reports	1	It doesn't come through. I don't know why that
2	and/or speaking with detectives involved, would	2	is.
3	you learn witnesses' names?	3	MR. COYNE: Okay. Can you put that great
4	A. In the general sense, yes.	4	compliment you gave me, can you put that on the
5	Q. Okay. And just so we are clear here,	5	record, please? Thank you.
6	you don't have an independent recollection of	6	THE WITNESS: Could you restate the question?
7	learning these two individual their names	7	(Whereupon, the record was read
8	from either the police reports or the detectives	8	as requested.)
9	in this case; is that correct?	9	BY THE WITNESS:
10	A. Correct.	10	A. I do not have an independent
11	Q. Number point No. 4 where it says,	11	recollection of why Edward Cooper is listed in
12	"run live lineup with Edward Cooper," what does	12	that box.
13	running a live lineup mean?	13	BY MS. WEST:
14	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. And keep	14	Q. Based on the review of this folder,
15	in mind the earlier instruction, please.	15	over where it says "incident" on the left-hand
16	BY THE WITNESS:	16	side, can you tell why Edward Cooper is listed
17	A. So generally speaking, running a	17	as a victim?
18	talking about running a live lineup would mean	18	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.
19	running a lineup with actual people in it, not	19	MR. STARR: Join. Form as well.
20	photographs of people. That would be what we	20	BY THE WITNESS:
21	would talk about with talking about a live	21	A. So if you could open if you could
22	lineup.	22	put this bigger, because I I can't read it.
23	BY MS. WEST:	23	BY MS. WEST:
24	Q. Thank you.	24	Q. And it is difficult to see, so do you
	Page 34		Page 36
1	_		
1	Over on the right-hand side of the page	1	want me to make it any bigger?
2	under what Victim No. 2.	2	A. No. That's fine.
3	Do you see where I'm at? A. Yes.	3	Q. Okay.
4 5		4 5	A. So I don't have an independent
6	Q. Okay. The individual Edward Cooper is listed.	6	recollection of creating this document and but reading the incident box of this document,
7	Do you see that name?	7	it appears that the V2 which based on how
8	A. I do.	8	the process of Felony Review folders being
9	Q. Okay. Do you recall first, do you	9	filled out, Edward Cooper would be V2 on this
10	recall who Edward Cooper is?	10	document. According to the incident box, V2 was
11	A. I do not have an independent	11	a robbery victim.
12	recollection of Edward Cooper.	12	Q. Thank you. All right. Let me just
13	Q. Do you recall why Edward Cooper is	13	direct your attention back to the the Victim
14	listed as a victim?	14	No. 2 box under "notes."
15	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation	15	A. Yes.
16	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Objection,	16	Q. Now, where it says, "tentative ID of
17	foundation	17	defendant in photo lineup, 13 Feb 02. Not"
18	MR. COYNE: I said, Objection, foundation and	18	it looks like "INV, period, by ASA Walker."
19	speculation.	19	Do you read that the same as I just
20	MR. STARR: Join.	20	read it?
21	MR. COYNE: Kari, can you hear me, or do you	21	A. Kind of. It's a little some of
22	need a volume increase?	22	lines are missing on the words. It's just a
23	THE COURT REPORTER: You know, you're great,	23	little hard for me to see. I'm not I'm not
		1	saying you're wrong, it's just hard for me to
24	except for the very beginning of your objection.	24	saying you're wrong, it's just hard for the to

		1	
	Page 37		Page 39
1	see.	1	A. Generally speaking, it would mean that
2	Q. Sure. How about this: Can you read it	2	the the Edward Cooper was not interviewed
3	for me, for what you think it says?	3	or by an ASA and so the ASA well,
4	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.	4	that's speculation. I don't know. I would
5	Go ahead.	5	just I know it means that the ASA was did
6	BY THE WITNESS:	6	not interview the Cooper.
7	A. So I can see, "tentative ID of delta	7	BY MS. WEST:
8	in" I can't read that word. The next word I	8	Q. Okay. So based on this we can
9	think is "lineup," and then I see "13" and I see	9	determine that Mr. Cooper gave a tentative
10	"2." And then I see "not" then I "INV by	10	identification on this date, correct?
11	ASA" I think "Walker."	11	MR. COYNE: Objection; foundation,
12	BY MS. WEST:	12	speculation.
13	Q. Now, just so we are clear, I think you	13	MR. STARR: Objection to form as well.
14	said this earlier, but you don't have a	14	BY THE WITNESS:
15	recollection of creating this document, but you	15	A. I think it's it's that's I can
16	think this is your handwriting; is that right?	16	say that's what's written on the folder. I
17	A. I don't have a recollection of creating	17	can't say if that actually happened.
18	this document, and it looks like it's my	18	BY MS. WEST:
19	handwriting. I don't know; because I didn't	19	Q. Okay. But it is what's written or
20	I don't remember doing it, I can't say for sure	20	noted on the folder, correct?
21	that it is my handwriting.	21	A. That's what's written on the folder.
22	Q. Okay.	22	Q. If upon strike that.
23	A. Looks like my handwriting.	23	Generally speaking, if you were
24	Q. Where it says, "next event, ASA"	24	assigned to a case and you learned that
21	Q. Where it says, heat event, ASA	2 7	assigned to a case and you lear ned that
	Page 38		Page 40
1	there is a what looks like "J, period,	1	witnesses had already been spoken to, would you
2	Walker."	2	document that on the Felony Review folder sheet?
3	Do you see that?	3	MR. STARR: Form, foundation. Calls for
4	A. Yes.	4	speculation.
5	Q. Does that look to be the way you write	5	BY THE WITNESS:
6	your name or did write your name?	6	A. Witnesses were spoken to by whom?
7	A. That looks like it could be my	7	BY MS. WEST:
8	handwriting.	8	Q. Let me try it this way: Based on the
9	Q. Okay. What does "tentative ID of	9	note that you have in front of you relative to
10	defendant" mean?	10	Mr. Cooper, can you tell who spoke with
11	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.	11	Mr. Cooper on February 13, 2002?
12	BY THE WITNESS:	12	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.
13	A. It generally would mean that there was	13	MR. STARR: Join.
-	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 1 1	BY THE WITNESS:
14	a the ID the identification was tentative.	14	DI THE WITNESS.
	a the ID the identification was tentative. BY MS. WEST:	15	A. So this this document that I don't
14	BY MS. WEST:		
14 15		15	A. So this this document that I don't
14 15 16	BY MS. WEST:  Q. Meaning, there wasn't a positive identification; is that right?	15 16	A. So this this document that I don't remember creating but has handwriting that looks
14 15 16 17	BY MS. WEST:  Q. Meaning, there wasn't a positive	15 16 17	A. So this this document that I don't remember creating but has handwriting that looks like mine does not indicate who who spoke
14 15 16 17 18	BY MS. WEST:  Q. Meaning, there wasn't a positive identification; is that right?  A. There wasn't a definite identification. Q. Okay. And under that where it says	15 16 17 18	A. So this this document that I don't remember creating but has handwriting that looks like mine does not indicate who who spoke with Edward Cooper. BY MS. WEST:
14 15 16 17 18	BY MS. WEST:  Q. Meaning, there wasn't a positive identification; is that right?  A. There wasn't a definite identification.  Q. Okay. And under that where it says  "not INV by ASA Walker," based on that note,	15 16 17 18 19	A. So this this document that I don't remember creating but has handwriting that looks like mine does not indicate who who spoke with Edward Cooper.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Can you tell based on the note
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MS. WEST:  Q. Meaning, there wasn't a positive identification; is that right?  A. There wasn't a definite identification. Q. Okay. And under that where it says "not INV by ASA Walker," based on that note, does that mean you were not involved in the	15 16 17 18 19 20	A. So this this document that I don't remember creating but has handwriting that looks like mine does not indicate who who spoke with Edward Cooper.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Can you tell based on the note regarding Mr. Cooper that you, ASA Walker, did
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MS. WEST:  Q. Meaning, there wasn't a positive identification; is that right?  A. There wasn't a definite identification.  Q. Okay. And under that where it says "not INV by ASA Walker," based on that note, does that mean you were not involved in the interview of Mr. Cooper on this date?	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. So this this document that I don't remember creating but has handwriting that looks like mine does not indicate who who spoke with Edward Cooper.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Can you tell based on the note regarding Mr. Cooper that you, ASA Walker, did not speak with him on February 13, 2002?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MS. WEST:  Q. Meaning, there wasn't a positive identification; is that right?  A. There wasn't a definite identification. Q. Okay. And under that where it says "not INV by ASA Walker," based on that note, does that mean you were not involved in the	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. So this this document that I don't remember creating but has handwriting that looks like mine does not indicate who who spoke with Edward Cooper.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Can you tell based on the note regarding Mr. Cooper that you, ASA Walker, did

Page 41	Page 43
	_
1 MR. STARR: Join.	But since I don't have an independent
2 BY THE WITNESS:	2 recollection of creating it, I cannot say that
3 A. I don't know I know the folder	3 it was I cannot say that I know when it was
4 says I know what the folder says that we	4 created, because I I don't remember.
5 talked about. I don't know what that I don't	5 BY MS. WEST:
6 know how that translates in the real world. I	6 Q. Sure. And earlier you told me that you
7 don't have an independent recollection of this.	7 took apart the documents and put it in a
8 BY MS. WEST:	8 different order.
9 Q. All right. I'm going to show you	9 Is that because you put it in date
what's Bates-stamped 3015, which is page 2 of	10 order?
the document. Actually, you know what? Let's	11 A. I I so when I was preparing for
back up just to put this in some context really	my deposition after receiving documents from my
13 quick. I'm going to go back to that first page	attorney's office, I there was pages in
14 that we looked at	between, and it and it kind of got messed up
15 A. Okay.	on our copy machine when I printed them all out.
16 Q 3018. At the top, does it appear to	So, yes, so I so when I put these
be dated February 13, '02?	17 together, I put the the pages that said
18 A. At the top of the page it says, "13	18 13 February 02 together in a packet. And, you
19 February 02," yes.	19 know, for the other similar-looking pages, I
20 Q. Okay. And then if you go on over	grouped them together by what the date was at
just it says pages or page 1 of 1.	21 the top of the page.
Do you see that?	22 Q. Okay. Thank you.
23 A. Yes.	23 And typically, when you were filling
Q. Okay. Now, let me take you back up to	out this this Felony Review sheet folder, if
Page 42	Page 44
Page 42  page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates	1 you had more than one entry on the same date,
	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that
page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates stamp.  Do you see the top is also is dated	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that date at the top?
page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates stamp.	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that date at the top?  A. If I needed when I was on Felony
page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates stamp.  Do you see the top is also is dated February 13, '02?  A. Yes.	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that date at the top?  A. If I needed when I was on Felony Review and I was filling out Felony Review
page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates stamp.  Do you see the top is also is dated  February 13, '02?  A. Yes.  Okay. And it says, "page 2 of 2."	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that date at the top?  A. If I needed when I was on Felony Review and I was filling out Felony Review folders, if I needed multiple folders for the
page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates stamp.  Do you see the top is also is dated February 13, '02? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And it says, "page 2 of 2." Do you see that?	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that date at the top?  A. If I needed when I was on Felony Review and I was filling out Felony Review folders, if I needed multiple folders for the that date's for lack of a better word
page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates stamp.  Do you see the top is also is dated  February 13, '02?  A. Yes.  Okay. And it says, "page 2 of 2."	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that date at the top?  A. If I needed when I was on Felony Review and I was filling out Felony Review folders, if I needed multiple folders for the
page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates stamp.  Do you see the top is also is dated February 13, '02? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And it says, "page 2 of 2." Do you see that?	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that date at the top?  A. If I needed when I was on Felony Review and I was filling out Felony Review folders, if I needed multiple folders for the that date's for lack of a better word
page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates stamp.  Do you see the top is also is dated  February 13, '02?  A. Yes.  Okay. And it says, "page 2 of 2."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that date at the top?  A. If I needed when I was on Felony Review and I was filling out Felony Review folders, if I needed multiple folders for the that date's for lack of a better word work, I would date each folder at the top.
page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates stamp.  Do you see the top is also is dated February 13, '02? A. Yes.  Okay. And it says, "page 2 of 2." Do you see that? A. Yes.  Q. Is this page done on the same day as what we just looked at on 3018?  A. Well	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that date at the top?  A. If I needed when I was on Felony Review and I was filling out Felony Review folders, if I needed multiple folders for the that date's for lack of a better word work, I would date each folder at the top.  Q. On the left-hand side of this folder, do you see there is a "CI" under "action"?  A. Yes.
page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates stamp.  Do you see the top is also is dated  February 13, '02?  A. Yes.  Okay. And it says, "page 2 of 2."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Listhis page done on the same day as what we just looked at on 3018?  A. Well  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that date at the top?  A. If I needed when I was on Felony Review and I was filling out Felony Review folders, if I needed multiple folders for the that date's for lack of a better word work, I would date each folder at the top.  Q. On the left-hand side of this folder, do you see there is a "CI" under "action"?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And next to it under "reason,"
page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates stamp.  Do you see the top is also is dated February 13, '02? A. Yes.  Okay. And it says, "page 2 of 2." Do you see that? A. Yes.  Q. Is this page done on the same day as what we just looked at on 3018?  A. Well	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that date at the top?  A. If I needed when I was on Felony Review and I was filling out Felony Review folders, if I needed multiple folders for the that date's for lack of a better word work, I would date each folder at the top.  Q. On the left-hand side of this folder, do you see there is a "CI" under "action"?  A. Yes.
page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates stamp.  Do you see the top is also is dated February 13, '02?  A. Yes.  O. Okay. And it says, "page 2 of 2."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this page done on the same day as what we just looked at on 3018?  A. Well  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation  MR. HOLMES: Objection go ahead, John.  BY THE WITNESS:	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that date at the top?  A. If I needed when I was on Felony Review and I was filling out Felony Review folders, if I needed multiple folders for the that date's for lack of a better word work, I would date each folder at the top.  Q. On the left-hand side of this folder, do you see there is a "CI" under "action"?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And next to it under "reason,"
page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates stamp.  Do you see the top is also is dated February 13, '02?  A. Yes.  O. Okay. And it says, "page 2 of 2."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this page done on the same day as what we just looked at on 3018?  A. Well  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation  MR. HOLMES: Objection go ahead, John.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I didn't	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that date at the top?  A. If I needed when I was on Felony Review and I was filling out Felony Review folders, if I needed multiple folders for the that date's for lack of a better word work, I would date each folder at the top.  Q. On the left-hand side of this folder, do you see there is a "CI" under "action"?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And next to it under "reason," there is items what appear to look like 5 and 6.  Do you see those?
page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates stamp.  Do you see the top is also is dated February 13, '02?  A. Yes.  O. Okay. And it says, "page 2 of 2."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this page done on the same day as what we just looked at on 3018?  A. Well  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation  MR. HOLMES: Objection go ahead, John.  BY THE WITNESS:	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that date at the top?  A. If I needed when I was on Felony Review and I was filling out Felony Review folders, if I needed multiple folders for the that date's for lack of a better word work, I would date each folder at the top.  Q. On the left-hand side of this folder, do you see there is a "CI" under "action"?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And next to it under "reason," there is items what appear to look like 5 and 6.  Do you see those?  A. I see a 5 and 6, yes.
page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates stamp.  Do you see the top is also is dated February 13, '02?  A. Yes.  O. Okay. And it says, "page 2 of 2."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this page done on the same day as what we just looked at on 3018?  A. Well  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation  MR. HOLMES: Objection go ahead, John.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I didn't	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that date at the top?  A. If I needed when I was on Felony Review and I was filling out Felony Review folders, if I needed multiple folders for the that date's for lack of a better word work, I would date each folder at the top.  Q. On the left-hand side of this folder, do you see there is a "CI" under "action"?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And next to it under "reason," there is items what appear to look like  5 and 6.  Do you see those?  A. I see a 5 and 6, yes.  Q. Okay. Can you read those, please?
page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates stamp.  Do you see the top is also is dated February 13, '02?  A. Yes.  O. Okay. And it says, "page 2 of 2."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this page done on the same day as what we just looked at on 3018?  A. Well  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation  MR. HOLMES: Objection go ahead, John.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I didn't  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that date at the top?  A. If I needed when I was on Felony Review and I was filling out Felony Review folders, if I needed multiple folders for the that date's for lack of a better word work, I would date each folder at the top.  Q. On the left-hand side of this folder, do you see there is a "CI" under "action"?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And next to it under "reason," there is items what appear to look like 5 and 6.  Do you see those?  A. I see a 5 and 6, yes.  Q. Okay. Can you read those, please?  A. "5, INV Edward Cooper. 6, double-check
page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates stamp.  Do you see the top is also is dated February 13, '02?  A. Yes.  O. Okay. And it says, "page 2 of 2."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this page done on the same day as what we just looked at on 3018?  A. Well  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation  MR. HOLMES: Objection go ahead, John.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I didn't  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.  Go ahead.	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that date at the top?  A. If I needed when I was on Felony Review and I was filling out Felony Review folders, if I needed multiple folders for the that date's for lack of a better word work, I would date each folder at the top.  Q. On the left-hand side of this folder, do you see there is a "CI" under "action"?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And next to it under "reason," there is items what appear to look like  5 and 6.  Do you see those?  A. I see a 5 and 6, yes.  Q. Okay. Can you read those, please?
page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates stamp.  Do you see the top is also is dated February 13, '02?  A. Yes.  Okay. And it says, "page 2 of 2."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this page done on the same day as what we just looked at on 3018?  A. Well  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation  MR. HOLMES: Objection go ahead, John.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I didn't  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.  Go ahead.  MR. STARR: Join.	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that date at the top?  A. If I needed when I was on Felony Review and I was filling out Felony Review folders, if I needed multiple folders for the that date's for lack of a better word work, I would date each folder at the top.  Q. On the left-hand side of this folder, do you see there is a "CI" under "action"?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And next to it under "reason," there is items what appear to look like 5 and 6.  Do you see those?  A. I see a 5 and 6, yes.  Q. Okay. Can you read those, please?  A. "5, INV Edward Cooper. 6, double-check
page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates stamp.  Do you see the top is also is dated February 13, '02?  A. Yes.  O. Okay. And it says, "page 2 of 2."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this page done on the same day as what we just looked at on 3018?  A. Well  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation  MR. HOLMES: Objection go ahead, John.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I didn't  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.  Go ahead.  MR. STARR: Join.  BY THE WITNESS:	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that date at the top?  A. If I needed when I was on Felony Review and I was filling out Felony Review folders, if I needed multiple folders for the that date's for lack of a better word work, I would date each folder at the top.  Q. On the left-hand side of this folder, do you see there is a "CI" under "action"?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And next to it under "reason," there is items what appear to look like 5 and 6.  Do you see those?  A. I see a 5 and 6, yes.  Q. Okay. Can you read those, please?  A. "5, INV Edward Cooper. 6, double-check that D or delta equal sign crossed with a
page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates stamp.  Do you see the top is also is dated February 13, '02?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And it says, "page 2 of 2."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this page done on the same day as what we just looked at on 3018?  A. Well  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation  MR. HOLMES: Objection go ahead, John.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I didn't  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.  Go ahead.  MR. STARR: Join.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't remember creating this	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that date at the top?  A. If I needed when I was on Felony Review and I was filling out Felony Review folders, if I needed multiple folders for the that date's for lack of a better word work, I would date each folder at the top.  Q. On the left-hand side of this folder, do you see there is a "CI" under "action"?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And next to it under "reason," there is items what appear to look like 5 and 6.  Do you see those?  A. I see a 5 and 6, yes.  Q. Okay. Can you read those, please?  A. "5, INV Edward Cooper. 6, double-check that D or delta equal sign crossed with a slash, in custody."
page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates stamp.  Do you see the top is also is dated February 13, '02?  A. Yes.  O. Okay. And it says, "page 2 of 2."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this page done on the same day as what we just looked at on 3018?  A. Well  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation  MR. HOLMES: Objection go ahead, John.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I didn't  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.  Go ahead.  MR. STARR: Join.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't remember creating this document. I know the date on the on the	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that date at the top?  A. If I needed when I was on Felony Review and I was filling out Felony Review folders, if I needed multiple folders for the that date's for lack of a better word work, I would date each folder at the top.  Q. On the left-hand side of this folder, do you see there is a "CI" under "action"?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And next to it under "reason," there is items what appear to look like 5 and 6.  Do you see those?  A. I see a 5 and 6, yes.  Q. Okay. Can you read those, please?  A. "5, INV Edward Cooper. 6, double-check that D or delta equal sign crossed with a slash, in custody."  Q. And just for the record, "INV," the
page 2 of the document, which is 3015 Bates stamp.  Do you see the top is also is dated February 13, '02?  A. Yes.  O. Okay. And it says, "page 2 of 2."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. Is this page done on the same day as what we just looked at on 3018?  A. Well  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation  MR. HOLMES: Objection go ahead, John.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I didn't  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.  Go ahead.  MR. STARR: Join.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't remember creating this document. I know the date on the on the  what I will call it the first page that you	you had more than one entry on the same date, would you document which page it was for that date at the top?  A. If I needed when I was on Felony Review and I was filling out Felony Review folders, if I needed multiple folders for the that date's for lack of a better word work, I would date each folder at the top.  Q. On the left-hand side of this folder, do you see there is a "CI" under "action"?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And next to it under "reason," there is items what appear to look like 5 and 6.  Do you see those?  A. I see a 5 and 6, yes.  Q. Okay. Can you read those, please?  A. "5, INV Edward Cooper. 6, double-check that D or delta equal sign crossed with a slash, in custody."  Q. And just for the record, "INV," the shorthand, does that stand for investigate?

Page 45 Page 47 1 1 Q. And under No. 6, the equal with a slash you obtain that information for the individual 2 2 through it, do you know what that's shorthand witness? 3 3 MR. STARR: Objection to form, foundation. 4 A. Typically, when I see that I think it 4 MR. COYNE: Join. 5 5 means not or doesn't. BY THE WITNESS: 6 Q. Over on the right-hand side under 6 A. Generally speaking, while I was on 7 Witness 1, do you see that Terry Rogers is 7 Felony Review, there could be multiple ways I 8 8 listed as Witness No. 1? would get that information. 9 9 BY MS. WEST: A. Yes. 10 10 Q. And he is listed as an eyewitness; is O. And what would --11 that right? 11 A. If I was filling -- if I was filling 12 A. In the -- the -- I see the word "eye," 12 out one of these boxes, there is -- there's 13 yes. That would typically mean -- generally 13 multiple ways I could -- I would have gotten 14 would mean eyewitness. 14 that -- I could have gotten that information. 15 Q. Okay. And then going down a few boxes 15 Q. And what are those multiple ways? 16 16 where it says, "related to defendant," do you A. Well, they would include speaking with 17 17 see that? the detectives or officers involved. It could 18 be information that was already written on a 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Okay. Can you read what it says next 19 police report. It could be from speaking to a 20 20 witness. And I'm sure there is other ways too, 21 21 but those would -- those three ways would be the A. "Did time, something, delta in 22 22 Stateville." most common. 23 Q. Okay. And delta stands for defendant, 23 Q. Would there -- strike that. 24 24 Would you ever run an IDOC history for correct? Page 46 Page 48 1 A. Generally -- generally, yes. 1 an individual witness? 2 2 Q. Okay. Do you recall speaking with MR. STARR: Form; foundation, speculation. 3 Mr. Rogers? 3 MR. COYNE: Join. 4 A. I do not. 4 BY THE WITNESS: 5 5 A. I don't -- in 2- -- so when I was on Q. Okay. This information that's 6 documented here regarding -- related to 6 review and it was 22 years ago, we did not have 7 defendant, would that have been information that 7 a lot of access to the internet. There were 8 was learned directly from the witness or 8 times when I was at the police station where no 9 9 Mr. Rogers in this case? one in the room had access to the internet. I 10 10 MR. STARR: Objection to form. don't remember running an IDOC history for a MR. COYNE: Objection; foundation, 11 11 witness, me personally myself. 12 BY MS. WEST: speculation. 12 13 Q. Down under "notes," can you read what MR. STARR: Join. 13 14 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question, 14 that says? And I can make it bigger, if that's 15 15 helpful. please? 16 (Whereupon, the record was read 16 A. Yeah. There is a lot of -- of extra 17 as requested.) 17 black on this, so it makes things a little more 18 BY THE WITNESS: 18 difficult to see. There is something in a 19 A. I don't remember creating this 19 circle that says -- and then "ASA Walker on 20 document. I can't answer without speculating. 20 13 Feb 02. Something in a circle, delta in 21 BY MS. WEST: 21 photo lineup on 13 Feb 02, oral, scribble, only 22 O. Generally, all the information that's - no grand jury per ASA O'Brien." 22 23 listed under Witness No. 1; so the name, the 23 Q. The circle over on the left-hand side, 24 address, the phone number, typically, where do 24 it has something inside of it.

	Page 49		Page 51
1	Was there ever an instance that when	1	witness, my instruction to you, Jennifer, is a
2	you were on Felony Review that you would write	2	question. First of all, can you answer that
3	an "I" and circle it?	3	question without implicating the mental
4	A. Yes.	4	impressions and decision-making of you and your
5	Q. And what does that stand for?	5	fellow prosecutors with respect to decisions on
6	A. Typically, when I was on Felony Review	6	how to utilize the grand jury resource in making
7	if I wrote "I" in a circle, it could also mean	7	prosecutor decisions?
8	interview.	8	THE WITNESS: No, I cannot.
9	Q. Can you tell from the notes here if	9	MR. COYNE: All right. Then, I would
10	that's an "I" with a circle around it?	10	instruct you not to answer the question on work
11	MR. STARR: Asked and answered.	11	product. Mr. Holmes may have a similar
12	BY THE WITNESS:	12	instruction on grand on the deliberative
13	A. I I can't tell for sure, because	13	process.
14	there is some bad copy noise on this. It's I	14	MR. HOLMES: Same instruction on behalf of
15	can't say for sure.	15	the State's Attorney's Office on grounds of
16	BY MS. WEST:	16	deliberative process privilege, yes.
17	Q. Okay. What does the significance of	17	BY MS. WEST:
18	no like, what does "no grand jury" mean?	18	
19		19	Q. Okay. What is strike that.
20	MR. COYNE: Objection; foundation,	20	When a witness is spoken to on a
21	speculation. THE WITNESS: Could I talk to John about	21	particular date, are they documented on the
		22	Felony Review folder as a witness?  MR. COYNE: Objection; foundation, incomplete
22	something, please?	23	
23 24	MR. COYNE: Sure. I will be off the record	24	hypothetical.
24	for a moment.	24	
	Page 50		Page 52
1	(Whereupon, a discussion was had	1	BY THE WITNESS:
2	off the record.)	2	A. Generally speaking, if a witness is
3	(Whereupon, the record was read	3	spoken to by an ASA, there may be notes or
4	as requested.)	4	documentations made on the Felony Review folder.
5	MS. WEST: Did we get an answer to that or	5	BY MS. WEST:
6	no?	6	Q. Is there ever an instance where you
7	THE COURT REPORTER: No.	7	would write down a witness when they weren't
8	THE WITNESS: No.	8	spoken to by an ASA?
9	MR. COYNE: No. The witness requested	9	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.
10	THE WITNESS: You didn't get an answer.	10	MR. STARR: Join.
11	MR. COYNE: The witness has requested a	11	BY THE WITNESS:
12	conference with counsel.	12	A. It's possible. It's I don't I
13	MS. WEST: That's fine. Thank you.	13	it's hard to answer that question. I it's
14	MR. COYNE: For the record, the witness	14	possible.
15	requested a break to confer with counsel	15	BY MS. WEST:
16	regarding a pending question, so we are just	16	Q. What does on that notation on 3015,
17	going to ask that the question be repeated to	17	what does "oral only" mean?
18	begin.	18	MR. COYNE: Same instruction as previously
	(Whereupon, the record was read	19	given, Jennifer, as to work product.
19	(whereupon, the record was read		A DE HOLA DEC. A 1 A 4 A 600
	as requested.)	20	MR. HOLMES: And as to the Office as to
19		20 21	MR. HOLMES: And as to the Office as to deliberative process privilege.
19 20	as requested.)		
19 20 21	as requested.) Q. Okay. What does the	21	deliberative process privilege.
19 20 21 22	as requested.) Q. Okay. What does the significance of no like,	21 22	deliberative process privilege. BY THE WITNESS:

	Page 53		Page 55
1	BY MS. WEST:	1	a number of grand jury-related documents, which
2	Q. Based on the no entry here with regard	2	have been produced in this case. I have not had
3	to Mr. Rogers, can you tell whether or not	3	an opportunity to read an order from the
4	Mr. Rogers gave a positive identification of the	4	criminal court judge authorizing release of such
5	defendant based on the photo lineup on	5	documents.
6	February 13, 2002?	6	I implicitly assume that there has been
7	MR. COYNE: Objection; foundation,	7	such an order. Although, I would note that the
8	speculation.	8	Office does take the position that the
9	Allyson, could you just bring that	9	strictures of the statute are to be abided by
10	document up? Because that's one I don't have in	10	its attorneys, and thus, that would constrain
11	front of me.	11	ASA Walker's responses to questions about this
12	MS. WEST: Sure.	12	document unless, there is an order from Judge
13	MR. STARR: And I will join that objection as	13	Reddick or another judge at 26th and California,
14	well.	14	which would authorize release of information
15	MR. COYNE: Thank you.	15	pertaining these grand jury proceedings that I
16	BY THE WITNESS:	16	am not aware of.
17	A. So as I stated before, I don't remember	17	MR. COYNE: Let me just ask Jennifer, I
18	creating this document. Even though the	18	would on behalf of the witness, I would join
19	handwriting on this document looks like my	19	that join that instruction and that
20	handwriting, there is there is a squiggle to	20	admonition.
21	the left of the word "delta" where in the	21	Jennifer, can I just ask, did you have
22	line that says, "delta in photo lineup." I	22	any role in the grand jury in this case, just
23	can't read that squiggle due to the kind of	23	for my purposes to represent you?
24	the copy noise.	24	THE WITNESS: I did not.
	Page 54		Page 56
	Page 54		Page 56
1	So I'm not I don't know I can't	1	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you.
2	So I'm not I don't know I can't answer that question, because I'm having trouble	2	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you. MS. WEST: Okay.
2	So I'm not I don't know I can't answer that question, because I'm having trouble reading this document.	2	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you. MS. WEST: Okay. THE WITNESS: That I can I don't no. I
2 3 4	So I'm not I don't know I can't answer that question, because I'm having trouble reading this document. BY MS. WEST:	2 3 4	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you. MS. WEST: Okay. THE WITNESS: That I can I don't no. I did not.
2 3 4 5	So I'm not I don't know I can't answer that question, because I'm having trouble reading this document. BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Do you have an independent	2 3 4 5	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you.  MS. WEST: Okay.  THE WITNESS: That I can I don't no. I did not.  MS. WEST: Okay. And just for the record
2 3 4 5 6	So I'm not I don't know I can't answer that question, because I'm having trouble reading this document.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Do you have an independent recollection of Terry Rogers giving a positive	2 3 4 5 6	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you.  MS. WEST: Okay.  THE WITNESS: That I can I don't no. I did not.  MS. WEST: Okay. And just for the record let me stop this for a second.
2 3 4 5 6 7	So I'm not I don't know I can't answer that question, because I'm having trouble reading this document.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Do you have an independent recollection of Terry Rogers giving a positive identification of Mr. Fletcher based off of a	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you.  MS. WEST: Okay.  THE WITNESS: That I can I don't no. I did not.  MS. WEST: Okay. And just for the record let me stop this for a second.  Just for the record, Sean, do we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So I'm not I don't know I can't answer that question, because I'm having trouble reading this document.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Do you have an independent recollection of Terry Rogers giving a positive identification of Mr. Fletcher based off of a photo array?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you.  MS. WEST: Okay.  THE WITNESS: That I can I don't no. I did not.  MS. WEST: Okay. And just for the record let me stop this for a second.  Just for the record, Sean, do we have an order in this case?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So I'm not I don't know I can't answer that question, because I'm having trouble reading this document.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Do you have an independent recollection of Terry Rogers giving a positive identification of Mr. Fletcher based off of a photo array?  A. I do not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you.  MS. WEST: Okay.  THE WITNESS: That I can I don't no. I did not.  MS. WEST: Okay. And just for the record let me stop this for a second.  Just for the record, Sean, do we have an order in this case?  MR. STARR: Not to my knowledge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So I'm not I don't know I can't answer that question, because I'm having trouble reading this document.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Do you have an independent recollection of Terry Rogers giving a positive identification of Mr. Fletcher based off of a photo array?  A. I do not.  Q. Let's go to the next page, which for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you.  MS. WEST: Okay.  THE WITNESS: That I can I don't no. I did not.  MS. WEST: Okay. And just for the record let me stop this for a second.  Just for the record, Sean, do we have an order in this case?  MR. STARR: Not to my knowledge.  MS. WEST: Okay. Can your office
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So I'm not I don't know I can't answer that question, because I'm having trouble reading this document.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Do you have an independent recollection of Terry Rogers giving a positive identification of Mr. Fletcher based off of a photo array?  A. I do not.  Q. Let's go to the next page, which for the record is 3016 or page I think page 3 of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you.  MS. WEST: Okay.  THE WITNESS: That I can I don't no. I did not.  MS. WEST: Okay. And just for the record let me stop this for a second.  Just for the record, Sean, do we have an order in this case?  MR. STARR: Not to my knowledge.  MS. WEST: Okay. Can your office MR. STARR: But that doesn't mean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So I'm not I don't know I can't answer that question, because I'm having trouble reading this document.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Do you have an independent recollection of Terry Rogers giving a positive identification of Mr. Fletcher based off of a photo array?  A. I do not.  Q. Let's go to the next page, which for the record is 3016 or page I think page 3 of this document. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you.  MS. WEST: Okay.  THE WITNESS: That I can I don't no. I did not.  MS. WEST: Okay. And just for the record let me stop this for a second.  Just for the record, Sean, do we have an order in this case?  MR. STARR: Not to my knowledge.  MS. WEST: Okay. Can your office MR. STARR: But that doesn't mean MS. WEST: I'm sorry. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So I'm not I don't know I can't answer that question, because I'm having trouble reading this document.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Do you have an independent recollection of Terry Rogers giving a positive identification of Mr. Fletcher based off of a photo array?  A. I do not.  Q. Let's go to the next page, which for the record is 3016 or page I think page 3 of this document. Yes.  And it has a page that looks like there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you.  MS. WEST: Okay.  THE WITNESS: That I can I don't no. I did not.  MS. WEST: Okay. And just for the record let me stop this for a second.  Just for the record, Sean, do we have an order in this case?  MR. STARR: Not to my knowledge.  MS. WEST: Okay. Can your office MR. STARR: But that doesn't mean MS. WEST: I'm sorry. Go ahead.  MR. STARR: I'm sorry. I'm not saying that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So I'm not I don't know I can't answer that question, because I'm having trouble reading this document.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Do you have an independent recollection of Terry Rogers giving a positive identification of Mr. Fletcher based off of a photo array?  A. I do not.  Q. Let's go to the next page, which for the record is 3016 or page I think page 3 of this document. Yes.  And it has a page that looks like there is a stamp on it. It says, "filed grand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you.  MS. WEST: Okay.  THE WITNESS: That I can I don't no. I did not.  MS. WEST: Okay. And just for the record let me stop this for a second.  Just for the record, Sean, do we have an order in this case?  MR. STARR: Not to my knowledge.  MS. WEST: Okay. Can your office MR. STARR: But that doesn't mean MS. WEST: I'm sorry. Go ahead.  MR. STARR: I'm sorry. I'm not saying that we don't have one. You know, I joined the case
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So I'm not I don't know I can't answer that question, because I'm having trouble reading this document.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Do you have an independent recollection of Terry Rogers giving a positive identification of Mr. Fletcher based off of a photo array?  A. I do not.  Q. Let's go to the next page, which for the record is 3016 or page I think page 3 of this document. Yes.  And it has a page that looks like there is a stamp on it. It says, "filed grand jury" and what looks like "May 13, 2002."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you.  MS. WEST: Okay.  THE WITNESS: That I can I don't no. I did not.  MS. WEST: Okay. And just for the record let me stop this for a second.  Just for the record, Sean, do we have an order in this case?  MR. STARR: Not to my knowledge.  MS. WEST: Okay. Can your office MR. STARR: But that doesn't mean MS. WEST: I'm sorry. Go ahead.  MR. STARR: I'm sorry. I'm not saying that we don't have one. You know, I joined the case quite late. I'm not aware of one as I sit here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So I'm not I don't know I can't answer that question, because I'm having trouble reading this document.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Do you have an independent recollection of Terry Rogers giving a positive identification of Mr. Fletcher based off of a photo array?  A. I do not.  Q. Let's go to the next page, which for the record is 3016 or page I think page 3 of this document. Yes.  And it has a page that looks like there is a stamp on it. It says, "filed grand jury" and what looks like "May 13, 2002."  Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you.  MS. WEST: Okay.  THE WITNESS: That I can I don't no. I did not.  MS. WEST: Okay. And just for the record let me stop this for a second.  Just for the record, Sean, do we have an order in this case?  MR. STARR: Not to my knowledge.  MS. WEST: Okay. Can your office MR. STARR: But that doesn't mean MS. WEST: I'm sorry. Go ahead.  MR. STARR: I'm sorry. I'm not saying that we don't have one. You know, I joined the case quite late. I'm not aware of one as I sit here today.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So I'm not I don't know I can't answer that question, because I'm having trouble reading this document.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Do you have an independent recollection of Terry Rogers giving a positive identification of Mr. Fletcher based off of a photo array?  A. I do not.  Q. Let's go to the next page, which for the record is 3016 or page I think page 3 of this document. Yes.  And it has a page that looks like there is a stamp on it. It says, "filed grand jury" and what looks like "May 13, 2002."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you.  MS. WEST: Okay.  THE WITNESS: That I can I don't no. I did not.  MS. WEST: Okay. And just for the record let me stop this for a second.  Just for the record, Sean, do we have an order in this case?  MR. STARR: Not to my knowledge.  MS. WEST: Okay. Can your office MR. STARR: But that doesn't mean MS. WEST: I'm sorry. Go ahead.  MR. STARR: I'm sorry. I'm not saying that we don't have one. You know, I joined the case quite late. I'm not aware of one as I sit here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So I'm not I don't know I can't answer that question, because I'm having trouble reading this document.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Do you have an independent recollection of Terry Rogers giving a positive identification of Mr. Fletcher based off of a photo array?  A. I do not.  Q. Let's go to the next page, which for the record is 3016 or page I think page 3 of this document. Yes.  And it has a page that looks like there is a stamp on it. It says, "filed grand jury" and what looks like "May 13, 2002."  Do you see that?  A. I see the stamp on the screen, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you.  MS. WEST: Okay.  THE WITNESS: That I can I don't no. I did not.  MS. WEST: Okay. And just for the record let me stop this for a second.  Just for the record, Sean, do we have an order in this case?  MR. STARR: Not to my knowledge.  MS. WEST: Okay. Can your office MR. STARR: But that doesn't mean MS. WEST: I'm sorry. Go ahead.  MR. STARR: I'm sorry. I'm not saying that we don't have one. You know, I joined the case quite late. I'm not aware of one as I sit here today.  MS. WEST: Okay. But just so we are clear
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So I'm not I don't know I can't answer that question, because I'm having trouble reading this document.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Do you have an independent recollection of Terry Rogers giving a positive identification of Mr. Fletcher based off of a photo array?  A. I do not.  Q. Let's go to the next page, which for the record is 3016 or page I think page 3 of this document. Yes.  And it has a page that looks like there is a stamp on it. It says, "filed grand jury" and what looks like "May 13, 2002."  Do you see that?  A. I see the stamp on the screen, yes.  Q. Any idea what where that came from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you.  MS. WEST: Okay.  THE WITNESS: That I can I don't no. I did not.  MS. WEST: Okay. And just for the record let me stop this for a second.  Just for the record, Sean, do we have an order in this case?  MR. STARR: Not to my knowledge.  MS. WEST: Okay. Can your office MR. STARR: But that doesn't mean MS. WEST: I'm sorry. Go ahead.  MR. STARR: I'm sorry. I'm not saying that we don't have one. You know, I joined the case quite late. I'm not aware of one as I sit here today.  MS. WEST: Okay. But just so we are clear because that's why I think there is an existence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So I'm not I don't know I can't answer that question, because I'm having trouble reading this document.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Do you have an independent recollection of Terry Rogers giving a positive identification of Mr. Fletcher based off of a photo array?  A. I do not.  Q. Let's go to the next page, which for the record is 3016 or page I think page 3 of this document. Yes.  And it has a page that looks like there is a stamp on it. It says, "filed grand jury" and what looks like "May 13, 2002."  Do you see that?  A. I see the stamp on the screen, yes.  Q. Any idea what where that came from or what that is?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you.  MS. WEST: Okay.  THE WITNESS: That I can I don't no. I did not.  MS. WEST: Okay. And just for the record let me stop this for a second.  Just for the record, Sean, do we have an order in this case?  MR. STARR: Not to my knowledge.  MS. WEST: Okay. Can your office MR. STARR: But that doesn't mean MS. WEST: I'm sorry. Go ahead.  MR. STARR: I'm sorry. I'm not saying that we don't have one. You know, I joined the case quite late. I'm not aware of one as I sit here today.  MS. WEST: Okay. But just so we are clear because that's why I think there is an existence of an order, because your office did produce the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So I'm not I don't know I can't answer that question, because I'm having trouble reading this document.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Do you have an independent recollection of Terry Rogers giving a positive identification of Mr. Fletcher based off of a photo array?  A. I do not.  Q. Let's go to the next page, which for the record is 3016 or page I think page 3 of this document. Yes.  And it has a page that looks like there is a stamp on it. It says, "filed grand jury" and what looks like "May 13, 2002."  Do you see that?  A. I see the stamp on the screen, yes.  Q. Any idea what where that came from or what that is?  A. I have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you.  MS. WEST: Okay.  THE WITNESS: That I can I don't no. I did not.  MS. WEST: Okay. And just for the record let me stop this for a second.  Just for the record, Sean, do we have an order in this case?  MR. STARR: Not to my knowledge.  MS. WEST: Okay. Can your office MR. STARR: But that doesn't mean MS. WEST: I'm sorry. Go ahead.  MR. STARR: I'm sorry. I'm not saying that we don't have one. You know, I joined the case quite late. I'm not aware of one as I sit here today.  MS. WEST: Okay. But just so we are clear because that's why I think there is an existence of an order, because your office did produce the grand jury materials.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So I'm not I don't know I can't answer that question, because I'm having trouble reading this document. BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Do you have an independent recollection of Terry Rogers giving a positive identification of Mr. Fletcher based off of a photo array?  A. I do not. Q. Let's go to the next page, which for the record is 3016 or page I think page 3 of this document. Yes.  And it has a page that looks like there is a stamp on it. It says, "filed grand jury" and what looks like "May 13, 2002."  Do you see that?  A. I see the stamp on the screen, yes. Q. Any idea what where that came from or what that is?  A. I have  MR. HOLMES: I just on behalf of the Office I will just offer that 725 ILCS 5/112-6 is a statute which protects the secrecy of grand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you.  MS. WEST: Okay.  THE WITNESS: That I can I don't no. I did not.  MS. WEST: Okay. And just for the record let me stop this for a second.  Just for the record, Sean, do we have an order in this case?  MR. STARR: Not to my knowledge.  MS. WEST: Okay. Can your office MR. STARR: But that doesn't mean MS. WEST: I'm sorry. Go ahead.  MR. STARR: I'm sorry. I'm not saying that we don't have one. You know, I joined the case quite late. I'm not aware of one as I sit here today.  MS. WEST: Okay. But just so we are clear because that's why I think there is an existence of an order, because your office did produce the grand jury materials.  MR. STARR: Yeah. And I agree with that.  MS. WEST: Okay. I just wanted to confirm with you. Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So I'm not I don't know I can't answer that question, because I'm having trouble reading this document. BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Do you have an independent recollection of Terry Rogers giving a positive identification of Mr. Fletcher based off of a photo array?  A. I do not. Q. Let's go to the next page, which for the record is 3016 or page I think page 3 of this document. Yes.  And it has a page that looks like there is a stamp on it. It says, "filed grand jury" and what looks like "May 13, 2002."  Do you see that?  A. I see the stamp on the screen, yes. Q. Any idea what where that came from or what that is? A. I have MR. HOLMES: I just on behalf of the Office I will just offer that 725 ILCS 5/112-6	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. COYNE: Okay. Thank you.  MS. WEST: Okay.  THE WITNESS: That I can I don't no. I did not.  MS. WEST: Okay. And just for the record let me stop this for a second.  Just for the record, Sean, do we have an order in this case?  MR. STARR: Not to my knowledge.  MS. WEST: Okay. Can your office MR. STARR: But that doesn't mean MS. WEST: I'm sorry. Go ahead.  MR. STARR: I'm sorry. I'm not saying that we don't have one. You know, I joined the case quite late. I'm not aware of one as I sit here today.  MS. WEST: Okay. But just so we are clear because that's why I think there is an existence of an order, because your office did produce the grand jury materials.  MR. STARR: Yeah. And I agree with that.  MS. WEST: Okay. I just wanted to confirm

	Page 57		Page 59
	_		<del>-</del>
1	mean Loevy's office or	1	that right?
2	MS. WEST: Correct.	2	A. Yes.
3	MR. COYNE: Oh, got you.	3	Q. Okay.
4	MS. WEST: Loevy's office produced the grand	4	A. Correct.
5	jury materials, the two statements that I	5	Q. Do you know what those those
6	provided to you guys prior to today's	6	preprinted abbreviations mean?
7	deposition.	7	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.
8	MR. COYNE: Okay.	8	BY THE WITNESS:
9	MR. STARR: And, Allyson, if you want, I can	9	A. Those are abbreviations used typically
10	look on a break to see if we have the order.	10	in bond court by the State's Attorney's Office.
11	MS. WEST: Awesome. Thank you. I can take a	11	Those
12	look too.	12	BY MS. WEST:
13	MR. STARR: I mean, yeah, it would have been	13	Q. Have you sorry. Go ahead.
14	produced, but, yeah, I'll take a look.	14	A. Generally, it's my understanding it
15	MS. WEST: Okay. Thanks.	15	means the FPC, finding probable cause. The G
16	BY MS. WEST:	16	is an abbreviation for granted. ATIA I believe
17	Q. Did we even get an answer to the	17	means advise trial in abstentia. DDT means
18	question after	18	defendant demands trial. M/S refers to motion
19	A. If you could repeat the question about	19	state.
20	the stamp?	20	Q. Okay. Thank you.
21	Q. Sure.	21	And there is no date on here to
22	So on that page 3016 it looks like	22	indicate that any of that those things that
23	it's a stamped document.	23	you just read happened on a particular date; is
24	Any idea what that stamp is?	24	that right?
1	Page 58  A. I don't know. I don't know.	1	Page 60  A. There is no other writing on the folder
2	Q. Thank you.	2	other than the "Fletcher, comma, James."
3	The next page sorry. I will pull it	3	Q. Thank you. All right. So moving
4	back up. The next page on this document, which	4	forward, let's take a look at 3020. All right.
5	is page 4 of the document or for the Bates it's	5	And the date of this is March 9, 2002.
6	3017, on that page, Ms. Walker, do you see that	6	Do you see that?
7	there is some abbreviations under "motions and	7	A. Yes.
8	rulings"?	8	Q. Okay. Are you able to pull it up
9	A. Oh, yeah.	9	either in front of you on a paper copy or do
	Q. Okay. And first off, do you know what	10	
10		1 10	vou
10 11		11	you A. Yeah. I have the paper copy I am
	this particular page of the document is? Are		A. Yeah. I have the paper copy I am
11	this particular page of the document is? Are you familiar with it?	11	A. Yeah. I have the paper copy I am just trying to find my paper copy and make sure
11 12	this particular page of the document is? Are	11 12	A. Yeah. I have the paper copy I am just trying to find my paper copy and make sure I'm looking at the right thing.
11 12 13	this particular page of the document is? Are you familiar with it?  A. So, generally speaking, that is a	11 12 13	A. Yeah. I have the paper copy I am just trying to find my paper copy and make sure I'm looking at the right thing.  MR. STARR: Allyson, if I may, could I ask
11 12 13 14	this particular page of the document is? Are you familiar with it?  A. So, generally speaking, that is a the outside of the Felony of a Felony Review	11 12 13 14	A. Yeah. I have the paper copy I am just trying to find my paper copy and make sure I'm looking at the right thing.  MR. STARR: Allyson, if I may, could I ask you to make it a little bit bigger for my
11 12 13 14 15	this particular page of the document is? Are you familiar with it?  A. So, generally speaking, that is a the outside of the Felony of a Felony Review folder that has minimal writing on it. I don't	11 12 13 14 15	A. Yeah. I have the paper copy I am just trying to find my paper copy and make sure I'm looking at the right thing.  MR. STARR: Allyson, if I may, could I ask you to make it a little bit bigger for my MS. WEST: Sure, sure.
11 12 13 14 15 16	this particular page of the document is? Are you familiar with it?  A. So, generally speaking, that is a the outside of the Felony of a Felony Review folder that has minimal writing on it. I don't remember creating this document. Although, the	11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yeah. I have the paper copy I am just trying to find my paper copy and make sure I'm looking at the right thing.  MR. STARR: Allyson, if I may, could I ask you to make it a little bit bigger for my
11 12 13 14 15 16	this particular page of the document is? Are you familiar with it?  A. So, generally speaking, that is a the outside of the Felony of a Felony Review folder that has minimal writing on it. I don't remember creating this document. Although, the words "Fletcher, comma, James," that looks like it could be my writing. The items that you're	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yeah. I have the paper copy I am just trying to find my paper copy and make sure I'm looking at the right thing.  MR. STARR: Allyson, if I may, could I ask you to make it a little bit bigger for my MS. WEST: Sure, sure.  MR. STARR: Thank you. BY MS. WEST:
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this particular page of the document is? Are you familiar with it?  A. So, generally speaking, that is a the outside of the Felony of a Felony Review folder that has minimal writing on it. I don't remember creating this document. Although, the words "Fletcher, comma, James," that looks like	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yeah. I have the paper copy I am just trying to find my paper copy and make sure I'm looking at the right thing.  MR. STARR: Allyson, if I may, could I ask you to make it a little bit bigger for my MS. WEST: Sure, sure.  MR. STARR: Thank you.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Ms. Walker, tell me whenever you've
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this particular page of the document is? Are you familiar with it?  A. So, generally speaking, that is a the outside of the Felony of a Felony Review folder that has minimal writing on it. I don't remember creating this document. Although, the words "Fletcher, comma, James," that looks like it could be my writing. The items that you're talking about, Counsel, are part of the	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yeah. I have the paper copy I am just trying to find my paper copy and make sure I'm looking at the right thing.  MR. STARR: Allyson, if I may, could I ask you to make it a little bit bigger for my MS. WEST: Sure, sure.  MR. STARR: Thank you.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Ms. Walker, tell me whenever you've been able to locate the page.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this particular page of the document is? Are you familiar with it?  A. So, generally speaking, that is a the outside of the Felony of a Felony Review folder that has minimal writing on it. I don't remember creating this document. Although, the words "Fletcher, comma, James," that looks like it could be my writing. The items that you're talking about, Counsel, are part of the preprinted form. The pre it comes like that.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yeah. I have the paper copy I am just trying to find my paper copy and make sure I'm looking at the right thing.  MR. STARR: Allyson, if I may, could I ask you to make it a little bit bigger for my MS. WEST: Sure, sure.  MR. STARR: Thank you.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Ms. Walker, tell me whenever you've been able to locate the page.  A. Yes. I have located the page.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this particular page of the document is? Are you familiar with it?  A. So, generally speaking, that is a the outside of the Felony of a Felony Review folder that has minimal writing on it. I don't remember creating this document. Although, the words "Fletcher, comma, James," that looks like it could be my writing. The items that you're talking about, Counsel, are part of the preprinted form. The pre it comes like that. It's how it was created, and those that's	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yeah. I have the paper copy I am just trying to find my paper copy and make sure I'm looking at the right thing.  MR. STARR: Allyson, if I may, could I ask you to make it a little bit bigger for my MS. WEST: Sure, sure.  MR. STARR: Thank you.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Ms. Walker, tell me whenever you've been able to locate the page.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this particular page of the document is? Are you familiar with it?  A. So, generally speaking, that is a the outside of the Felony of a Felony Review folder that has minimal writing on it. I don't remember creating this document. Although, the words "Fletcher, comma, James," that looks like it could be my writing. The items that you're talking about, Counsel, are part of the preprinted form. The pre it comes like that. It's how it was created, and those that's that's preprinted on the on the document.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yeah. I have the paper copy I am just trying to find my paper copy and make sure I'm looking at the right thing.  MR. STARR: Allyson, if I may, could I ask you to make it a little bit bigger for my MS. WEST: Sure, sure.  MR. STARR: Thank you. BY MS. WEST:  Q. Ms. Walker, tell me whenever you've been able to locate the page.  A. Yes. I have located the page. Q. Okay. Okay. Great. All right. I'm

	Page 61		Page 63
1	direct you to the right-hand side of the page	1	9 Mar 02."
2	where it has Witness No. 2.	2	Do you see that?
3	Do you see that?	3	A. Yes. I see that.
4	A. Yes.	4	Q. Okay. And do you agree with what I
5	Q. Okay. All right. And the individual	5	just read, that that's what's documented here?
6	listed as Witness No. 2 is Sheene Friend.	6	A. That the copy is not great, but that
7	Do you see that?	7	looks like that's what it could say.
8	A. Yes. I see the see the words "Sheene	8	Q. Okay. And the "I" you previously
9	Friend," yes.	9	testified means interview; is that right?
10	Q. Okay. Going on down, do you see on the	10	A. Generally speaking, "I" with a circle
11	left-hand side where it says, "means of ID"?	11	would mean interview.
12	A. Yes.	12	Q. Okay. And based on these notes, it
13	Q. Okay. And it looks like there is a	13	appears that you, ASA Walker, interviewed
14	circle around "photo array."	14	Ms. Friend on March 9th, 2002; is that right?
15	Do you see that?	15	A. I don't have any independent
16	A. I see that on the document.	16	recollection of this, so so I can't say for
17	Q. Okay. Because the photo array is	17	sure.
18	circled, does that mean that Ms. Friend was	18	Q. Do you have any reason to dispute or
19	shown a photo array at some point in time?	19	disagree with what is documented here in front
20	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.	20	of you with regard to the interview of
21	BY THE WITNESS:	21	Ms. Friend?
22	A. So while I don't have an independent	22	MR. COYNE: Objection, form.
23	recollection of creating this document.	23	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation.
24	Although, the handwriting on this document looks	24	BY THE WITNESS:
	Page 62		Page 64
1	like it could be my handwriting. I don't know	1	A. I don't have an independent
2	why the circle was placed around "photo array"	2	recollection. So I can't say I can't say
3	here. But generally speaking, if someone was	3	that I know I know that to be true, because
4	shown a photo array, that would be you know,	4	it would be speculation.
5	generally, we would circle "photo array."	5	BY MS. WEST:
6	BY MS. WEST:	6	Q. On down on that line the next
7	Q. Okay. And "statement type," it looks	7	line I'm sorry. On the same line under
8	like "handwritten" is circled.	8	notes, it looks like there is a plus sign with
9	Do you see that?	9	an "ID," and it's circled.
10	A. I do see that.	10	Do you see that?
11	Q. Okay. Is that because Ms. Friend gave	11	A. I do see that.
12	a handwritten statement?	12	Q. And what does that mean to you?
13	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.	13	A. It's it's "ID" with a circle
14	BY THE WITNESS:	14	around it and a plus sign generally speaking
15	A. Again, I don't remember creating this	15	could mean that there was a positive ID. But
16	document, though the handwriting looks like	16	after that, I can't the words are too light,
17	mine. Generally speaking, if a handwritten was	17	so I can't read what it says. So I'm not I'm
18	taken, the handwritten the word "handwritten"	18	not sure.
19	would be circled.	19	Q. Do you have an independent recollection
20	BY MS. WEST:	20	of Ms. Friend making a positive identification
21	Q. Okay. And down under "Notes" let me	21	based on a photo lineup?
	make it a little bit bigger, because I think	22	A. I do not.
22			
22 23 24	this one is pretty hard to read it looks like it says "I," with a circle, "by ASA Walker on	23 24	Q. Based on the notes that are in front of you, can you say that Ms. Friend made a positive

	Page 65		Page 67
	Page 65		_
1	identification based on a photo array?	1	and there are no pictures attached to it.
2	MR. COYNE: Objection	2	BY MS. WEST:
3	MR. STARR: Form, foundation.	3	Q. Okay. If you don't mind I'm sorry.
4	MR. COYNE: foundation.	4	A. Just so everybody knows.
5	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation.	5	Q. Okay. Thank you. I may ask you some
6	BY THE WITNESS:	6	questions about those photographs. So if you'd
7	A. I can't I don't remember creating	7	prefer, we can look off of this one, but the
8	this document. Although, the handwriting does	8	statement itself should be identical, if you
9	appear that it could it looks like mine.	9	it's better to read the copy in front of you.
10	Because I don't have an independent	10	Okay. All right. So we have already
11	recollection, I cannot say that.	11	established you have what we have marked as
12	BY MS. WEST:	12	Exhibit 2 in front of you.
13	Q. Is there any other reason why a photo	13	Do you recognize this document?
14	array would be circled if the individual didn't	14	A. I do not.
15	view a photo array?	15	Q. Okay. Do you see the top where it
16	MR. COYNE: Objection; foundation,	16	says, "Statement of Sheene Friend"?
17	speculation.	17	A. Yes.
18	BY THE WITNESS:	18	Q. Okay. Is that in your handwriting, the
19	A. I don't know.	19	name "Sheene Friend"?
20	BY MS. WEST:	20	A. It looks like it could be my
21	Q. And is there any other reason why	21	handwriting, but I don't have an independent
22	"positive ID" would be documented if the witness	22	recollection of creating this document, so I
23	didn't positively identify an individual?	23	can't say that it is.
24	MR. COYNE: Same objections.	24	Q. Okay. If you go on down where it says
			Q. Only. If you go on down where it suys
	Page 66		Page 68
1	MR. STARR: Join.	1	"present," do you see "ASA Jennifer Walker"?
2	BY THE WITNESS:	2	A. I do see that.
3	A. Because I don't have a recollection of	3	Q. Okay. Is that in your handwriting?
4	this, I can't answer.	4	A. Yes. It it looks like it could be
5	BY MS. WEST:	5	my handwriting. I don't have an independent
6	Q. Let me show you what we will mark as	6	recollection of creating this document, so I
7	Exhibit 2. And it's going to be the handwritten	7	cannot say that for sure it is.
8	statement of Ms. Friend.	8	Q. Okay. So listed on this document
9	Do you have that in paper form?	9	present for the statement of Ms. Friend is
9	<b>Do you have that in paper form?</b> A. I do.	"	present for the statement of Ms. Friend is listed, ASA Jennifer Walker, Detective Bogucki,
	A. I do.	9 10 11	listed, ASA Jennifer Walker, Detective Bogucki,
9 10 11	A. I do. (Whereupon, Walker Deposition	10	listed, ASA Jennifer Walker, Detective Bogucki, and Detective Schalk.
9 10 11 12	A. I do.     (Whereupon, Walker Deposition     Exhibit No. 2 was marked for	10 11 12	listed, ASA Jennifer Walker, Detective Bogucki, and Detective Schalk.  Do you see that?
9 10 11 12 13	A. I do.     (Whereupon, Walker Deposition     Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)	10 11 12 13	listed, ASA Jennifer Walker, Detective Bogucki, and Detective Schalk.  Do you see that?  A. I see the document says that.
9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I do.    (Whereupon, Walker Deposition     Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)</li> <li>MS. WEST: Okay. All right. Great. I will</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14	listed, ASA Jennifer Walker, Detective Bogucki, and Detective Schalk.  Do you see that?  A. I see the document says that.  Q. Do you have an independent recollection
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I do.  (Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)  MS. WEST: Okay. All right. Great. I will pull it up anyway for counsel.	10 11 12 13 14 15	listed, ASA Jennifer Walker, Detective Bogucki, and Detective Schalk.  Do you see that?  A. I see the document says that.  Q. Do you have an independent recollection of taking the handwritten statement of
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I do.    <ul> <li>(Whereupon, Walker Deposition</li> <li>Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>MS. WEST: Okay. All right. Great. I will pull it up anyway for counsel.</li> <li>MR. COYNE: Can I just ask, are these going</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	listed, ASA Jennifer Walker, Detective Bogucki, and Detective Schalk.  Do you see that?  A. I see the document says that.  Q. Do you have an independent recollection of taking the handwritten statement of Ms. Friend?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I do.  (Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)  MS. WEST: Okay. All right. Great. I will pull it up anyway for counsel.  MR. COYNE: Can I just ask, are these going to be Walker deposition exhibits, or are these	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	listed, ASA Jennifer Walker, Detective Bogucki, and Detective Schalk.  Do you see that?  A. I see the document says that.  Q. Do you have an independent recollection of taking the handwritten statement of Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I do.  (Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)  MS. WEST: Okay. All right. Great. I will pull it up anyway for counsel.  MR. COYNE: Can I just ask, are these going to be Walker deposition exhibits, or are these previously marked?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	listed, ASA Jennifer Walker, Detective Bogucki, and Detective Schalk.  Do you see that?  A. I see the document says that.  Q. Do you have an independent recollection of taking the handwritten statement of Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. Based on the statement that's in front
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I do.  (Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)  MS. WEST: Okay. All right. Great. I will pull it up anyway for counsel.  MR. COYNE: Can I just ask, are these going to be Walker deposition exhibits, or are these previously marked?  MS. WEST: No. These are going to be Walker	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	listed, ASA Jennifer Walker, Detective Bogucki, and Detective Schalk.  Do you see that?  A. I see the document says that.  Q. Do you have an independent recollection of taking the handwritten statement of Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. Based on the statement that's in front of you, where was the statement taken?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I do.  (Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)  MS. WEST: Okay. All right. Great. I will pull it up anyway for counsel.  MR. COYNE: Can I just ask, are these going to be Walker deposition exhibits, or are these previously marked?  MS. WEST: No. These are going to be Walker deposition exhibits. So this is No. 2. And for	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	listed, ASA Jennifer Walker, Detective Bogucki, and Detective Schalk.  Do you see that?  A. I see the document says that.  Q. Do you have an independent recollection of taking the handwritten statement of Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. Based on the statement that's in front of you, where was the statement taken?  A. Because I don't have an independent
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I do.  (Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)  MS. WEST: Okay. All right. Great. I will pull it up anyway for counsel.  MR. COYNE: Can I just ask, are these going to be Walker deposition exhibits, or are these previously marked?  MS. WEST: No. These are going to be Walker deposition exhibits. So this is No. 2. And for the record, it is City-JF-000040 through 46.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	listed, ASA Jennifer Walker, Detective Bogucki, and Detective Schalk.  Do you see that?  A. I see the document says that.  Q. Do you have an independent recollection of taking the handwritten statement of Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. Based on the statement that's in front of you, where was the statement taken?  A. Because I don't have an independent recollection, I don't know where the statement
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I do.  (Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)  MS. WEST: Okay. All right. Great. I will pull it up anyway for counsel.  MR. COYNE: Can I just ask, are these going to be Walker deposition exhibits, or are these previously marked?  MS. WEST: No. These are going to be Walker deposition exhibits. So this is No. 2. And for the record, it is City-JF-000040 through 46. BY THE WITNESS:	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	listed, ASA Jennifer Walker, Detective Bogucki, and Detective Schalk.  Do you see that?  A. I see the document says that.  Q. Do you have an independent recollection of taking the handwritten statement of Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. Based on the statement that's in front of you, where was the statement taken?  A. Because I don't have an independent recollection, I don't know where the statement was taken, but the document indicates Area 5.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I do.  (Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)  MS. WEST: Okay. All right. Great. I will pull it up anyway for counsel.  MR. COYNE: Can I just ask, are these going to be Walker deposition exhibits, or are these previously marked?  MS. WEST: No. These are going to be Walker deposition exhibits. So this is No. 2. And for the record, it is City-JF-000040 through 46.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. Just so so the copy that I got of	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	listed, ASA Jennifer Walker, Detective Bogucki, and Detective Schalk.  Do you see that?  A. I see the document says that.  Q. Do you have an independent recollection of taking the handwritten statement of Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. Based on the statement that's in front of you, where was the statement taken?  A. Because I don't have an independent recollection, I don't know where the statement was taken, but the document indicates Area 5.  Q. And the document indicates that it was
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I do.  (Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)  MS. WEST: Okay. All right. Great. I will pull it up anyway for counsel.  MR. COYNE: Can I just ask, are these going to be Walker deposition exhibits, or are these previously marked?  MS. WEST: No. These are going to be Walker deposition exhibits. So this is No. 2. And for the record, it is City-JF-000040 through 46. BY THE WITNESS:	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	listed, ASA Jennifer Walker, Detective Bogucki, and Detective Schalk.  Do you see that?  A. I see the document says that.  Q. Do you have an independent recollection of taking the handwritten statement of Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. Based on the statement that's in front of you, where was the statement taken?  A. Because I don't have an independent recollection, I don't know where the statement was taken, but the document indicates Area 5.

Page 69 Page 71 A. Yes. 1 1 A. Because I don't remember creating this 2 2 document, and I -- I don't remember taking the Q. Can you tell based on this document --3 strike that. 3 statement that's discussed in this document. 4 I'm going to go down just to the body 4 O. Typically, when you would take a 5 5 of the document itself. handwritten statement, would you document that 6 6 The first sentence, "After being you were present for the statement? 7 advised that Assistant State's Attorney Jennifer 7 A. Generally speaking, yes. 8 8 Q. And typically, when you were taking a Walker is a lawyer and is a prosecutor and not 9 9 her lawyer..." I'm just going to stop there for handwritten statement, would you document that 10 a second. 10 you advised the witness of who you were? 11 Based on that -- based on the document 11 A. Generally speaking, yes. 12 and the sentence that I just read, did you 12 Q. I'm going to ask you to take a look 13 advise Ms. Friend that you were an assistant 13 at -- starting on the third page of this 14 state's attorney and not her lawyer? 14 document --15 15 MR. STARR: Form, foundation. Calls for MR. COYNE: Is that BS 42? 16 speculation. 16 MS. WEST: I'm sorry? 17 17 MR. COYNE: Join. MR. COYNE: Is that BS 42, the third page, 18 BY THE WITNESS: 18 Bates stamp? 19 MS. WEST: Correct. 19 A. I don't have a specific recollection of 20 taking this handwritten statement or creating 20 MR. COYNE: Thank you. 21 this document, but the document -- that's what 21 MS. WEST: 42 Bates stamp, the third page of 22 22 the document says. the document itself. 23 23 BY MS. WEST: BY MS. WEST: 24 Q. Would there ever be an instance where 24 Q. The middle of the page -- I'm going to Page 70 Page 72 1 1 somebody else would take down a handwritten show you with my cursor here where it starts 2 2 statement and indicate that it was you that was with "Sheene states" right here. 3 3 A. Yes. 4 4 MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Q. Do you see where I'm talking about? 5 5 Requires speculation. A. Yes. 6 6 BY THE WITNESS: Q. Okay. Can you -- I'm going to have you 7 A. I -- I don't know. To answer, I would 7 read this, please. If you could read it out 8 have to speculate. 8 loud starting right here with "Sheene states..." 9 9 BY MS. WEST: A. Sure. 10 10 Q. Let me scroll down here. Do you see "Sheene states that the man who is 11 the signature that's on the left-hand side of 11 pictured in Exhibit #1 is the same -- crossed the first page, which is City-JF 40 where it 12 off word -- man who grabbed her jacket that day. 12 says "ASA," and it looks like there is a 13 13 Sheene states that when the man grabbed her 14 signature next to it. 14 jacket, she saw that he had a handgun in his 15 Do you see that? 15 other hand. Sheene states that she got out of 16 A. I do see that. 16 the truck and went to the Church's Chicken" -- I 17 Q. Okay. Is that your signature? I'm 17 believe that's the word "on" -- "the south side 18 18 of Madison at Central. sorry. 19 A. I don't remember creating this 19 Sheene stood outside and saw both of 20 document. I don't remember taking a -- the 20 the guys, the bread truck where Edward" -- I'm 21 statement that's discussed in this document. 21 sorry -- "both of the guys in the bread truck That signature looks like it could be my where Edward was. Sheene states she couldn't 22 22 23 23 signature, but I can't say that it is. hear what was being said, but she could see the 24 Q. And why can't you say that it is? 24 guy in Exhibit #1 talking to Edward and pointing

#### Page 73 Page 75 1 his gun at Edward. Sheene saw Edward standing 1 Q. I'm going to stop you right there. 2 2 inside" -- and the cursor is over it. Thank you for reading that. And --3 3 O. Oh, I'm sorry. MR. STARR: I'm just going to -- I'm just 4 A. I think it's a -- I think "while" --4 going to make an objection for the record that 5 5 the document speaks for itself. "the guy in Exhibit #1 was patting Edward down. 6 6 Sheene states that the other guy" -- oh, sorry. BY MS. WEST: 7 That was my cursor. 7 Q. I'm going to scroll down. And I want 8 8 "Sheene states that the other guy was to ask you -- and this is page 45, Bates 45. 9 9 Typically, when you are taking a holding a gun on Edward and pointing..." 10 10 statement of a witness, do you take a photograph Q. I'm going to have you keep going, 11 please. 11 of that witness following or at some point 12 A. "... his gun at Edward while the guy in 12 during the statement? 13 A. Typically and generally speaking, yes, 13 Exhibit #1 was patting Edward, crossed off we did. 14 something, down. Sheene states that she saw the 14 15 15 guy in Exhibit #1 holding a bunch of money in Q. Okay. And does this appear to be a 16 photograph or a Polaroid of the witness? 16 bills, and then both guys turned to get off the 17 MR. STARR: Form, foundation. Calls for 17 bread truck. Sheene states at that the other guy opened the passenger door, and he and the 18 speculation. 18 19 19 guy in Exhibit #1 jumped off the bread truck." MR. COYNE: Join. 20 A word was crossed off. 20 BY THE WITNESS: 21 "After the guys got off the bread 21 A. So I don't remember taking this 22 truck, they ran across Madison towards the 22 statement. I don't remember writing the northeast corner of -- crossed off -- Madison 23 statement. It -- the exhibit is hard to see, 23 24 24 because it -- it looks like it could be a and Parkside on a diagonal. Sheene states she Page 74 Page 76 1 saw Edward jump off the bread truck right after 1 photograph that has been photocopied, so it's 2 2 them. Sheene states she saw that Edward had a hard for me to say what exactly this is. 3 gun when he got off the truck. Sheene states 3 BY MS. WEST: 4 4 she saw the two guys turn around and fire at Q. I'm going to scroll on down to 5 Edward as they were running away. 5 Bates 46. This is what is identified as 6 6 Sheene states she saw Edward fire back Exhibit 1. 7 at the two guys. Sheene states that she saw at 7 Do you see that? 8 least 4 to 5 shots fired, but she's not exactly 8 A. Yes. 9 sure. Sheene states she saw the two guys 9 Q. Okay. And it looks like a signature 10 running away turn and shoot at Edward more than 10 with the name "Sheene Friend" is at the bottom 11 once. Sheene states she saw the two guys run to 11 of the page. 12 Parkside and turn north and she saw Edward chase 12 Do you see that? 13 13 the two guys north on Parkside, but she did not A. I do see that. 14 14 follow them. Q. As well as what appears to be your 15 Sheene states she then left the 15 signature? 16 16 Church's Chicken parking lot and went to the A. It says -- it looks like it could be my 17 laundry mat to start her clothes in the washer. 17 signature, but because I don't remember taking 18 After she started her laundry, she noticed a 18 this statement and I don't remember creating 19 group of people gathering around outside the 19 this document, I can't say that it is my signature. 20 liquor store where she had earlier gone to buy 20 21 laundry soap. Sheene went back across 21 Q. And in Ms. Friend's statement, the 22 Central -- crossed off word -- and saw an older portion that you just read, she identifies the 22 23 man laying in front of the liquor store bleeding 23 individual in Exhibit 1 as one of the offenders, 24 from his mouth." 24 correct?

	Page 77		Page 79
1	A. That's what's written in the document.	1	there was more than one offender in the
2	Q. Yes. And that's that was my	2	underlying robbery and murder of Mr. Sorrell?
3	question.	3	A. I don't have any independent
4	Based on the document, Ms. Friend	4	recollection of of this, and I read the
5	identifies the individual in Exhibit 1 as one of	5	document, and I see what they say, but I don't
6	the offenders, correct?	6	have any independent recollection of this.
7	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation.	7	Q. And based on those documents, does it
8	BY THE WITNESS:	8	identify that there was more than one offender
9	A. The document that's what the	9	in the underlying crime that took place on
10	document says, but I don't have any independent	10	December 21st, 1990?
11	recollection. So I can't say what she	11	A. There are documents that indicate that,
12	actually I can't say what she did.	12	but I don't have any independent recollection of
13	BY MS. WEST:	13	it.
14	Q. Now, I understand you don't have an	14	Q. So let me show you that one more time.
15	independent recollection of taking the statement	15	On this last page, which is page 5 of the
16	of Ms. Friend.	16	document or for the Bates range it's 44, the
17	But typically, all of the information	17	middle paragraph here if you could just read
18	that's contained in the handwritten statement,	18	that middle paragraph, please. It starts with
19	is that information that is related to you from	19	"Sheene states that she"
20	that particular witness?	20	MR. STARR: Same objection. The document
21	MR. COYNE: Objection, form.	21	speaks for itself.
22	MR. STARR: Join.	22	Go ahead.
23	BY THE WITNESS:	23	BY THE WITNESS:
24	A. Generally speaking, when I took	24	A. "Sheene states that she has been
	Page 78		Page 80
1	handwritten statements, it was writing down	1	treated well by the police and Assistant State's
2	information I had received from a witness.	2	Attorney Jennifer Walker and was not made any
3	BY MS. WEST:	3	threats or promises for giving this statement.
4	Q. And the photograph that's in front of	4	Sheene states that she has been given food to
5	you right now that's identified as Exhibit 1, do	5	eat, coffee something crossed off to
6	you know that to be Mr. Fletcher?	6	drink, and cigarettes to smoke. Sheene states
7	A. I have no independent recollection of	7	she is not under the influence of drugs or
8	who that person is.	8	alcohol at something crossed off the time
9	Q. Are you aware that Mr. Fletcher was	9	of giving this statement. Sheene states"
10	charged with armed robbery and first degree	10	BY MS. WEST:
11	murder of an individual who was shot in December	11	Q. Sorry. You can stop here.
12	of 1990?	12	A. Okay.
13	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, you can answer these	13	Q. Thank you.
14	questions as long as you don't divulge	14	Do you typically, when you're doing a
15	yeah information that you received from me as	15	handwritten statement, ask the individual
16	a source in our confidential communications to	16	witness if they've been treated well by the
17	prepare for this deposition.	17	police?
18	BY THE WITNESS:	18	A. Generally speaking, yes.
19	A. I don't have any independent	19	Q. And do you generally ask the witness if
20	recollection of that.	20	they have been treated well by yourself?
21	BY MS. WEST:	21	A. Yes. I generally did.
22	Q. And based on your review of the Felony	22	Q. Okay. And based on this document,
23	Review notes and the other documents that were	23	Ms. Friend indicated that she had been treated
24	provided to you, is it your understanding that	24	well by both you and the police, correct?
		1	

D 01	D 02
Page 81	Page 83
1 MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation.	Q. And the Bates at the bottom is 3020.
2 BY THE WITNESS:	2 A. Okay.
3 A. That's what the document says.	3 Q. Over on the left-hand side under
4 BY MS. WEST:	4 "incident," there appears to be some notes. I
5 Q. If you had witnessed any level of	5 think they are very difficult to read.
6 misconduct by Detectives Bogucki and Schalk	6 Can you read what's written there?
during your review of Mr. Fletcher's case, would	7 MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.
8 you have reported it?	8 BY THE WITNESS:
9 MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.	9 A. The copy is so bad, I can't. It's too
10 BY THE WITNESS:	10 light. I'm sorry. I can't.
11 A. It calls for in order to without	11 BY MS. WEST:
12 giving a better context to this, it's and	Q. No. Thank you. All right. Let's move
13 since I don't have an independent recollection	on down here. On Bates 3022, which is page 9 of
of these conversations and the review process of	the document, under "statement" on the left-hand
this case, I I don't know how to answer that.	side of that page and I'm sorry. For your
16 But I can say that I am mindful of my	16 reference, it's March 9, '02.
17 obligations as a prosecutor my ethical	17 A. Yes.
18 obligations as a prosecutor, and I would have 19 I would have acted in accordance with those	Q. And it's documented page 1 of 2.
	Where it has "statement," under there
20 rules.	20 it says, "Type: Oral. Date: 21 Feb '02," can
21 BY MS. WEST: 22 O. Have you ever reported a detective for	you read what that time is?  22 A. It appears that it says, "14:20."
Q. The your ever reported a detective for	**
23 any type of misconduct during the course of your 24 time as a Felony Review assistant state's	Q. And can you go ahead and read what it says next to "statement witness"?
24 time as a reiony review assistant state s	24 says next to statement witness:
Page 82	Page 84
1 attorney?	1 A. It says, "ASA J Walker, DET Schalk
2 A. I don't remember doing that.	2 #20718." I believe, "DET Bogucki #20668. Delta
3 Q. Is that something that you would	3 AOR. Delta waived. In summary, delta stated he
4 remember doing based on the serious level of the	4 wasn't there and didn't know anything" I'm
5 alleged misconduct?	5 not sure what that word is and then "it."
6 MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation,	6 Q. Okay. Now, you previously testified
7 speculation.	7 that you do have a recollection of going to
8 BY THE WITNESS:	8 speak with Mr. Fletcher at an IDOC facility,
9 A. I believe I would, but I can't	9 correct?
10 remember. So I can't say for sure.	10 A. I remember going to an IDOC facility
11 BY MS. WEST:	with Detective Schalk and Detective Bogucki,
Q. Let me show you we will go back to	12 yes.
Exhibit 1. I want to ask you if you can read a	Q. Okay. Do you specifically recall the
portion of the writing.	14 purpose was to speak with Mr. Fletcher?
15 (Walker Exhibit No. 1 published	15 A. I don't have an independent
16 to Zoom participants.)	16 recollection, but going to speak with someone
17 BY MS. WEST:	would be the purpose of going to IDOC.
18 Q. All right. This is going to be	18 Q. Okay. And based on this, does it
Bates 3020, page 7 of the document. Over here on the left-hand side under "incident," it's	appear that on February 21st, '02, you,  Detective Schalk, and Detective Bogucki met with
20 on the left-hand side under "incident," it's 21 A. I'm sorry. What date I'm sorry.	21 Mr. Fletcher, or the defendant, as noted in this
21 A. 1 m sorry. what date 1 m sorry.  22 What date is this?	22 case?
23 Q. Sure. So this is March 9, '02.	23 A. That's what this document says. I
24 A. Okay.	24 don't have an independent recollection of

	Page 85		Page 87
1	creating it, and I don't have an independent	1	obligations as an ethical obligations as a
2	recollection of the conversation that could have	2	prosecutor, and I would have acted in accordance
3	occurred at IDOC.	3	with those those rules.
4	Q. Okay. Just so I'm clear, I understand	4	BY MS. WEST:
5	you don't have an independent recollection of	5	Q. All right. Moving on. The next date
6	the conversation.	6	is going to be March 16, 2002. And it's going
7	Do you have an independent recollection	7	to be Bates 3028. Let me know whenever you have
8	of meeting with Mr. Fletcher?	8	had the opportunity to find it.
9	A. I don't I I remember going to	9	A. I have it. Thank you.
10	IDOC with Detective Schalk and Detective	10	Q. Okay. Great. All right. Under
11	Bogucki. We were riding in the same car. I	11	sorry. Give me a second to orient myself.
12	don't remember and I remember that the ride	12	All right. Under "action" which is
13	lasted a while. I don't remember meeting with	13	on the left-hand side of the page, it's like
14	anyone when we got there.	14	that second box in the middle column.
15	Q. Okay. Where it's documented "AOR,"	15	Do you see what I'm talking about?
16	what does AOR mean?	16	A. Action?
17	A. Generally speaking, AOR would mean	17	Q. And it's right where my cursor is right
18	advised of rights.	18	in here.
19	Q. Okay, and next to "defendant AOR," it	19	A. Oh, yeah, yeah, I see that.
20	says, "defendant waived."	20	Sorry.
21	Do you see that?	21	Q. Okay. Can you read what it says?
22	A. Yes.	22	A. Underneath the word "action" it says,
23	Q. Okay. Does that indicate that	23	"arrest warrant approved."
24	individual waived his right and he spoke to you	24	Q. Okay. What does that mean?
24	mulvidual walved his right and he spoke to you	24	Q. Okay. What does that mean:
	Page 86		Page 88
1	and the detectives?	1	A. Generally, it would mean that an arrest
2	A. Generally, that's	2	warrant for the defendant was approved.
3	MR. STARR: Speculation	3	MS. WEST: All right. I'm going to show you
4	BY THE WITNESS:	4	what we will mark as Exhibit 3. And this is the
5	A what that would indicate.	5	arrest warrant. For the record, it is Bates
6	MR. STARR: Sorry. Belated objection. Form,	6	Individual Defendants 001604.
7	foundation, speculation.	7	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition
8	BY MS. WEST:	8	Exhibit No. 3 was marked for
9	Q. I'm sorry, Ms. Walker. Can you repeat	9	identification.)
9	· 1		
10	your answer, please?	10	BY MS. WEST:
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10 11	BY MS. WEST:  Q. Ms. Walker, do you have this document
10	your answer, please?	1	
10 11	your answer, please?  A. Generally speaking, that's what that	11	Q. Ms. Walker, do you have this document
10 11 12	your answer, please?  A. Generally speaking, that's what that would mean. I don't have an independent	11 12	Q. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you?
10 11 12 13	your answer, please?  A. Generally speaking, that's what that would mean. I don't have an independent recollection of this event, so I can't say that	11 12 13	Q. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you? A. I do.
10 11 12 13 14	your answer, please?  A. Generally speaking, that's what that would mean. I don't have an independent recollection of this event, so I can't say that that's what happened.	11 12 13 14	<ul><li>Q. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you?</li><li>A. I do.</li><li>Q. Okay. Great. Are you familiar, just</li></ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15	your answer, please?  A. Generally speaking, that's what that would mean. I don't have an independent recollection of this event, so I can't say that that's what happened.  Q. Had you witnessed Detective Schalk or	11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Great. Are you familiar, just generally, with this document this type of</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15	your answer, please?  A. Generally speaking, that's what that would mean. I don't have an independent recollection of this event, so I can't say that that's what happened.  Q. Had you witnessed Detective Schalk or Bogucki making threats towards Mr. Fletcher	11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Great. Are you familiar, just generally, with this document this type of document, I should say?</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	your answer, please?  A. Generally speaking, that's what that would mean. I don't have an independent recollection of this event, so I can't say that that's what happened.  Q. Had you witnessed Detective Schalk or Bogucki making threats towards Mr. Fletcher during this interview, would you have reported	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Great. Are you familiar, just generally, with this document this type of document, I should say?</li> <li>A. I am familiar generally speaking with</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	your answer, please?  A. Generally speaking, that's what that would mean. I don't have an independent recollection of this event, so I can't say that that's what happened.  Q. Had you witnessed Detective Schalk or Bogucki making threats towards Mr. Fletcher during this interview, would you have reported it?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you?  A. I do. Q. Okay. Great. Are you familiar, just generally, with this document this type of document, I should say?  A. I am familiar generally speaking with the arrest warrant form.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	your answer, please?  A. Generally speaking, that's what that would mean. I don't have an independent recollection of this event, so I can't say that that's what happened.  Q. Had you witnessed Detective Schalk or Bogucki making threats towards Mr. Fletcher during this interview, would you have reported it?  MR. COYNE: Objection; foundation,	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you?  A. I do. Q. Okay. Great. Are you familiar, just generally, with this document this type of document, I should say?  A. I am familiar generally speaking with the arrest warrant form. Q. Okay. And over on the left-hand side written on the side of the page, do you see your
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	your answer, please?  A. Generally speaking, that's what that would mean. I don't have an independent recollection of this event, so I can't say that that's what happened.  Q. Had you witnessed Detective Schalk or Bogucki making threats towards Mr. Fletcher during this interview, would you have reported it?  MR. COYNE: Objection; foundation, speculation.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Great. Are you familiar, just generally, with this document this type of document, I should say?</li> <li>A. I am familiar generally speaking with the arrest warrant form.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And over on the left-hand side written on the side of the page, do you see your name, ASA Jennifer Walker?</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	your answer, please?  A. Generally speaking, that's what that would mean. I don't have an independent recollection of this event, so I can't say that that's what happened.  Q. Had you witnessed Detective Schalk or Bogucki making threats towards Mr. Fletcher during this interview, would you have reported it?  MR. COYNE: Objection; foundation, speculation.  MR. STARR: Join.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you?  A. I do. Q. Okay. Great. Are you familiar, just generally, with this document this type of document, I should say?  A. I am familiar generally speaking with the arrest warrant form. Q. Okay. And over on the left-hand side written on the side of the page, do you see your

	Page 89		Page 91
1	Do you see that?	1	A. Because I don't have an independent
2	A. I do.	2	recollection, I can't answer that question. I
3	Q. Do you know what that is?	3	don't know. I don't remember.
4	A. I don't. I can say that AS the ASA	4	BY MS. WEST:
5	Jennifer Walker's handwriting does not look like	5	Q. Thank you.
6	my handwriting. I do not believe that I signed	6	Generally speaking, in order to seek an
7	it. I it could be that those additional	7	arrest warrant, does there have to be probable
8	letters mean that that was somebody else signing	8	cause for that arrest?
9	my name based on on I have seen signatures	9	A. Under generally speaking, under the
10	like that or I have seen writing like that	10	law of the state of Illinois, probable cause
11	before where it's somebody else writing	11	needs to be obtained before an arrest warrant
12	somebody's name on on their behalf.	12	can be issued.
13	I don't I don't remember preparing	13	Q. And on the document that we looked at
14	this document. I don't know that I have ever	14	in the Felony Review note and I can pull it
15	seen this document before preparing for the	15	back up, but on that March 16, '02 note that we
16	deposition. I do that it is not my	16	read earlier, it said, "arrest warrant
17	signature on the left-hand side.	17	approved."
18	Q. Okay. Thank you.	18	When that's noted, is it indicating
19	Do you have any idea whose initials	19	that the Court approved the arrest warrant?
20	that might be next to your name?	20	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.
21	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.	21	MR. STARR: Join.
22	BY THE WITNESS:	22	BY THE WITNESS:
23	A. I do not know.	23	A. Generally speaking, it would mean there
24	BY MS. WEST:	24	was a determination by Felony Review that there
	Page 90		Page 92
1	Q. Okay. And the arrest warrant is for	1	were sufficient facts to establish probable
2	the arrest of Mr. James Fletcher, correct?	2	cause, but ultimately, it would the warrant
3	A. That's what this piece of paper says,	3	would be issued by the judge. It's not issued
4	yes.	4	by the State's Attorney's Office.
5	Q. Okay. And on the arrest warrant it	5	BY MS. WEST:
6	documents "for the offense of first-degree	6	Q. Thank you. All right. I'm going to go
7	murder."	7	back to the Felony Review folder again. All
8	Do you see that?	8	right. So we are going to look at page 3026,
9	A. Yes. I do see those words on this	9	which is page 13 of the document. All right.
10	document.	10	Under "Incident Summary" and let me
11	Q. Okay. And it looks like at the bottom	11	make it bigger for you, because I think it's a
12	the arresting officers are listed as Detective	12	little bit difficult to see the last three
13	Schalk and Bogucki.	13	sentences here that starts with "W1."
14	Do you see that?	14	Do you see that?
15	A. I do see that on the document.	15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Okay. I think I know the answer to	16	Q. Can you read what that says starting at
17	this question considering that you don't have an	17	W1 to the end, please?
18	independent recollection of being involved in	18	A. "W1 is picked up on stop order in
19	this, but I just want to close out on this.	19	Feb 2002 and ID" it could be ID's. I'm not
	What, if any, role did you play in	20	sure "delta in photo lineup. W2 was picked
20	1 4 41 4 4 1 1 4		up on a stop order 7 Mar 02, and ID's"
21	regards to the arrest warrant being sought for	21	•
21 22	the arrest of James Fletcher?	22	I-D-'-S "delta in a in photo lineup. W3
21			•

	Page 93		Page 95
1	And based on the Felony Review folder	1	because I don't have an independent
2	notes that you have in front of you, W1 or	2	recollection.
3	Witness 1 is Terry Rogers, correct?	3	Q. And then on down with Ms. Friend, the
4	A. So I don't have an independent	4	notes also support that a positive
5	recollection of creating this document even	5	identification of the defendant in a photo array
6	though the handwriting looks like it could be	6	occurred with Ms. Friend as well, right?
7	mine. In this document it indicates that Terry	7	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation.
8	Rogers is listed the name Terry Rogers is	8	BY THE WITNESS:
9	listed in what would be considered the W1 box.	9	A. The notes indicate positive
10	Q. Okay. And same question for Witness 2,	10	identification. That's what the document says,
11	which is also on the right-hand side of this	11	but I don't have any independent recollection of
12		12	this. So so I can't say what did or didn't
13	page. Witness 2 listed on this document is	13	happen.
14		14	BY MS. WEST:
15	Sheene Friend, correct?	15	
	A. On this document, it's the name		Q. You also see that there's like a little star down under the notes of Ms. Friend. Let me
16	Sheene Friend is listed in what would be	16	
17	considered the Witness 2 box.	17	scroll over here.
18	Q. Okay. So then based on what you just	18	Can you see that?
19	read under the incident, Witness 1, being Terry	19	A. I can see it better since you've pulled
20	Rogers, ID'd the defendant in the photo lineup,	2.0	it up.
21	correct?	21	Q. Okay. Can you read what that says?
22	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation.	22	A. It says, "W" that might be a 2
23	BY THE WITNESS:	23	"was 'dating,' in quotes, V2 at time of" I
24	A. The notes on this document indicate	24	believe the next word is incident "but they
	Page 94		Page 96
			2
1	there was a positive that Terry Rogers made a	1	_
1 2	there was a positive that Terry Rogers made a	1 2	stopped seeing each other shortly after."
2	positive identification. I don't have an	2	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving
2 3	positive identification. I don't have an independent recollection, and I can't say what	2	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving that information from Ms. Friend?
2 3 4	positive identification. I don't have an independent recollection, and I can't say what actually happened, because I don't have an	2 3 4	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving that information from Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.
2 3 4 5	positive identification. I don't have an independent recollection, and I can't say what actually happened, because I don't have an independent recollection.	2 3 4 5	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving that information from Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. All right. Let's take a look at 3024,
2 3 4 5 6	positive identification. I don't have an independent recollection, and I can't say what actually happened, because I don't have an independent recollection.  BY MS. WEST:	2 3 4 5 6	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving that information from Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. All right. Let's take a look at 3024, which is March 16, '02. And I would like to
2 3 4 5 6 7	positive identification. I don't have an independent recollection, and I can't say what actually happened, because I don't have an independent recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Sure. And over on the right-hand side	2 3 4 5 6 7	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving that information from Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. All right. Let's take a look at 3024, which is March 16, '02. And I would like to direct your attention onto the right-hand side
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	positive identification. I don't have an independent recollection, and I can't say what actually happened, because I don't have an independent recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Sure. And over on the right-hand side where Terry Rogers is listed as a witness, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving that information from Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. All right. Let's take a look at 3024, which is March 16, '02. And I would like to direct your attention onto the right-hand side of the page.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	positive identification. I don't have an independent recollection, and I can't say what actually happened, because I don't have an independent recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Sure. And over on the right-hand side where Terry Rogers is listed as a witness, the notes there also support that there was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving that information from Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. All right. Let's take a look at 3024, which is March 16, '02. And I would like to direct your attention onto the right-hand side of the page.  Witness 3 is identified on this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	positive identification. I don't have an independent recollection, and I can't say what actually happened, because I don't have an independent recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Sure. And over on the right-hand side where Terry Rogers is listed as a witness, the notes there also support that there was a positive identification by Mr. Rogers of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving that information from Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. All right. Let's take a look at 3024, which is March 16, '02. And I would like to direct your attention onto the right-hand side of the page.  Witness 3 is identified on this document as Emmett Wade; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	positive identification. I don't have an independent recollection, and I can't say what actually happened, because I don't have an independent recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Sure. And over on the right-hand side where Terry Rogers is listed as a witness, the notes there also support that there was a positive identification by Mr. Rogers of the defendant in a photo array on February 13th,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving that information from Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. All right. Let's take a look at 3024, which is March 16, '02. And I would like to direct your attention onto the right-hand side of the page.  Witness 3 is identified on this document as Emmett Wade; is that right?  A. This document states has the name
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	positive identification. I don't have an independent recollection, and I can't say what actually happened, because I don't have an independent recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Sure. And over on the right-hand side where Terry Rogers is listed as a witness, the notes there also support that there was a positive identification by Mr. Rogers of the defendant in a photo array on February 13th, 2002, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving that information from Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. All right. Let's take a look at 3024, which is March 16, '02. And I would like to direct your attention onto the right-hand side of the page.  Witness 3 is identified on this document as Emmett Wade; is that right?  A. This document states has the name Emmett Wade in the box for Witness 3, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	positive identification. I don't have an independent recollection, and I can't say what actually happened, because I don't have an independent recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Sure. And over on the right-hand side where Terry Rogers is listed as a witness, the notes there also support that there was a positive identification by Mr. Rogers of the defendant in a photo array on February 13th, 2002, correct?  MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving that information from Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. All right. Let's take a look at 3024, which is March 16, '02. And I would like to direct your attention onto the right-hand side of the page.  Witness 3 is identified on this document as Emmett Wade; is that right?  A. This document states has the name Emmett Wade in the box for Witness 3, yes.  Q. Under "Notes" it looks like it says,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	positive identification. I don't have an independent recollection, and I can't say what actually happened, because I don't have an independent recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Sure. And over on the right-hand side where Terry Rogers is listed as a witness, the notes there also support that there was a positive identification by Mr. Rogers of the defendant in a photo array on February 13th, 2002, correct?  MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving that information from Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. All right. Let's take a look at 3024, which is March 16, '02. And I would like to direct your attention onto the right-hand side of the page.  Witness 3 is identified on this document as Emmett Wade; is that right?  A. This document states has the name Emmett Wade in the box for Witness 3, yes.  Q. Under "Notes" it looks like it says, "I" with a circle around it "by ASA Walker"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	positive identification. I don't have an independent recollection, and I can't say what actually happened, because I don't have an independent recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Sure. And over on the right-hand side where Terry Rogers is listed as a witness, the notes there also support that there was a positive identification by Mr. Rogers of the defendant in a photo array on February 13th, 2002, correct?  MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. The document says there was a positive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving that information from Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. All right. Let's take a look at 3024, which is March 16, '02. And I would like to direct your attention onto the right-hand side of the page.  Witness 3 is identified on this document as Emmett Wade; is that right?  A. This document states has the name Emmett Wade in the box for Witness 3, yes.  Q. Under "Notes" it looks like it says, "I" with a circle around it "by ASA Walker 16 Mar 02."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	positive identification. I don't have an independent recollection, and I can't say what actually happened, because I don't have an independent recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Sure. And over on the right-hand side where Terry Rogers is listed as a witness, the notes there also support that there was a positive identification by Mr. Rogers of the defendant in a photo array on February 13th, 2002, correct?  MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. The document says there was a positive identification. I don't know if that is I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving that information from Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. All right. Let's take a look at 3024, which is March 16, '02. And I would like to direct your attention onto the right-hand side of the page.  Witness 3 is identified on this document as Emmett Wade; is that right?  A. This document states has the name Emmett Wade in the box for Witness 3, yes.  Q. Under "Notes" it looks like it says, "I" with a circle around it "by ASA Walker 16 Mar 02."  Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	positive identification. I don't have an independent recollection, and I can't say what actually happened, because I don't have an independent recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Sure. And over on the right-hand side where Terry Rogers is listed as a witness, the notes there also support that there was a positive identification by Mr. Rogers of the defendant in a photo array on February 13th, 2002, correct?  MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. The document says there was a positive identification. I don't know if that is I don't have an independent recollection to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving that information from Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. All right. Let's take a look at 3024, which is March 16, '02. And I would like to direct your attention onto the right-hand side of the page.  Witness 3 is identified on this document as Emmett Wade; is that right?  A. This document states has the name Emmett Wade in the box for Witness 3, yes.  Q. Under "Notes" it looks like it says,  "I" with a circle around it "by ASA Walker 16 Mar 02."  Do you see that?  A. I do see those words.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	positive identification. I don't have an independent recollection, and I can't say what actually happened, because I don't have an independent recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Sure. And over on the right-hand side where Terry Rogers is listed as a witness, the notes there also support that there was a positive identification by Mr. Rogers of the defendant in a photo array on February 13th, 2002, correct?  MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. The document says there was a positive identification. I don't know if that is I don't have an independent recollection to be able to say what what occurred in in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving that information from Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. All right. Let's take a look at 3024, which is March 16, '02. And I would like to direct your attention onto the right-hand side of the page.  Witness 3 is identified on this document as Emmett Wade; is that right?  A. This document states has the name Emmett Wade in the box for Witness 3, yes.  Q. Under "Notes" it looks like it says, "I" with a circle around it "by ASA Walker 16 Mar 02."  Do you see that?  A. I do see those words.  Q. Okay. And I know we've talked about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	positive identification. I don't have an independent recollection, and I can't say what actually happened, because I don't have an independent recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Sure. And over on the right-hand side where Terry Rogers is listed as a witness, the notes there also support that there was a positive identification by Mr. Rogers of the defendant in a photo array on February 13th, 2002, correct?  MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. The document says there was a positive identification. I don't know if that is I don't have an independent recollection to be able to say what what occurred in in the real world.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving that information from Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. All right. Let's take a look at 3024, which is March 16, '02. And I would like to direct your attention onto the right-hand side of the page.  Witness 3 is identified on this document as Emmett Wade; is that right?  A. This document states has the name Emmett Wade in the box for Witness 3, yes.  Q. Under "Notes" it looks like it says, "I" with a circle around it "by ASA Walker 16 Mar 02."  Do you see that?  A. I do see those words.  Q. Okay. And I know we've talked about this. The "I" with the circle around it means
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	positive identification. I don't have an independent recollection, and I can't say what actually happened, because I don't have an independent recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Sure. And over on the right-hand side where Terry Rogers is listed as a witness, the notes there also support that there was a positive identification by Mr. Rogers of the defendant in a photo array on February 13th, 2002, correct?  MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. The document says there was a positive identification. I don't know if that is I don't have an independent recollection to be able to say what what occurred in in the real world.  BY MS. WEST:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving that information from Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. All right. Let's take a look at 3024, which is March 16, '02. And I would like to direct your attention onto the right-hand side of the page.  Witness 3 is identified on this document as Emmett Wade; is that right?  A. This document states has the name Emmett Wade in the box for Witness 3, yes.  Q. Under "Notes" it looks like it says, "I" with a circle around it "by ASA Walker 16 Mar 02."  Do you see that?  A. I do see those words.  Q. Okay. And I know we've talked about this. The "I" with the circle around it means an interview, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	positive identification. I don't have an independent recollection, and I can't say what actually happened, because I don't have an independent recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Sure. And over on the right-hand side where Terry Rogers is listed as a witness, the notes there also support that there was a positive identification by Mr. Rogers of the defendant in a photo array on February 13th, 2002, correct?  MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. The document says there was a positive identification. I don't know if that is I don't have an independent recollection to be able to say what what occurred in in the real world.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. And the identification was based off of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving that information from Ms. Friend?  A. I do not.  Q. All right. Let's take a look at 3024, which is March 16, '02. And I would like to direct your attention onto the right-hand side of the page.  Witness 3 is identified on this document as Emmett Wade; is that right?  A. This document states has the name Emmett Wade in the box for Witness 3, yes.  Q. Under "Notes" it looks like it says, "I" with a circle around it "by ASA Walker 16 Mar 02."  Do you see that?  A. I do see those words.  Q. Okay. And I know we've talked about this. The "I" with the circle around it means an interview, correct?  A. Generally, "I" with a circle means
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	positive identification. I don't have an independent recollection, and I can't say what actually happened, because I don't have an independent recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Sure. And over on the right-hand side where Terry Rogers is listed as a witness, the notes there also support that there was a positive identification by Mr. Rogers of the defendant in a photo array on February 13th, 2002, correct?  MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. The document says there was a positive identification. I don't know if that is I don't have an independent recollection to be able to say what what occurred in in the real world.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. And the identification was based off of a photo array, correct, based on these notes?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving that information from Ms. Friend?  A. I do not. Q. All right. Let's take a look at 3024, which is March 16, '02. And I would like to direct your attention onto the right-hand side of the page.  Witness 3 is identified on this document as Emmett Wade; is that right?  A. This document states has the name Emmett Wade in the box for Witness 3, yes. Q. Under "Notes" it looks like it says, "I" with a circle around it "by ASA Walker 16 Mar 02."  Do you see that?  A. I do see those words. Q. Okay. And I know we've talked about this. The "I" with the circle around it means an interview, correct?  A. Generally, "I" with a circle means interview.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	positive identification. I don't have an independent recollection, and I can't say what actually happened, because I don't have an independent recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Sure. And over on the right-hand side where Terry Rogers is listed as a witness, the notes there also support that there was a positive identification by Mr. Rogers of the defendant in a photo array on February 13th, 2002, correct?  MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. The document says there was a positive identification. I don't know if that is I don't have an independent recollection to be able to say what what occurred in in the real world.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. And the identification was based off of a photo array, correct, based on these notes?  A. The notes say photo array, but I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving that information from Ms. Friend?  A. I do not. Q. All right. Let's take a look at 3024, which is March 16, '02. And I would like to direct your attention onto the right-hand side of the page.  Witness 3 is identified on this document as Emmett Wade; is that right?  A. This document states has the name Emmett Wade in the box for Witness 3, yes. Q. Under "Notes" it looks like it says, "I" with a circle around it "by ASA Walker 16 Mar 02."  Do you see that?  A. I do see those words. Q. Okay. And I know we've talked about this. The "I" with the circle around it means an interview, correct?  A. Generally, "I" with a circle means interview. Q. And based on these notes, can you say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	positive identification. I don't have an independent recollection, and I can't say what actually happened, because I don't have an independent recollection.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Sure. And over on the right-hand side where Terry Rogers is listed as a witness, the notes there also support that there was a positive identification by Mr. Rogers of the defendant in a photo array on February 13th, 2002, correct?  MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. The document says there was a positive identification. I don't know if that is I don't have an independent recollection to be able to say what what occurred in in the real world.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. And the identification was based off of a photo array, correct, based on these notes?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	stopped seeing each other shortly after."  Q. Do you have a recollection of receiving that information from Ms. Friend?  A. I do not. Q. All right. Let's take a look at 3024, which is March 16, '02. And I would like to direct your attention onto the right-hand side of the page.  Witness 3 is identified on this document as Emmett Wade; is that right?  A. This document states has the name Emmett Wade in the box for Witness 3, yes. Q. Under "Notes" it looks like it says, "I" with a circle around it "by ASA Walker 16 Mar 02."  Do you see that?  A. I do see those words. Q. Okay. And I know we've talked about this. The "I" with the circle around it means an interview, correct?  A. Generally, "I" with a circle means interview.

Page 97 Page 99 1 A. I have no independent recollection of 1 What do you -- can you read for No. 2, 2 meeting with an Emmett Wade. I have no 2 please? 3 independent recollection of creating this 3 A. I believe No. 2 is a word that begins 4 document. Although, the handwriting does look 4 with C. I believe that word is "conduct," but 5 like my handwriting. 5 I'm not 100 percent, because there is some light 6 Q. And the note would indicate to you that 6 spots in the writing. The second word appears 7 an interview was done on March 16, '02, correct? 7 to be "lineups." Then "with all witnesses" and 8 8 the number --A. The document appears to say that. 9 9 Q. Okay. And that the interview was Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. 10 conducted by ASA Walker? 10 A. Do you want me to keep reading? 11 MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. 11 Q. Let me ask you a quick question about 12 BY THE WITNESS: 12 that, and then we'll move on. 13 A. The document appears to say that; 13 Based on what's documented as No. 2, 14 though, I have no independent recollection. 14 does that indicate to you that lineups had not 15 BY MS. WEST: 15 been done with all the witnesses in this case as 16 Q. I'm going to take you back to 3028. 16 of March 16, 2002? 17 17 This is pretty small. So let me try to make it MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. 18 big so that way you can see it. On the 18 MR. COYNE: Join. 19 left-hand side of the page where it says, 19 BY THE WITNESS: 20 "additional investigation required." 20 A. I don't remember creating this 21 Do you see where I'm at? 21 document. I don't remember what the -- the 22 A. Yes. I see that. I see those words 22 details of this. Generally speaking, it 23 could -- in my work as a -- on Felony Review, it printed, yes. 23 24 24 Q. Okay. It looks like it says, "No. 1, could indicate that line -- additional lineups Page 98 Page 100 1 1 order FT photos." needed to happen. 2 Do you see that? 2 BY MS. WEST: 3 3 Q. And can you read No. 3, please? A. The copy isn't great. I am unsure if 4 that is an F or not. It looks like there may be 4 A. Did somebody say something? Okay. 5 5 No. 3. Reading No. 3: "ASA to interview" -- I a bottom. It may be an -- I see kind of a 6 little line there. So I'm not sure -- I'm not 6 believe that it would be Edward Cooper. 7 sure what that -- if that's an F or it could be 7 Q. Okay. 8 another letter, but I do see "order," a letter 8 A. No. 4, "W" -- I'm not sure what that 9 9 "T," and then I see the word "photos." is -- "will need to go to JG -- GJ." I'm sorry. 10 10 Q. Okay. And if it were to say, "order ET Q. And does GJ stand for grand jury? 11 11 photos," based on your experience as a Felony A. Typically, GJ would stand for grand 12 12 Review assistant state's attorney, what is ET 13 13 photos referring to? Q. Based on the notes that we've gone 14 A. As I -- based on my general experience, 14 through so far, at this point in time had you 15 ET refers to -- as evidence technician. There 15 spoken with Mr. Cooper? 16 may be -- this could indicate that there were 16 MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. 17 photographs taken in this investigation by an 17 MR. STARR: Join. 18 18 evidence technician, but I, again, don't have an BY THE WITNESS: 19 independent recollection of creating this 19 A. I don't have an independent 20 document or an independent recollection of the 20 recollection. I don't know. 21 BY MS. WEST: review of this. 21 22 Q. Okay. Next to it on No. 2 -- how about 22 Q. And then let's go over here to this 23 this? I will have you read it, because -- let's 23 side of the page. With regard to Mr. Cooper, 24 do it that way. 24 under "Notes" it looks like it says, I with a

	Page 101		Page 103
1	circle around it so "interview by phone ASA	1	A. So I don't have any independent
2	Walker March 9, 2002."	2	recollection of the events described in this
3	Do you see that?	3	document. I don't have an independent
4	A. I do see that.	4	recollection as to creating this document.
5	Q. Okay. Based on that note, does it	5	Although, the handwriting in this document does
6	appear that Mr. Cooper was spoken to or	6	look like it could be mine. The words on this
7	interviewed over the phone on March 9, 2002?	7	page indicate that on April 18th of '02 the
8	A. There are words that that say that.	8	there was an arrest made at 26 at 26th Street
9	But I don't have an independent recollection of	9	by Detectives Schalk and Bogucki, and the arrest
10	creating this document or of having a any	10	would be for the defendant, James Fletcher.
11	such conversation, so I can't answer that	11	Q. Okay. And it was based off of the
12	without speculating.	12	arrest warrant?
13		13	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.
14	Q. Do you have any reason to doubt the	14	BY THE WITNESS:
15	accuracy that's what's as to what's documented on the Felony Review folder sheet	15	A. This document does not indicate oh,
16	that's maintained by the Office of the State's	16	never mind. This document says he was arrested
17	Attorney's Office I'm sorry by the State's	17	off of the arrest warrant. I don't have any
18	Attorney's Office?	18	independent recollection of that or of creating
19		19	this document.
20	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation,	20	BY MS. WEST:
21	speculation.  MR. STARR: Join. Form as well.	21	
22	BY THE WITNESS:	22	Q. Over on the right-hand side of this
23	A. I don't because I don't have an	23	page for I'm sorry, for Victim 2, Edward Cooper, is it documented that an interview was
23		24	
24	independent recollection, I can't say whether or	24	conducted of Mr. Cooper on April 20, 2002?
	Page 102		Page 104
1	Page 102 not something happened. I don't have a doubt.	1	Page 104  MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation.
1 2	<del>-</del>	1 2	
	not something happened. I don't have a doubt.		MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation.
2	not something happened. I don't have a doubt. I just I can't say yes or no either way.	2	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS: A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document or of any
2	not something happened. I don't have a doubt. I just I can't say yes or no either way. BY MS. WEST:	2 3	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS: A. I don't have an independent
2 3 4	not something happened. I don't have a doubt. I just I can't say yes or no either way. BY MS. WEST: Q. Okay. Thank you. That helps clear it	2 3 4	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS: A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document or of any
2 3 4 5	not something happened. I don't have a doubt. I just I can't say yes or no either way. BY MS. WEST: Q. Okay. Thank you. That helps clear it up.	2 3 4 5	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document or of any interview with Mr. Cooper. The words on this
2 3 4 5 6	not something happened. I don't have a doubt.  I just I can't say yes or no either way.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Thank you. That helps clear it up.  So you don't necessarily doubt the	2 3 4 5 6	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document or of any interview with Mr. Cooper. The words on this on this document indicate that there was an
2 3 4 5 6 7	not something happened. I don't have a doubt.  I just I can't say yes or no either way.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Thank you. That helps clear it up.  So you don't necessarily doubt the accuracy of what's written here? You just don't have an independent recollection of actually taking these steps that are documented; is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document or of any interview with Mr. Cooper. The words on this on this document indicate that there was an interview by ASA Walker on 20 April '02.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	not something happened. I don't have a doubt.  I just I can't say yes or no either way.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Thank you. That helps clear it up.  So you don't necessarily doubt the accuracy of what's written here? You just don't have an independent recollection of actually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document or of any interview with Mr. Cooper. The words on this on this document indicate that there was an interview by ASA Walker on 20 April '02. BY MS. WEST:  Q. And also based on what's noted here, there was a positive identification at a lineup
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	not something happened. I don't have a doubt.  I just I can't say yes or no either way.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Thank you. That helps clear it up.  So you don't necessarily doubt the accuracy of what's written here? You just don't have an independent recollection of actually taking these steps that are documented; is that fair?  MR. STARR: Asked and answered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document or of any interview with Mr. Cooper. The words on this on this document indicate that there was an interview by ASA Walker on 20 April '02. BY MS. WEST:  Q. And also based on what's noted here, there was a positive identification at a lineup by Mr. Cooper.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	not something happened. I don't have a doubt.  I just I can't say yes or no either way.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Thank you. That helps clear it up.  So you don't necessarily doubt the accuracy of what's written here? You just don't have an independent recollection of actually taking these steps that are documented; is that fair?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document or of any interview with Mr. Cooper. The words on this on this document indicate that there was an interview by ASA Walker on 20 April '02. BY MS. WEST:  Q. And also based on what's noted here, there was a positive identification at a lineup by Mr. Cooper.  Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	not something happened. I don't have a doubt.  I just I can't say yes or no either way.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Thank you. That helps clear it up.  So you don't necessarily doubt the accuracy of what's written here? You just don't have an independent recollection of actually taking these steps that are documented; is that fair?  MR. STARR: Asked and answered.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document or of any interview with Mr. Cooper. The words on this on this document indicate that there was an interview by ASA Walker on 20 April '02. BY MS. WEST:  Q. And also based on what's noted here, there was a positive identification at a lineup by Mr. Cooper.  Do you see that?  A. I see the words I see the plus sign,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	not something happened. I don't have a doubt.  I just I can't say yes or no either way.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Thank you. That helps clear it up.  So you don't necessarily doubt the accuracy of what's written here? You just don't have an independent recollection of actually taking these steps that are documented; is that fair?  MR. STARR: Asked and answered.  BY THE WITNESS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document or of any interview with Mr. Cooper. The words on this on this document indicate that there was an interview by ASA Walker on 20 April '02. BY MS. WEST:  Q. And also based on what's noted here, there was a positive identification at a lineup by Mr. Cooper.  Do you see that?  A. I see the words I see the plus sign, ID at lineup 20 April '02 on the document.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	not something happened. I don't have a doubt. I just I can't say yes or no either way. BY MS. WEST: Q. Okay. Thank you. That helps clear it up. So you don't necessarily doubt the accuracy of what's written here? You just don't have an independent recollection of actually taking these steps that are documented; is that fair? MR. STARR: Asked and answered. BY THE WITNESS: A. Correct. BY MS. WEST: Q. Thank you. All right. I'm going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document or of any interview with Mr. Cooper. The words on this on this document indicate that there was an interview by ASA Walker on 20 April '02. BY MS. WEST:  Q. And also based on what's noted here, there was a positive identification at a lineup by Mr. Cooper.  Do you see that?  A. I see the words I see the plus sign, ID at lineup 20 April '02 on the document. Again, I don't have any personal I don't have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	not something happened. I don't have a doubt. I just I can't say yes or no either way. BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Thank you. That helps clear it up.  So you don't necessarily doubt the accuracy of what's written here? You just don't have an independent recollection of actually taking these steps that are documented; is that fair?  MR. STARR: Asked and answered. BY THE WITNESS: A. Correct. BY MS. WEST: Q. Thank you. All right. I'm going to take you down to 333.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document or of any interview with Mr. Cooper. The words on this on this document indicate that there was an interview by ASA Walker on 20 April '02. BY MS. WEST:  Q. And also based on what's noted here, there was a positive identification at a lineup by Mr. Cooper.  Do you see that?  A. I see the words I see the plus sign, ID at lineup 20 April '02 on the document. Again, I don't have any personal I don't have any independent recollection of that or of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	not something happened. I don't have a doubt. I just I can't say yes or no either way. BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Thank you. That helps clear it up.  So you don't necessarily doubt the accuracy of what's written here? You just don't have an independent recollection of actually taking these steps that are documented; is that fair?  MR. STARR: Asked and answered. BY THE WITNESS: A. Correct. BY MS. WEST: Q. Thank you. All right. I'm going to take you down to 333.  Sorry. Did somebody say something?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document or of any interview with Mr. Cooper. The words on this on this document indicate that there was an interview by ASA Walker on 20 April '02. BY MS. WEST:  Q. And also based on what's noted here, there was a positive identification at a lineup by Mr. Cooper.  Do you see that?  A. I see the words I see the plus sign, ID at lineup 20 April '02 on the document. Again, I don't have any personal I don't have any independent recollection of that or of creating this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	not something happened. I don't have a doubt. I just I can't say yes or no either way. BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Thank you. That helps clear it up. So you don't necessarily doubt the accuracy of what's written here? You just don't have an independent recollection of actually taking these steps that are documented; is that fair?  MR. STARR: Asked and answered. BY THE WITNESS: A. Correct. BY MS. WEST: Q. Thank you. All right. I'm going to take you down to 333. Sorry. Did somebody say something? All right. At the top of 3033, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document or of any interview with Mr. Cooper. The words on this on this document indicate that there was an interview by ASA Walker on 20 April '02. BY MS. WEST:  Q. And also based on what's noted here, there was a positive identification at a lineup by Mr. Cooper.  Do you see that?  A. I see the words I see the plus sign, ID at lineup 20 April '02 on the document. Again, I don't have any personal I don't have any independent recollection of that or of creating this.  Q. And just so we're clear, you do have an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	not something happened. I don't have a doubt. I just I can't say yes or no either way. BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Thank you. That helps clear it up.  So you don't necessarily doubt the accuracy of what's written here? You just don't have an independent recollection of actually taking these steps that are documented; is that fair?  MR. STARR: Asked and answered. BY THE WITNESS: A. Correct. BY MS. WEST: Q. Thank you. All right. I'm going to take you down to 333.  Sorry. Did somebody say something? All right. At the top of 3033, the date is April 20, 2002. Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document or of any interview with Mr. Cooper. The words on this on this document indicate that there was an interview by ASA Walker on 20 April '02. BY MS. WEST:  Q. And also based on what's noted here, there was a positive identification at a lineup by Mr. Cooper.  Do you see that?  A. I see the words I see the plus sign, ID at lineup 20 April '02 on the document. Again, I don't have any personal I don't have any independent recollection of that or of creating this.  Q. And just so we're clear, you do have an independent recollection of being involved in an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	not something happened. I don't have a doubt. I just I can't say yes or no either way. BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Thank you. That helps clear it up.  So you don't necessarily doubt the accuracy of what's written here? You just don't have an independent recollection of actually taking these steps that are documented; is that fair?  MR. STARR: Asked and answered. BY THE WITNESS: A. Correct. BY MS. WEST: Q. Thank you. All right. I'm going to take you down to 333.  Sorry. Did somebody say something? All right. At the top of 3033, the date is April 20, 2002. Do you see that? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document or of any interview with Mr. Cooper. The words on this on this document indicate that there was an interview by ASA Walker on 20 April '02. BY MS. WEST:  Q. And also based on what's noted here, there was a positive identification at a lineup by Mr. Cooper.  Do you see that?  A. I see the words I see the plus sign, ID at lineup 20 April '02 on the document. Again, I don't have any personal I don't have any independent recollection of that or of creating this.  Q. And just so we're clear, you do have an independent recollection of being involved in an in-person lineup relative to Mr. Fletcher's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	not something happened. I don't have a doubt. I just I can't say yes or no either way. BY MS. WEST: Q. Okay. Thank you. That helps clear it up. So you don't necessarily doubt the accuracy of what's written here? You just don't have an independent recollection of actually taking these steps that are documented; is that fair? MR. STARR: Asked and answered. BY THE WITNESS: A. Correct. BY MS. WEST: Q. Thank you. All right. I'm going to take you down to 333. Sorry. Did somebody say something? All right. At the top of 3033, the date is April 20, 2002. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And on the left-hand side under	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document or of any interview with Mr. Cooper. The words on this on this document indicate that there was an interview by ASA Walker on 20 April '02. BY MS. WEST:  Q. And also based on what's noted here, there was a positive identification at a lineup by Mr. Cooper.  Do you see that?  A. I see the words I see the plus sign, ID at lineup 20 April '02 on the document. Again, I don't have any personal I don't have any independent recollection of that or of creating this.  Q. And just so we're clear, you do have an independent recollection of being involved in an in-person lineup relative to Mr. Fletcher's case?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	not something happened. I don't have a doubt. I just I can't say yes or no either way. BY MS. WEST: Q. Okay. Thank you. That helps clear it up. So you don't necessarily doubt the accuracy of what's written here? You just don't have an independent recollection of actually taking these steps that are documented; is that fair? MR. STARR: Asked and answered. BY THE WITNESS: A. Correct. BY MS. WEST: Q. Thank you. All right. I'm going to take you down to 333. Sorry. Did somebody say something? All right. At the top of 3033, the date is April 20, 2002. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And on the left-hand side under "arrest," based on what's documented here, does	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document or of any interview with Mr. Cooper. The words on this on this document indicate that there was an interview by ASA Walker on 20 April '02. BY MS. WEST:  Q. And also based on what's noted here, there was a positive identification at a lineup by Mr. Cooper.  Do you see that?  A. I see the words I see the plus sign, ID at lineup 20 April '02 on the document. Again, I don't have any personal I don't have any independent recollection of that or of creating this.  Q. And just so we're clear, you do have an independent recollection of being involved in an in-person lineup relative to Mr. Fletcher's case?  A. I do not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	not something happened. I don't have a doubt. I just I can't say yes or no either way. BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. Thank you. That helps clear it up.  So you don't necessarily doubt the accuracy of what's written here? You just don't have an independent recollection of actually taking these steps that are documented; is that fair?  MR. STARR: Asked and answered. BY THE WITNESS: A. Correct. BY MS. WEST: Q. Thank you. All right. I'm going to take you down to 333.  Sorry. Did somebody say something? All right. At the top of 3033, the date is April 20, 2002. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And on the left-hand side under "arrest," based on what's documented here, does it appear that the defendant, or Mr. Fletcher,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document or of any interview with Mr. Cooper. The words on this on this document indicate that there was an interview by ASA Walker on 20 April '02. BY MS. WEST:  Q. And also based on what's noted here, there was a positive identification at a lineup by Mr. Cooper.  Do you see that?  A. I see the words I see the plus sign, ID at lineup 20 April '02 on the document. Again, I don't have any personal I don't have any independent recollection of that or of creating this.  Q. And just so we're clear, you do have an independent recollection of being involved in an in-person lineup relative to Mr. Fletcher's case?  A. I do not.  Q. Typically speaking, as a Felony Review
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	not something happened. I don't have a doubt. I just I can't say yes or no either way. BY MS. WEST: Q. Okay. Thank you. That helps clear it up. So you don't necessarily doubt the accuracy of what's written here? You just don't have an independent recollection of actually taking these steps that are documented; is that fair? MR. STARR: Asked and answered. BY THE WITNESS: A. Correct. BY MS. WEST: Q. Thank you. All right. I'm going to take you down to 333. Sorry. Did somebody say something? All right. At the top of 3033, the date is April 20, 2002. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And on the left-hand side under "arrest," based on what's documented here, does	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document or of any interview with Mr. Cooper. The words on this on this document indicate that there was an interview by ASA Walker on 20 April '02. BY MS. WEST:  Q. And also based on what's noted here, there was a positive identification at a lineup by Mr. Cooper.  Do you see that?  A. I see the words I see the plus sign, ID at lineup 20 April '02 on the document. Again, I don't have any personal I don't have any independent recollection of that or of creating this.  Q. And just so we're clear, you do have an independent recollection of being involved in an in-person lineup relative to Mr. Fletcher's case?  A. I do not.

	Page 105		Page 107
1	involved in the lineup or the viewing of a	1	Q. And do you see over on the that same
2	lineup with witnesses?	2	page it says, "3 of 3"?
3	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.	3	A. Yes.
4	BY THE WITNESS:	4	Q. When you reviewed these documents that
5	A. Typically, ASAs on Felony Review are	5	are the Felony Review folder, did you see a
6	not involved in lineups. But I can't I don't	6	page 2 of 3 for April 20, 2002?
7	have an independent recollection of anything, so	7	A. I did not.
8	I don't know.	8	Q. Okay. Can you just generally explain
9	BY MS. WEST:	9	to me how how this is documented so that I
10	Q. All right. At the bottom of that under	10	can better understand the chronology of
11	"Notes," we have already gone over the	11	everything?
12	"interviewed by ASA Walker" portion, but that	12	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.
13	second line, can you read that?	13	BY THE WITNESS:
14	A. Starting with V2?	14	A. I'm not sure I understand your
15	Q. Yes, please.	15	question.
16	A. "V2 was dating Witness 2 at time of	16	BY MS. WEST:
17	incident, but stopped seeing each other shortly	17	Q. Sure. So when you start a date entry,
18	after."	18	do you document page 1 of 3 or 2 of 3?
19	Q. Okay. And we previously read a similar	19	Is that generally how you document it
20	entry for Ms. Friend that indicated that she was	20	when you're filling these out?
21	dating V2, which is Mr. Cooper.	21	A. Yes. So. So you you have a folder,
22	Do you recall reading that?	22	and if you need additional folders, you add them
23	A. I recall reading previously that V2	23	as subsequent generally speaking, you would
24	yes. I previously recall reading that.	24	add them as subsequent pages. So typically
	Page 106		Page 108
1	Q. Ms. Walker, let me ask you this: Do	1	and to know to get a sense of how many pages
2	you need a break, or would you like to keep	2	you should have in that set, we would write, you
3	going?	3	know, 1 of the total number so that it was
4	A. I'm okay if we keep going, because then	4	easier to keep track of.
5	I won't feel so bad if we have to stop to for	5	Q. Okay. And based on that and this being
6	me to do my thing.	6	3 of 3, does that give you an indication that
7	MS. WEST: Okay. Is everybody else okay to	7	there would have been page 2 of 3 relative to
8	keep going?	8	April 20th, '02?
9	MR. COYNE: Yeah, sure. Allyson, do you have	9	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.
10	an estimate as to how long just so I can tell	10	BY THE WITNESS:
11	the office here?	11	A. Because I don't remember creating this
12	MS. WEST: Yeah, sure. So I know I promised	12	document, even though the handwriting is mine, I
13 14	1:30. It's probably going to be more like 2:00, about another hour. Is with that in mind, is	13	cannot say for sure. It is certainly possible.
		14	But I I can't remember for sure if there was
15 16	everybody okay to keep going until Ms. Walker needs to take her break?	15 16	a page 2 in this set. BY MS. WEST:
17	MR. COYNE: Sure.		
18	MR. COYNE: Sure. MS. WEST: Okay. Thank you.	17 18	Q. Thank you.
19	BY MS. WEST: Okay. Thank you.	19	At the top where it says "start time" and "finish time," above "finish time" it looks
20	Q. All right. Let's take a look at 3030	20	like it has a different date than April 20th.
21	of the fell Felony Review folder.	21	Do you see that?
22	Do you see the date at the top,	22	A. I see so my my copy is kind of
23	April 20th, 2002?	23	bad, and yours kind of has the same thing. It
24	April 2002. A. I do.	24	looks like somebody like it had a maybe a
I -	2 400		into controvaj into it inta a intaj oo a

#### Page 109 Page 111 1 1 document, but -- so I can't say if that actually hole punch in -- on it or something. I'm not 2 sure. But it looks as though there was a 2 happened or not. 3 different date written in front of it, but 3 BY MS. WEST: 4 because of that circle that was copied, I can't 4 O. But that's what's documented here, 5 5 tell exactly what that date would be. correct? 6 Q. Okay. Is it unusual for a particular 6 A. But there are words to that effect on 7 entry on this folder to span multiple days? 7 that document, yes. There's words to 8 8 MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. that effect. 9 9 BY THE WITNESS: Q. And also -- I'm sorry. 10 10 A. It is -- I don't have any independent A. Sorry. 11 recollection of creating this document or of the 11 Q. Also there is words "no ID," and it's 12 events surrounding what's inside of it. But 12 circled. 13 generally speaking, it could be if -- if a case 13 Do you see that? gets called in and you know it's going to be 14 14 A. I -- I see a circle around the word "no 15 called in a few days later, it could be that you 15 ID " 16 would hold the file and add to it as opposed to 16 Q. Okay. And generally speaking, does 17 creating a whole new separate set of -- for lack 17 that mean that a witness is unable to make an 18 of a better word -- stuff. 18 identification? 19 If you were continuing to work on it 19 A. Generally speaking, during my time on 20 and you knew you were going to be continuing to 20 review, yes, that -- that would be the case. 21 work on it, that could -- that is something that 21 Q. Okay. And based on the document -- I'm 22 could happen. But I don't know, because I -- I 22 sorry -- the notes and the documentation here, don't have an independent recollection. 23 23 it appears that Mr. Wade could not make an BY MS. WEST: 24 24 identification of an offender, correct? Page 110 Page 112 1 1 MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Q. Okay. Thank you. 2 2 And on the right-hand side of this BY THE WITNESS: 3 3 page, Emmett Wade is listed as a witness. A. Well, I can't say that, because I don't 4 4 have an independent recollection. I can say Do you see that? 5 5 that the word "no ID" was circled -- or the A. I see the words "Emmett Wade," yes. 6 6 Q. Okay. And down under "Notes," it phrase "no ID" was circled. 7 appears that it says "interviewed by ASA Walker 7 BY MS. WEST: 8 16 March 02." 8 Q. Typically, when you would speak with a 9 9 witness, would the detectives be present? Do you see that? 10 10 A. Typically, the detectives would be A. I see those words, yes. Q. Okay. And there looks like there's an 11 present when I spoke with a witness for most of 11 12 arrow -- and then tell me if I'm reading this 12 13 13 correctly -- "didn't get a good look at the Q. Are there instances that you can think 14 robbers"? 14 of where you would speak with the witness 15 A. I see -- yes. I see those words too. 15 without a detective? 16 16 Q. Okay. And based on that note, does it A. Yes. 17 appear that Mr. Wade was interviewed on 17 O. What are those instances? 18 March 16th, 2002? 18 MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. And MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. 19 19 also, Jennifer, you can answer that question provided you would not be divulging your 20 MR. STARR: Join. 20 BY THE WITNESS: opinions about the evidence, your strategies, 21 21 22 A. I don't have a specific recollection of 22 your legal theories, your mental decision-making 23 this. I don't have a specific recollection in your work as a prosecutor. 23 24 either of any interview or of creating this 24 So provided you can answer that

			-
	Page 113		Page 115
1	question without divulging those mental	1	A. Yes. Typically, a witness would be
2	impressions, you can go ahead.	2	shown a photo array with multiple photos.
3	MR. HOLMES: Same admonition on behalf of the	3	Q. Have you ever seen or witnessed a
4	Office.	4	detective show a witness only one photograph and
5	BY MS. WEST:	5	indicate that that person was a bad guy?
6	Q. Can you answer the question?	6	A. I do not have a recollection of that.
7	A. I'm not sure if there is a if I have	7	Q. On the same page over on the left-hand
8	a may I speak to John first?	8	side under "Incident," can you read what that
9	MR. COYNE: Sure.	9	says, please?
10	MS. WEST: You know what? Let me withdraw	10	A. Are you asking about the handwriting
11	the question. I will withdraw, and we can move	11	part of it or all the words?
12	forward.	12	Q. I'm sorry. The handwriting part that
13	BY MS. WEST:	13	starts well, it looks like "Incident Summary"
14	Q. Do you have an independent recollection	14	is crossed out, and it says, "continue from
15	if Detective Bogucki, Detective Schalk, or	15	Folder 2."
16	Detective Noradin were involved with you in an	16	If you could read starting with what
17	interview of Mr. Wade?	17	looks like "warrant"?
18	A. I do not.	18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Do you have an independent recollection	19	Q. Thank you.
20	of Detectives Bogucki or Schalk showing Mr. Wade	20	A. "Warrant was issued so delta would be
21	only one photograph and telling them that the	21	at A5 and lineups could be run. On 20 Apr 02
22	individual in the photograph was a bad guy?	22	delta's put attorney" oh, that may be P-V-T,
23	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, and calls for	23	not P-U-T "attorney showed up at A5 on
24	speculation.	24	22 April 02. W3 did not view live lineup as W3
	Page 114		Page 116
1	MR. COYNE: Join.	1	didn't get a good look at either of the" and
2	BY THE WITNESS:	2	I believe that says robbers. "Star, other C/I
3	A. I do not.	3	files from February and March all from
4	BY MS. WEST:	4	ASA Walker 13 Feb 02 55; 9 Mar 02 010;
5	Q. Typically, when a witness is shown a	5	16 March 02 040."
6	photo array, does it consist of more than one	6	Q. Thank you.
7	photo?	7	Based on what you just read, let me ask
8	MR. COYNE: I'm sorry. I didn't hear it.	8	you a couple questions.
9	Was there an answer to the last question?	9	W3, Witness 3, is that Mr. Wade?
10	(Whereupon, the record was read	10	A. I don't have an independent
11	as requested.)	11	recollection of creating this document, and I
12	MR. COYNE: Oh, got you. Thank you. I	12	don't have an independent recollection of of
13	didn't hear it. Sorry, Allyson. I just wanted	13	the events in it. According this there is
14	to hear it.	14	no number on the page on the right-hand side
		15	page where Emmett Wade is in the box on this
15	MS. WEST: No. No worries.	1 12	page where Elimett wade is in the box on this
15 16	MS. WEST: No. No worries.  Can you read the question back? Unless	16	paper, reading all these documents together, it
16	Can you read the question back? Unless	16	paper, reading all these documents together, it
16 17	Can you read the question back? Unless you remember it, Ms. Walker, because I	16 17	paper, reading all these documents together, it is likely that the that the incident box on
16 17 18	Can you read the question back? Unless you remember it, Ms. Walker, because I BY THE WITNESS:	16 17 18	paper, reading all these documents together, it is likely that the that the incident box on the left-hand side page is referring to Mr. Wade
16 17 18 19	Can you read the question back? Unless you remember it, Ms. Walker, because I BY THE WITNESS:  A. I believe the I believe the question	16 17 18 19	paper, reading all these documents together, it is likely that the that the incident box on the left-hand side page is referring to Mr. Wade as W3 since it since prior sets of documents
16 17 18 19 20	Can you read the question back? Unless you remember it, Ms. Walker, because I BY THE WITNESS:  A. I believe the I believe the question was, typically, when a witness is shown a photo	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	paper, reading all these documents together, it is likely that the that the incident box on the left-hand side page is referring to Mr. Wade as W3 since it since prior sets of documents had had referred to him as such.
16 17 18 19 20 21	Can you read the question back? Unless you remember it, Ms. Walker, because I BY THE WITNESS:  A. I believe the I believe the question was, typically, when a witness is shown a photo array, there was more than one photo in it. Is	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	paper, reading all these documents together, it is likely that the that the incident box on the left-hand side page is referring to Mr. Wade as W3 since it since prior sets of documents had had referred to him as such.  Q. And based on based on that, it appears that Mr. Wade did not view the live lineup as documented here; is that right?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Can you read the question back? Unless you remember it, Ms. Walker, because I BY THE WITNESS:  A. I believe the I believe the question was, typically, when a witness is shown a photo array, there was more than one photo in it. Is that right?	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	paper, reading all these documents together, it is likely that the that the incident box on the left-hand side page is referring to Mr. Wade as W3 since it since prior sets of documents had had referred to him as such.  Q. And based on based on that, it appears that Mr. Wade did not view the live

2 A. 3 docum 4 have a 5 what h 6 BY M 7 Q. 8 "20 A 9 PVT.	HE WITNESS:  The folder indicates that to be the ment indicates that to be correct. I don't an independent recollection, so I can't say nappened.  S. WEST:  On that after it says on pril 02 defendant's" and you read it as  Does that stand for private attorney?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	conducted, then you don't have an independent recollection of any misconduct occurring by  Detectives Schalk and Bogucki during the lineup?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. Because  MR. STARR: Join.  BY THE WITNESS:
3 docum 4 have a 5 what h 6 BY M 7 Q. 8 "20 A 9 PVT. 10 1 11 A.	nent indicates that to be correct. I don't in independent recollection, so I can't say nappened.  S. WEST:  On that after it says on pril 02 defendant's" and you read it as  Does that stand for private attorney?	3 4 5 6 7 8	recollection of any misconduct occurring by  Detectives Schalk and Bogucki during the lineup?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. Because  MR. STARR: Join.  BY THE WITNESS:
4 have a 5 what I 6 BY M 7 Q. 8 "20 A 9 PVT. 10 1 11 A.	nn independent recollection, so I can't say nappened. S. WEST: On that after it says on pril 02 defendant's" and you read it as Does that stand for private attorney?	4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. BY THE WITNESS: A. Because MR. STARR: Join. BY THE WITNESS:
5 what I 6 BY M 7 Q. 8 "20 A 9 PVT. 10 1 11 A.	nappened. S. WEST: On that after it says on pril 02 defendant's" and you read it as Does that stand for private attorney?	5 6 7 8 9	BY THE WITNESS:  A. Because MR. STARR: Join. BY THE WITNESS:
6 BY M 7 Q. 8 "20 A 9 PVT. 10 1 11 A.	S. WEST: On that after it says on pril 02 defendant's" and you read it as Does that stand for private attorney?	6 7 8 9	A. Because MR. STARR: Join. BY THE WITNESS:
6 BY M 7 Q. 8 "20 A 9 PVT. 10 1 11 A.	S. WEST: On that after it says on pril 02 defendant's" and you read it as Does that stand for private attorney?	7 8 9	MR. STARR: Join. BY THE WITNESS:
8 <b>"20 A</b> 9 <b>PVT.</b> 10 1 11 A.	pril 02 defendant's" and you read it as  Does that stand for private attorney?	8 9	BY THE WITNESS:
8 "20 A 9 PVT. 10 1 11 A.	pril 02 defendant's" and you read it as  Does that stand for private attorney?	9	
9 <b>PVT.</b> 10 ] 11 <b>A</b> .	Does that stand for private attorney?		A T 1 1/1 1 1 1 1 1
11 A.		۱	A I don't have an independent
11 A.		10	recollection of the lineup, I couldn't say what
	Typically, PVT would stand for private	11	happened at the lineup.
	7.7	12	BY MS. WEST:
	Do you have a recollection of	13	Q. I'm going to indicate to you that in
	letcher's attorney showing up for the live	14	this case Mr. Fletcher has testified that you
15 lineur		15	were present at Area 5 when Detective one of
	I do not have a a recollection of	16	the detectives, either Bogucki or Schalk, shoved
17 that.		17	him following a lineup.
18 <b>O.</b>	Is that unusual to have a private	18	Do you have a recollection of that
	ney show up for a lineup?	19	occurring?
	. COYNE: Objection, form.	20	MR. STARR: Form and foundation. I believe
	HE WITNESS:	21	it mischaracterizes facts in evidence.
	In my experience on Felony Review	22	But go ahead.
	ing generally, it it didn't happen all	23	BY THE WITNESS:
_	ne, but it did it did happen.	24	A. I do not have a recollection of
	Page 118		Page 120
	S. WEST:	1	something like that happening.
	And when those attorneys came to the	2	BY MS. WEST:
	neup, were they allowed on the side where	3	Q. And had something like that happened,
	tnesses were viewing the lineup?	4	had it you witnessed a detective shove an
	. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.	5	offender, would you have reported that?
	. STARR: Join.	6	MR. COYNE: Foundation, speculation.
	S. WEST:	7	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation.
	Let me back up and put a I'm sorry.	8	Join.
	e put a caveat on it.	9	BY THE WITNESS:
	At Area 5, did you ever witness a	10	A. So to answer that question, I would be
_	te attorney view a lineup on the same side	11	required to speculate, but I am very mindful of
	witnesses who were also viewing the	12	my ethical obligations as a prosecutor, and I
13 lineup		13	would have acted in accordance with those
	. STARR: Form, foundation, and	14	obligations.
15 specul		15	BY MS. WEST:
	. COYNE: Join.	16	Q. All right. Let me show you another
	HE WITNESS:	17	page on the Felony Review.
	I don't have an independent	18	And, Ms. Walker, please tell me
	ection of watching a lineup take place at	19	whenever you need to take that break, okay? I
	5. I don't have so I I couldn't say	20	know we are getting close.
21 either		21	A. Sure. Thank you. I haven't gotten
	S. WEST:	22	my I haven't gotten a text yet. So thank
	Safe to say that since you don't have	23	you.
24 an ind	lependent recollection of the lineup being	24	Q. Okay. I'm going to direct you to

	Page 121		Page 123
1	page 3039, and the entry is going to be from	1	even though he was in prison under the name of
2	May 8, 2002. All right. All right.	2	Arnold" and I think that's Dixon "his real
3	Have you had an opportunity to pull	3	name was James Fletcher."
4	that page in front of you?	4	I'm sorry. I think I was jumping
5	A. Yes. Thank you.	5	between the screen and my paper, and I missed
6	Q. Oh, okay. Great. It looks like on	6	that line. I apologize.
7	this date it's noted that charges were approved.	7	Q. No. No worries. Thank you.
8	Do you see that?	8	And this summary, from what it appears
9	A. On in the "Charges Action" box on	9	on the document here, is a summary of a
10	the left-hand side, I do see the word	10	statement from Mr. Fletcher; is that correct?
11	"approved."	11	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.
12	Q. And under that where it says	12	BY THE WITNESS:
13	"Statement," I know that you read earlier a	13	A. So I don't have any independent
14	portion of this, but it looks like it's a more	14	recollection of creating this document even
15	full description.	15	though the handwriting looks like it could be
16	Can you read for us what it says under	16	mine that or speaking with James Fletcher
17	"Statement Witness"? It starts with ASA Walker.	17	or taking the statement, but the words on the
18	A. Yes. "ASA Walker, DET Schalk star	18	page that's what the words on the page say.
19	or No. 20718, DET Bogucki, No. 20668."	19	BY MS. WEST:
20	Do you want me to keep reading?	20	Q. Let me take you to 3037. All right.
21	Q. Yes, please. Because it goes on there	21	Witness No. 1, Terry Rogers.
22	and over in the right-hand side too.	22	Do you see that?
23	A. Yes. "Delta AOR. Delta waived. In	23	A. Yes.
24	summary, delta stated he wasn't there and didn't	24	Q. Okay. Thank you. Over on the
	Page 122		Page 124
	- 5 -		rage 124
1	know anything about it. Delta did not know what	1	right-hand side, it looks like it says, "W1 is
1 2		1 2	
	know anything about it. Delta did not know what		right-hand side, it looks like it says, "W1 is
2	know anything about it. Delta did not know what he was doing on December 21, 1990, but he stated	2	right-hand side, it looks like it says, "W1 is very hostile" with an exclamation point.
2	know anything about it. Delta did not know what he was doing on December 21, 1990, but he stated he knew he was not around Madison and Central. Delta stated that" I can't read that word "December of 1990 he was selling dope at Cabrini	2	right-hand side, it looks like it says, "W1 is very hostile" with an exclamation point. Do you see that?
2 3 4	know anything about it. Delta did not know what he was doing on December 21, 1990, but he stated he knew he was not around Madison and Central. Delta stated that" I can't read that word "December of 1990 he was selling dope at Cabrini Green and had no reason to be sticking people	2 3 4	right-hand side, it looks like it says, "W1 is very hostile" with an exclamation point.  Do you see that?  A. Yes. I see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. Rogers being a hostile witness?
2 3 4 5	know anything about it. Delta did not know what he was doing on December 21, 1990, but he stated he knew he was not around Madison and Central. Delta stated that" I can't read that word "December of 1990 he was selling dope at Cabrini Green and had no reason to be sticking people up" I can't read that word.	2 3 4 5	right-hand side, it looks like it says, "W1 is very hostile" with an exclamation point.  Do you see that?  A. Yes. I see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. Rogers being a hostile witness?  A. I don't have an independent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	know anything about it. Delta did not know what he was doing on December 21, 1990, but he stated he knew he was not around Madison and Central. Delta stated that" I can't read that word "December of 1990 he was selling dope at Cabrini Green and had no reason to be sticking people up" I can't read that word.  "He had a lot of" and then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	right-hand side, it looks like it says, "W1 is very hostile" with an exclamation point.  Do you see that?  A. Yes. I see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. Rogers being a hostile witness?  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	know anything about it. Delta did not know what he was doing on December 21, 1990, but he stated he knew he was not around Madison and Central. Delta stated that" I can't read that word "December of 1990 he was selling dope at Cabrini Green and had no reason to be sticking people up" I can't read that word.  "He had a lot of" and then continuing over to the left to the right-hand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	right-hand side, it looks like it says, "W1 is very hostile" with an exclamation point.  Do you see that?  A. Yes. I see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. Rogers being a hostile witness?  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document. I don't have an independent recollection of speaking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	know anything about it. Delta did not know what he was doing on December 21, 1990, but he stated he knew he was not around Madison and Central. Delta stated that" I can't read that word "December of 1990 he was selling dope at Cabrini Green and had no reason to be sticking people up" I can't read that word.  "He had a lot of" and then continuing over to the left to the right-hand side "paren, CONT, closed paren, money coming	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	right-hand side, it looks like it says, "W1 is very hostile" with an exclamation point.  Do you see that?  A. Yes. I see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. Rogers being a hostile witness?  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document. I don't have an independent recollection of speaking with a Terry Rogers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	know anything about it. Delta did not know what he was doing on December 21, 1990, but he stated he knew he was not around Madison and Central. Delta stated that" I can't read that word "December of 1990 he was selling dope at Cabrini Green and had no reason to be sticking people up" I can't read that word.  "He had a lot of" and then continuing over to the left to the right-hand side "paren, CONT, closed paren, money coming from his dope business. He was in prison under	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	right-hand side, it looks like it says, "W1 is very hostile" with an exclamation point.  Do you see that?  A. Yes. I see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. Rogers being a hostile witness?  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document. I don't have an independent recollection of speaking with a Terry Rogers.  Q. Under "Notes," does it appear that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	know anything about it. Delta did not know what he was doing on December 21, 1990, but he stated he knew he was not around Madison and Central. Delta stated that" I can't read that word "December of 1990 he was selling dope at Cabrini Green and had no reason to be sticking people up" I can't read that word.  "He had a lot of" and then continuing over to the left to the right-hand side "paren, CONT, closed paren, money coming from his dope business. He was in prison under the name of Arnold" I think that says Dixon.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	right-hand side, it looks like it says, "W1 is very hostile" with an exclamation point.  Do you see that?  A. Yes. I see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. Rogers being a hostile witness?  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document. I don't have an independent recollection of speaking with a Terry Rogers.  Q. Under "Notes," does it appear that Mr. Rogers was interviewed on February 13, 2002?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	know anything about it. Delta did not know what he was doing on December 21, 1990, but he stated he knew he was not around Madison and Central. Delta stated that" I can't read that word "December of 1990 he was selling dope at Cabrini Green and had no reason to be sticking people up" I can't read that word.  "He had a lot of" and then continuing over to the left to the right-hand side "paren, CONT, closed paren, money coming from his dope business. He was in prison under the name of Arnold" I think that says Dixon. "His real name was James Fletcher."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	right-hand side, it looks like it says, "W1 is very hostile" with an exclamation point.  Do you see that?  A. Yes. I see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. Rogers being a hostile witness?  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document. I don't have an independent recollection of speaking with a Terry Rogers.  Q. Under "Notes," does it appear that Mr. Rogers was interviewed on February 13, 2002?  A. The document indicates there was an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	know anything about it. Delta did not know what he was doing on December 21, 1990, but he stated he knew he was not around Madison and Central. Delta stated that" I can't read that word "December of 1990 he was selling dope at Cabrini Green and had no reason to be sticking people up" I can't read that word.  "He had a lot of" and then continuing over to the left to the right-hand side "paren, CONT, closed paren, money coming from his dope business. He was in prison under the name of Arnold" I think that says Dixon. "His real name was James Fletcher."  Q. Thank you. And maybe I miss missed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	right-hand side, it looks like it says, "W1 is very hostile" with an exclamation point.  Do you see that?  A. Yes. I see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. Rogers being a hostile witness?  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document. I don't have an independent recollection of speaking with a Terry Rogers.  Q. Under "Notes," does it appear that Mr. Rogers was interviewed on February 13, 2002?  A. The document indicates there was an interview by the document indicates
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	know anything about it. Delta did not know what he was doing on December 21, 1990, but he stated he knew he was not around Madison and Central. Delta stated that" I can't read that word "December of 1990 he was selling dope at Cabrini Green and had no reason to be sticking people up" I can't read that word.  "He had a lot of" and then continuing over to the left to the right-hand side "paren, CONT, closed paren, money coming from his dope business. He was in prison under the name of Arnold" I think that says Dixon.  "His real name was James Fletcher."  Q. Thank you. And maybe I miss missed this part.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	right-hand side, it looks like it says, "W1 is very hostile" with an exclamation point.  Do you see that?  A. Yes. I see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. Rogers being a hostile witness?  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document. I don't have an independent recollection of speaking with a Terry Rogers.  Q. Under "Notes," does it appear that Mr. Rogers was interviewed on February 13, 2002?  A. The document indicates there was an interview by — the document indicates "interview by ASA Walker 13 February 02." I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	know anything about it. Delta did not know what he was doing on December 21, 1990, but he stated he knew he was not around Madison and Central. Delta stated that" I can't read that word "December of 1990 he was selling dope at Cabrini Green and had no reason to be sticking people up" I can't read that word.  "He had a lot of" and then continuing over to the left to the right-hand side "paren, CONT, closed paren, money coming from his dope business. He was in prison under the name of Arnold" I think that says Dixon.  "His real name was James Fletcher."  Q. Thank you. And maybe I miss missed this part.  But did you read the part where it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	right-hand side, it looks like it says, "W1 is very hostile" with an exclamation point.  Do you see that?  A. Yes. I see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. Rogers being a hostile witness?  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document. I don't have an independent recollection of speaking with a Terry Rogers.  Q. Under "Notes," does it appear that Mr. Rogers was interviewed on February 13, 2002?  A. The document indicates there was an interview by the document indicates "interview by ASA Walker 13 February 02." I don't have an independent recollection of this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	know anything about it. Delta did not know what he was doing on December 21, 1990, but he stated he knew he was not around Madison and Central. Delta stated that" I can't read that word "December of 1990 he was selling dope at Cabrini Green and had no reason to be sticking people up" I can't read that word.  "He had a lot of" and then continuing over to the left to the right-hand side "paren, CONT, closed paren, money coming from his dope business. He was in prison under the name of Arnold" I think that says Dixon.  "His real name was James Fletcher."  Q. Thank you. And maybe I miss missed this part.  But did you read the part where it says, "defendant stated he knew Terry Rogers"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	right-hand side, it looks like it says, "W1 is very hostile" with an exclamation point.  Do you see that?  A. Yes. I see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. Rogers being a hostile witness?  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document. I don't have an independent recollection of speaking with a Terry Rogers.  Q. Under "Notes," does it appear that Mr. Rogers was interviewed on February 13, 2002?  A. The document indicates there was an interview by — the document indicates "interview by ASA Walker 13 February 02." I don't have an independent recollection of this or creating — of that incident or of creating
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	know anything about it. Delta did not know what he was doing on December 21, 1990, but he stated he knew he was not around Madison and Central. Delta stated that" I can't read that word "December of 1990 he was selling dope at Cabrini Green and had no reason to be sticking people up" I can't read that word.  "He had a lot of" and then continuing over to the left to the right-hand side "paren, CONT, closed paren, money coming from his dope business. He was in prison under the name of Arnold" I think that says Dixon.  "His real name was James Fletcher."  Q. Thank you. And maybe I miss missed this part.  But did you read the part where it says, "defendant stated he knew Terry Rogers"?  A. Oh, I'm sorry. I missed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	right-hand side, it looks like it says, "W1 is very hostile" with an exclamation point.  Do you see that?  A. Yes. I see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. Rogers being a hostile witness?  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document. I don't have an independent recollection of speaking with a Terry Rogers.  Q. Under "Notes," does it appear that Mr. Rogers was interviewed on February 13, 2002?  A. The document indicates there was an interview by the document indicates "interview by ASA Walker 13 February 02." I don't have an independent recollection of this or creating of that incident or of creating this document. I I can't say.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	know anything about it. Delta did not know what he was doing on December 21, 1990, but he stated he knew he was not around Madison and Central. Delta stated that" I can't read that word "December of 1990 he was selling dope at Cabrini Green and had no reason to be sticking people up" I can't read that word.  "He had a lot of" and then continuing over to the left to the right-hand side "paren, CONT, closed paren, money coming from his dope business. He was in prison under the name of Arnold" I think that says Dixon.  "His real name was James Fletcher."  Q. Thank you. And maybe I miss missed this part.  But did you read the part where it says, "defendant stated he knew Terry Rogers"?  A. Oh, I'm sorry. I missed Q. No, no. You're fine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	right-hand side, it looks like it says, "W1 is very hostile" with an exclamation point.  Do you see that?  A. Yes. I see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. Rogers being a hostile witness?  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document. I don't have an independent recollection of speaking with a Terry Rogers.  Q. Under "Notes," does it appear that Mr. Rogers was interviewed on February 13, 2002?  A. The document indicates there was an interview by the document indicates "interview by ASA Walker 13 February 02." I don't have an independent recollection of this or creating of that incident or of creating this document. I I can't say.  Q. And also based on this document and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	know anything about it. Delta did not know what he was doing on December 21, 1990, but he stated he knew he was not around Madison and Central. Delta stated that" I can't read that word "December of 1990 he was selling dope at Cabrini Green and had no reason to be sticking people up" I can't read that word.  "He had a lot of" and then continuing over to the left to the right-hand side "paren, CONT, closed paren, money coming from his dope business. He was in prison under the name of Arnold" I think that says Dixon.  "His real name was James Fletcher."  Q. Thank you. And maybe I miss missed this part.  But did you read the part where it says, "defendant stated he knew Terry Rogers"?  A. Oh, I'm sorry. I missed Q. No, no. You're fine.  A. I think I skipped I was looking back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	right-hand side, it looks like it says, "W1 is very hostile" with an exclamation point.  Do you see that?  A. Yes. I see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. Rogers being a hostile witness?  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document. I don't have an independent recollection of speaking with a Terry Rogers.  Q. Under "Notes," does it appear that Mr. Rogers was interviewed on February 13, 2002?  A. The document indicates there was an interview by the document indicates "interview by ASA Walker 13 February 02." I don't have an independent recollection of this or creating of that incident or of creating this document. I I can't say.  Q. And also based on this document and what's noted here, it looks like there was a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	know anything about it. Delta did not know what he was doing on December 21, 1990, but he stated he knew he was not around Madison and Central. Delta stated that" I can't read that word "December of 1990 he was selling dope at Cabrini Green and had no reason to be sticking people up" I can't read that word.  "He had a lot of" and then continuing over to the left to the right-hand side "paren, CONT, closed paren, money coming from his dope business. He was in prison under the name of Arnold" I think that says Dixon.  "His real name was James Fletcher."  Q. Thank you. And maybe I miss missed this part.  But did you read the part where it says, "defendant stated he knew Terry Rogers"?  A. Oh, I'm sorry. I missed Q. No, no. You're fine.  A. I think I skipped I was looking back and forth, and I think I skipped a line.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	right-hand side, it looks like it says, "W1 is very hostile" with an exclamation point.  Do you see that?  A. Yes. I see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. Rogers being a hostile witness?  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document. I don't have an independent recollection of speaking with a Terry Rogers.  Q. Under "Notes," does it appear that Mr. Rogers was interviewed on February 13, 2002?  A. The document indicates there was an interview by the document indicates "interview by ASA Walker 13 February 02." I don't have an independent recollection of this or creating of that incident or of creating this document. I I can't say.  Q. And also based on this document and what's noted here, it looks like there was a positive identification of a photo array by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	know anything about it. Delta did not know what he was doing on December 21, 1990, but he stated he knew he was not around Madison and Central. Delta stated that" I can't read that word "December of 1990 he was selling dope at Cabrini Green and had no reason to be sticking people up" I can't read that word.  "He had a lot of" and then continuing over to the left to the right-hand side "paren, CONT, closed paren, money coming from his dope business. He was in prison under the name of Arnold" I think that says Dixon.  "His real name was James Fletcher."  Q. Thank you. And maybe I miss missed this part.  But did you read the part where it says, "defendant stated he knew Terry Rogers"?  A. Oh, I'm sorry. I missed Q. No, no. You're fine.  A. I think I skipped I was looking back and forth, and I think I skipped a line.  So on the right-hand side it says,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	right-hand side, it looks like it says, "W1 is very hostile" with an exclamation point.  Do you see that?  A. Yes. I see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. Rogers being a hostile witness?  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document. I don't have an independent recollection of speaking with a Terry Rogers.  Q. Under "Notes," does it appear that Mr. Rogers was interviewed on February 13, 2002?  A. The document indicates there was an interview by — the document indicates "interview by ASA Walker 13 February 02." I don't have an independent recollection of this or creating — of that incident or of creating this document. I — I can't say.  Q. And also based on this document and what's noted here, it looks like there was a positive identification of a photo array by Terry Rogers as well?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	know anything about it. Delta did not know what he was doing on December 21, 1990, but he stated he knew he was not around Madison and Central. Delta stated that" I can't read that word "December of 1990 he was selling dope at Cabrini Green and had no reason to be sticking people up" I can't read that word.  "He had a lot of" and then continuing over to the left to the right-hand side "paren, CONT, closed paren, money coming from his dope business. He was in prison under the name of Arnold" I think that says Dixon.  "His real name was James Fletcher."  Q. Thank you. And maybe I miss missed this part.  But did you read the part where it says, "defendant stated he knew Terry Rogers"?  A. Oh, I'm sorry. I missed Q. No, no. You're fine.  A. I think I skipped I was looking back and forth, and I think I skipped a line.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	right-hand side, it looks like it says, "W1 is very hostile" with an exclamation point.  Do you see that?  A. Yes. I see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. Rogers being a hostile witness?  A. I don't have an independent recollection of creating this document. I don't have an independent recollection of speaking with a Terry Rogers.  Q. Under "Notes," does it appear that Mr. Rogers was interviewed on February 13, 2002?  A. The document indicates there was an interview by the document indicates "interview by ASA Walker 13 February 02." I don't have an independent recollection of this or creating of that incident or of creating this document. I I can't say.  Q. And also based on this document and what's noted here, it looks like there was a positive identification of a photo array by

Page 125 Page 127 1 1 independent recollection of that. Q. And it was taken at Area 5. 2 2 Q. And then also based on the notes, it Do you see that? 3 indicates that Mr. Rogers gave a handwritten 3 A. That's what the document states. 4 statement on May 8, 2002; is that right? 4 Q. Okay. And, Ms. Walker, let me ask you 5 5 A. The document indicates an "HW," which this: Have you had a chance to read through 6 I -- generally would refer to a handwritten 6 this statement to yourself prior to -- to now? 7 statement taken on May 8th, yes. That's what 7 A. I read through this -- through this 8 8 within the last week, yes. the document says. 9 9 MS. WEST: Okay. All right. I'm going to Q. Okay. Where I'm getting at is so that 10 10 show you what we'll mark as Exhibit 4. And for I -- I don't have to make you read this into the 11 the record, this is going to be the handwritten 11 record, are you familiar with it enough that I statement of Terry Rogers. All right. And also 12 12 can ask you some questions about it, or would 13 for the record, it's City-JF-161 through 163. 13 vou like some time to read through it again? 14 (Whereupon, Walker Deposition 14 A. Go ahead and ask me some questions, and 15 15 Exhibit No. 4 was marked for if I need to read it, I'll read. 16 16 identification.) Q. Sounds good. 17 17 BY MS. WEST: Based on Mr. Rogers's statement, he --18 18 he identifies an individual in an Exhibit A as Q. All right. Ms. Walker, do you have 19 this document in front of you? 19 James Fletcher. 20 A. I do. 20 Would you agree with that? 21 21 A. The statement indicates that there's an Q. Okay. Based on this document, what 22 22 detective was present for the handwritten Exhibit A. I don't have a copy of Exhibit A as 23 statement of Mr. Rogers? 23 a part of the document that I reviewed. And in 24 24 MR. STARR: Form, foundation. Calls for the -- in the -- the statement says that Terry Page 126 Page 128 1 1 states that Exhibit A is someone he knows as speculation. 2 2 BY THE WITNESS: Fletcher. 3 3 A. I reviewed this document to prepare for Q. And I know that you already gave the 4 my testimony here today. I recognize that the 4 caveat that you aren't familiar with this 5 5 handwriting on here looks like mine, but I don't document. You don't have a recollection of 6 6 have any independent recollection -- or of taking Mr. Rogers's statement. 7 creating this document or speaking with Terry 7 Is this document in your handwriting? 8 8 Rogers. So I can't -- I can't testify as to A. This document looks like it could be my 9 9 what happened. Looking at this document and writing, but because I don't remember making it 10 10 knowing -- you know, and considering like how or having a conversation with Mr. Rogers, I 11 11 things typically worked, it appears that can't say that it is for sure in my writing. detective -- and my copy is kind of cut off. 12 O. And Mr. Rogers -- in the handwritten 12 13 13 It appears that it was Detective statement what is documented is that he has 14 Norad- -- I'm guessing Noradin. This looks like 14 known Fletcher since they were kids, and they 15 Noradiv [sic], but it also looks like part of 15 used to go to the same roller-stating place. 16 that got cut off, so --16 Do you agree with that? 17 BY MS. WEST: 17 A. That's what the document says at the 18 18 bottom of page 2. Q. Okay. And --19 A. -- I can't say for sure. 19 Q. And based on this statement, how did 20 20 Q. Okay. And based on this document, Mr. Rogers report that he had been treated by 21 21 what's noted on the document is the statement A. According to the document, it states, 22 was taken on May 8, 2002. 22 23 23 Do you see that? "Terry states he's been treated okay by the 24 A. That's what the document states. 24 police and by Assistant State's Attorney

## Page 129 Page 131 1 Jennifer Walker." But I have no independent 1 jury unless there has been the appropriate order 2 recollection of the statement or of making it --2 from the criminal court releasing the -- those 3 3 writing it down. materials. 4 Q. And based on the document, Mr. Rogers 4 So I just want to caution you of that. 5 5 I don't know that it has. There was a also indicates that no threats or promises had 6 6 been made for giving the statement. conversation on the record earlier. And I have 7 A. The document states he was not made --7 not seen an order from the criminal court judge 8 8 that "Terry was not made any threats or promises at 26th Street, Judge Reddick. I haven't heard 9 9 of the order being issued, so you ought to know and is giving the statement freely and 10 voluntarily." 10 11 Q. At the bottom of this third page here, 11 MR. HOLMES: Same -- same admonition on 12 it looks like there's three signatures under the 12 behalf of the Office. 13 last sentence. 13 MS. WEST: All right. Let me stop this for a 14 The third signature, does that appear 14 second, so we can talk about this. 15 15 Sean, I obviously haven't -- haven't to be your signature? 16 16 had the chance to look. I don't know if you A. I don't remember signing this document. 17 It looks similar to my writing. But I can't say 17 have that order. 18 that it is my signature, because I don't 18 MR. STARR: I asked my paralegal take a look, remember signing it. 19 and she couldn't find it. It doesn't mean it 19 20 MS. WEST: All right. I'm going to show you 20 doesn't -- that we don't have one. I just --21 what we will mark as Exhibit 5. For the record, 21 you know, my focus has been on this deposition. 22 22 MS. WEST: Yeah, absolutely. this is the transcript of Terry Rogers's 23 23 testimony to the grand jury taken on May 9, MR. STARR: I haven't had time to look in my 24 2002. And it's Bates Fletcher 007762 through 24 files. Page 130 Page 132 7768. 1 MS. WEST: And for everyone on here, I can 1 2 2 (Whereupon, Walker Deposition indicate to you that these documents have been 3 3 Exhibit No. 5 was marked for produced in litigation by plaintiff's office, identification.) 4 4 and that they have been used in other 5 5 BY MS. WEST: depositions. 6 6 Q. Ms. Walker, was that -- was this BY MS. WEST: 7 document among the documents that you reviewed 7 Q. So, Ms. Walker, let me ask you this: 8 in preparation for today's deposition? 8 What's your comfort level as to testifying to 9 MR. COYNE: Yeah. Let me -- let me just 9 these without actually putting your eyes on the 10 interject. Jennifer, just -- we talked about 10 order? 11 the Grand Jury Secrecy Act earlier. So I just 11 MR. COYNE: Yeah. Let me just counsel her on 12 want to caution you that according to the Act it 12 that, Jennifer, because I'm here for that 13 is illegal for you to reveal the identity of 13 reason. All right. I understand that they've 14 witnesses or evidence that was presented to a been released. That's clear. I also am not 14 15 grand jury unless there was the required order 15 aware of any exception in the Grand Jury Secrecy 16 16 from the criminal court that alleviated the Act that would protect you from potential 17 witness, in this case you, of that obligation 17 jeopardy based upon the fact that the materials 18 not to do so. 18 were released, unless there was a criminal court 19 19 So I don't know if that's been -- if order that has been issued in this case that 20 20 that's happened. So just in terms of would permit you to answer these questions. And 21 representing your interests, I would caution you 21 you ought to know that. 22 that you may find -- you may face some sort of 22 So I'm just cautioning you accordingly. 23 jeopardy should you reveal the identity of 23 I haven't seen the order. There's been no 24 testimony or witnesses presented to this grand 24 representation of any attorney in this

	Page 133		Page 135
1	deposition that there was such an order, much	1	just want to know for planning purposes. Thank
2	less that they saw it. So I would caution you	2	you.
3	that absent confirmation that an order has	3	MS. WEST: Okay. John, how long would you
4	been issued, I would caution you not to answer	4	like.
5	any questions given the jeopardy that you may or	5	MR. COYNE: Why don't we say ten minutes?
6	could face under the express terms of the Grand	6	MS. WEST: Okay.
7	Jury Secrecy Act.	7	MR. COYNE: That should be enough.
8	MR. HOLMES: Same admonition on behalf of the	8	MS. WEST: Sounds good. Okay. Thank you.
9	Office.	9	MR. STARR: Sounds good. Thanks.
10	BY THE WITNESS:	10	MS. WEST: We'll come back at 1:50.
11	A. So I can say that I read this exhibit	11	(Whereupon, a short break was
12	in preparation for my testimony here today, but	12	taken.)
13	as I have not seen an order releasing this I	13	MS. WEST: Let's go I'm going to show you
14	see it has been you-all have it. But I	14	what we'll mark as Exhibit 6. I'm sorry. Wait.
15	haven't seen the order saying that it's okay to	15	It's going to be 5.
16	talk about this. And I certainly don't am	16	THE COURT REPORTER: You have the grand jury
17	not suggesting that someone is trying to do	17	transcript marked as 5.
18	something improper, I but for my own comfort	18	MS. WEST: Ah, okay. That's why. Thank you.
19	level, I would need to see the order in order to	19	Okay. So the grand jury for Rogers is 5?
20	speak on this.	20	THE COURT REPORTER: Correct.
21	MS. WEST: Understood. How about this? I'm	21	MS. WEST: Okay. So then we'll do 6. All
22	gonna skip forward. Actually, you know what?	22	right. 6, for the record, is the Declaration of
23	Can we take just a five-minute break,	23	Emmett Wade. And for the record, it's let's
24	because I am going to have my office look for it	24	do CCSAO-CUI 00152 through 157.
	D 124		
	Page 134		Page 136
1	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish	1	Page 136 (Whereupon, Walker Deposition
1 2		1 2	<del>-</del>
	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish		(Whereupon, Walker Deposition
2	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish up what I have with you, and then if we can come	2	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for
2	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish up what I have with you, and then if we can come to this, we will.	2 3	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.)
2 3 4	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish up what I have with you, and then if we can come to this, we will.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. Let's Allyson, let's	2 3 4	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.) BY MS. WEST:
2 3 4 5	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish up what I have with you, and then if we can come to this, we will.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. Let's Allyson, let's make that break a little longer because I'm	2 3 4 5	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.) BY MS. WEST: Q. All right. Ms. Walker, do you have
2 3 4 5 6	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish up what I have with you, and then if we can come to this, we will.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. Let's Allyson, let's make that break a little longer because I'm going to need to address some things here so I'm	2 3 4 5 6	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.) BY MS. WEST: Q. All right. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish up what I have with you, and then if we can come to this, we will.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. Let's Allyson, let's make that break a little longer because I'm going to need to address some things here so I'm not interrupted. And then you said about 2:00. I know that obviously is not written in stone, but, Sean, do you know approximately how much	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.) BY MS. WEST: Q. All right. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you? A. I do. Q. Okay. Good. For context, Emmett Wade was a witness that we spoke about earlier that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish up what I have with you, and then if we can come to this, we will.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. Let's Allyson, let's make that break a little longer because I'm going to need to address some things here so I'm not interrupted. And then you said about 2:00. I know that obviously is not written in stone, but, Sean, do you know approximately how much time you're going to need for planning purposes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.) BY MS. WEST: Q. All right. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you? A. I do. Q. Okay. Good. For context, Emmett Wade
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish up what I have with you, and then if we can come to this, we will.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. Let's Allyson, let's make that break a little longer because I'm going to need to address some things here so I'm not interrupted. And then you said about 2:00. I know that obviously is not written in stone, but, Sean, do you know approximately how much time you're going to need for planning purposes on our end?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.) BY MS. WEST: Q. All right. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you? A. I do. Q. Okay. Good. For context, Emmett Wade was a witness that we spoke about earlier that was documented in the Felony Review folder as the individual who said he didn't have a good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish up what I have with you, and then if we can come to this, we will.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. Let's Allyson, let's make that break a little longer because I'm going to need to address some things here so I'm not interrupted. And then you said about 2:00. I know that obviously is not written in stone, but, Sean, do you know approximately how much time you're going to need for planning purposes on our end?  MR. STARR: I'm going to say that I'm still	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.) BY MS. WEST: Q. All right. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you? A. I do. Q. Okay. Good. For context, Emmett Wade was a witness that we spoke about earlier that was documented in the Felony Review folder as the individual who said he didn't have a good look at the robbers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish up what I have with you, and then if we can come to this, we will.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. Let's Allyson, let's make that break a little longer because I'm going to need to address some things here so I'm not interrupted. And then you said about 2:00. I know that obviously is not written in stone, but, Sean, do you know approximately how much time you're going to need for planning purposes on our end?  MR. STARR: I'm going to say that I'm still at approximately an hour, an hour and a half.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.) BY MS. WEST: Q. All right. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you? A. I do. Q. Okay. Good. For context, Emmett Wade was a witness that we spoke about earlier that was documented in the Felony Review folder as the individual who said he didn't have a good look at the robbers. Do you recall reading those notes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish up what I have with you, and then if we can come to this, we will.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. Let's Allyson, let's make that break a little longer because I'm going to need to address some things here so I'm not interrupted. And then you said about 2:00. I know that obviously is not written in stone, but, Sean, do you know approximately how much time you're going to need for planning purposes on our end?  MR. STARR: I'm going to say that I'm still at approximately an hour, an hour and a half. You know, I will do my best to get through my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.) BY MS. WEST: Q. All right. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you? A. I do. Q. Okay. Good. For context, Emmett Wade was a witness that we spoke about earlier that was documented in the Felony Review folder as the individual who said he didn't have a good look at the robbers. Do you recall reading those notes? A. I do recall that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish up what I have with you, and then if we can come to this, we will.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. Let's Allyson, let's make that break a little longer because I'm going to need to address some things here so I'm not interrupted. And then you said about 2:00. I know that obviously is not written in stone, but, Sean, do you know approximately how much time you're going to need for planning purposes on our end?  MR. STARR: I'm going to say that I'm still at approximately an hour, an hour and a half. You know, I will do my best to get through my outline as efficiently as possible.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.) BY MS. WEST: Q. All right. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you? A. I do. Q. Okay. Good. For context, Emmett Wade was a witness that we spoke about earlier that was documented in the Felony Review folder as the individual who said he didn't have a good look at the robbers. Do you recall reading those notes? A. I do recall that. Q. Okay. Safe to say, Ms. Walker, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish up what I have with you, and then if we can come to this, we will.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. Let's Allyson, let's make that break a little longer because I'm going to need to address some things here so I'm not interrupted. And then you said about 2:00. I know that obviously is not written in stone, but, Sean, do you know approximately how much time you're going to need for planning purposes on our end?  MR. STARR: I'm going to say that I'm still at approximately an hour, an hour and a half. You know, I will do my best to get through my outline as efficiently as possible.  MR. COYNE: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.) BY MS. WEST: Q. All right. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you? A. I do. Q. Okay. Good. For context, Emmett Wade was a witness that we spoke about earlier that was documented in the Felony Review folder as the individual who said he didn't have a good look at the robbers. Do you recall reading those notes? A. I do recall that. Q. Okay. Safe to say, Ms. Walker, you have not seen this document before today?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish up what I have with you, and then if we can come to this, we will.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. Let's Allyson, let's make that break a little longer because I'm going to need to address some things here so I'm not interrupted. And then you said about 2:00. I know that obviously is not written in stone, but, Sean, do you know approximately how much time you're going to need for planning purposes on our end?  MR. STARR: I'm going to say that I'm still at approximately an hour, an hour and a half. You know, I will do my best to get through my outline as efficiently as possible.  MR. COYNE: Okay.  MR. STARR: And also it depends on what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.) BY MS. WEST: Q. All right. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you? A. I do. Q. Okay. Good. For context, Emmett Wade was a witness that we spoke about earlier that was documented in the Felony Review folder as the individual who said he didn't have a good look at the robbers. Do you recall reading those notes? A. I do recall that. Q. Okay. Safe to say, Ms. Walker, you have not seen this document before today? A. Well, it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish up what I have with you, and then if we can come to this, we will.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. Let's Allyson, let's make that break a little longer because I'm going to need to address some things here so I'm not interrupted. And then you said about 2:00. I know that obviously is not written in stone, but, Sean, do you know approximately how much time you're going to need for planning purposes on our end?  MR. STARR: I'm going to say that I'm still at approximately an hour, an hour and a half. You know, I will do my best to get through my outline as efficiently as possible.  MR. COYNE: Okay.  MR. STARR: And also it depends on what questions get asked between now and then.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.) BY MS. WEST: Q. All right. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you? A. I do. Q. Okay. Good. For context, Emmett Wade was a witness that we spoke about earlier that was documented in the Felony Review folder as the individual who said he didn't have a good look at the robbers. Do you recall reading those notes? A. I do recall that. Q. Okay. Safe to say, Ms. Walker, you have not seen this document before today? A. Well, it was Q. Or before being provided it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish up what I have with you, and then if we can come to this, we will.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. Let's Allyson, let's make that break a little longer because I'm going to need to address some things here so I'm not interrupted. And then you said about 2:00. I know that obviously is not written in stone, but, Sean, do you know approximately how much time you're going to need for planning purposes on our end?  MR. STARR: I'm going to say that I'm still at approximately an hour, an hour and a half. You know, I will do my best to get through my outline as efficiently as possible.  MR. COYNE: Okay.  MR. STARR: And also it depends on what questions get asked between now and then.  MR. COYNE: Does anyone else have expect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.) BY MS. WEST:  Q. All right. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you?  A. I do. Q. Okay. Good. For context, Emmett Wade was a witness that we spoke about earlier that was documented in the Felony Review folder as the individual who said he didn't have a good look at the robbers.  Do you recall reading those notes?  A. I do recall that. Q. Okay. Safe to say, Ms. Walker, you have not seen this document before today?  A. Well, it was Q. Or before being provided it? A. It prior to preparing for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish up what I have with you, and then if we can come to this, we will.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. Let's Allyson, let's make that break a little longer because I'm going to need to address some things here so I'm not interrupted. And then you said about 2:00. I know that obviously is not written in stone, but, Sean, do you know approximately how much time you're going to need for planning purposes on our end?  MR. STARR: I'm going to say that I'm still at approximately an hour, an hour and a half. You know, I will do my best to get through my outline as efficiently as possible.  MR. COYNE: Okay.  MR. STARR: And also it depends on what questions get asked between now and then.  MR. COYNE: Does anyone else have expect to have questions that would affect the length	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.)  BY MS. WEST:  Q. All right. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you?  A. I do. Q. Okay. Good. For context, Emmett Wade was a witness that we spoke about earlier that was documented in the Felony Review folder as the individual who said he didn't have a good look at the robbers.  Do you recall reading those notes?  A. I do recall that. Q. Okay. Safe to say, Ms. Walker, you have not seen this document before today?  A. Well, it was Q. Or before being provided it? A. It prior to preparing for the deposition, I had not seen it. But it I did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish up what I have with you, and then if we can come to this, we will.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. Let's Allyson, let's make that break a little longer because I'm going to need to address some things here so I'm not interrupted. And then you said about 2:00. I know that obviously is not written in stone, but, Sean, do you know approximately how much time you're going to need for planning purposes on our end?  MR. STARR: I'm going to say that I'm still at approximately an hour, an hour and a half. You know, I will do my best to get through my outline as efficiently as possible.  MR. COYNE: Okay.  MR. STARR: And also it depends on what questions get asked between now and then.  MR. COYNE: Does anyone else have expect to have questions that would affect the length of the deposition?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.)  BY MS. WEST:  Q. All right. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you?  A. I do. Q. Okay. Good. For context, Emmett Wade was a witness that we spoke about earlier that was documented in the Felony Review folder as the individual who said he didn't have a good look at the robbers.  Do you recall reading those notes?  A. I do recall that. Q. Okay. Safe to say, Ms. Walker, you have not seen this document before today?  A. Well, it was Q. Or before being provided it?  A. It prior to preparing for the deposition, I had not seen it. But it I did get it from John's office. So so I had seen
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish up what I have with you, and then if we can come to this, we will.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. Let's Allyson, let's make that break a little longer because I'm going to need to address some things here so I'm not interrupted. And then you said about 2:00. I know that obviously is not written in stone, but, Sean, do you know approximately how much time you're going to need for planning purposes on our end?  MR. STARR: I'm going to say that I'm still at approximately an hour, an hour and a half. You know, I will do my best to get through my outline as efficiently as possible.  MR. COYNE: Okay.  MR. STARR: And also it depends on what questions get asked between now and then.  MR. COYNE: Does anyone else have expect to have questions that would affect the length of the deposition?  MR. BURNS: At this time, I don't expect to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.)  BY MS. WEST:  Q. All right. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you?  A. I do. Q. Okay. Good. For context, Emmett Wade was a witness that we spoke about earlier that was documented in the Felony Review folder as the individual who said he didn't have a good look at the robbers.  Do you recall reading those notes?  A. I do recall that. Q. Okay. Safe to say, Ms. Walker, you have not seen this document before today?  A. Well, it was Q. Or before being provided it?  A. It prior to preparing for the deposition, I had not seen it. But it I did get it from John's office. So so I had seen it before today.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish up what I have with you, and then if we can come to this, we will.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. Let's Allyson, let's make that break a little longer because I'm going to need to address some things here so I'm not interrupted. And then you said about 2:00. I know that obviously is not written in stone, but, Sean, do you know approximately how much time you're going to need for planning purposes on our end?  MR. STARR: I'm going to say that I'm still at approximately an hour, an hour and a half. You know, I will do my best to get through my outline as efficiently as possible.  MR. COYNE: Okay.  MR. STARR: And also it depends on what questions get asked between now and then.  MR. COYNE: Does anyone else have expect to have questions that would affect the length of the deposition?  MR. BURNS: At this time, I don't expect to have any questions. That may change, though.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.) BY MS. WEST: Q. All right. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you? A. I do. Q. Okay. Good. For context, Emmett Wade was a witness that we spoke about earlier that was documented in the Felony Review folder as the individual who said he didn't have a good look at the robbers. Do you recall reading those notes? A. I do recall that. Q. Okay. Safe to say, Ms. Walker, you have not seen this document before today? A. Well, it was Q. Or before being provided it? A. It prior to preparing for the deposition, I had not seen it. But it I did get it from John's office. So so I had seen it before today. Q. Okay. Did you have an opportunity to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	as well. And then we'll go on, and I'll finish up what I have with you, and then if we can come to this, we will.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. Let's Allyson, let's make that break a little longer because I'm going to need to address some things here so I'm not interrupted. And then you said about 2:00. I know that obviously is not written in stone, but, Sean, do you know approximately how much time you're going to need for planning purposes on our end?  MR. STARR: I'm going to say that I'm still at approximately an hour, an hour and a half. You know, I will do my best to get through my outline as efficiently as possible.  MR. COYNE: Okay.  MR. STARR: And also it depends on what questions get asked between now and then.  MR. COYNE: Does anyone else have expect to have questions that would affect the length of the deposition?  MR. BURNS: At this time, I don't expect to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Whereupon, Walker Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.)  BY MS. WEST:  Q. All right. Ms. Walker, do you have this document in front of you?  A. I do. Q. Okay. Good. For context, Emmett Wade was a witness that we spoke about earlier that was documented in the Felony Review folder as the individual who said he didn't have a good look at the robbers.  Do you recall reading those notes?  A. I do recall that. Q. Okay. Safe to say, Ms. Walker, you have not seen this document before today?  A. Well, it was Q. Or before being provided it?  A. It prior to preparing for the deposition, I had not seen it. But it I did get it from John's office. So so I had seen it before today.

Page	137	Page 139
1 A. I I did. I had trouble reading some	1	yourself the rest of this paragraph, and then it
2 of the of the writing. So I wouldn't say	2	proceeds onto the following page.
3 that I was able to read every word, but I have		MR. STARR: What page are you on? I'm sorry.
4 read through this. There is just some words l	4	MS. WEST: Sure. It was page 2 into 3 or
5 couldn't get.	5	Bates 153 into 154.
6 Q. Okay. I want to direct your attention	on 6	MR. STARR: Thanks.
7 specifically to a few things.	7	BY THE WITNESS:
8 So on page 2 of the document for the	1 <b>e</b> 8	A. I read that paragraph.
9 Bates range it's 153 this it's like the	9	BY MS. WEST:
10 first full paragraph in the middle of the pa	<b>ge.</b> 10	Q. Okay. Thank you.
11 If you could just read that paragraph to	11	In that paragraph Mr. Wade is saying
12 yourself, and then let me know when you'r	<b>·e</b> 12	that he was shown one photograph by the
13 finished. I want to ask you a question.	13	detectives in the presence of a State's
14 A. I have read it.	14	Attorney. Do you agree with that?
15 Q. Thank you. Based on the paragraph	h that 15	MR. COYNE: Foundation.
you just read, Mr. Wade is claiming that	16	BY THE WITNESS:
detectives came to see him at his house, an	<b>d</b> 17	A. That's what the document says.
that they would return with the state's atto		BY MS. WEST:
19 and come back in a few days.	19	Q. Okay.
20 Was that your reading of that	20	A. It again, having trouble reading it,
21 paragraph?	21	but that's the gist of what I I read the gist
A. I would say that's the gist. Again,	22	of that in the document.
23 there is there's some words here that are	23	Q. Okay. And Mr. Wade is also saying that
24 difficult to read and for me to know with	24	the detective told him that "the individual in
Page	138	Page 140
Page 1 certainty what they are.	138	Page 140 the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to
_		
1 certainty what they are.	1 2	the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to
certainty what they are.  Q. Okay. Excuse me. I'm going to move	1 2	the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to keep him off the street."
certainty what they are.  Q. Okay. Excuse me. I'm going to move down on to the next paragraph, and I am jus	t 1 2 3	the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to keep him off the street."  Do you see that?
certainty what they are.  Q. Okay. Excuse me. I'm going to move down on to the next paragraph, and I am jus going to read this to you.	t 1 2 3 4 5	the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to keep him off the street."  Do you see that?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation as to
certainty what they are.  Q. Okay. Excuse me. I'm going to move down on to the next paragraph, and I am jus going to read this to you.  "The officers called me a couple days	t 2 3 4 5 6	the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to keep him off the street."  Do you see that?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation as to Mr. Wade.
certainty what they are.  Q. Okay. Excuse me. I'm going to move down on to the next paragraph, and I am jus going to read this to you.  "The officers called me a couple days later and asked me what a good time would be a couple of the second secon	t 2 3 4 5 6	the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to keep him off the street."  Do you see that?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation as to Mr. Wade.  Go ahead.
certainty what they are.  Q. Okay. Excuse me. I'm going to move down on to the next paragraph, and I am jus going to read this to you.  "The officers called me a couple days later and asked me what a good time would I them to come to come back. I told them, a they came back with a tall, slender female State's Attorney."	t 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to keep him off the street."  Do you see that?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation as to Mr. Wade.  Go ahead.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I think I see "a bad," but but I it's I think that's what that says, yes.
certainty what they are.  Q. Okay. Excuse me. I'm going to move down on to the next paragraph, and I am jus going to read this to you.  "The officers called me a couple days later and asked me what a good time would I them to come to come back. I told them, a they came back with a tall, slender female State's Attorney."  Do you have an independent recollection	t 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to keep him off the street."  Do you see that?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation as to Mr. Wade.  Go ahead.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I think I see "a bad," but but I it's I think that's what that says, yes.  BY MS. WEST:
certainty what they are.  Q. Okay. Excuse me. I'm going to move down on to the next paragraph, and I am jus going to read this to you.  "The officers called me a couple days later and asked me what a good time would I them to come to come back. I told them, a they came back with a tall, slender female State's Attorney."	t 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to keep him off the street."  Do you see that?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation as to Mr. Wade.  Go ahead.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I think I see "a bad," but but I it's I think that's what that says, yes.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. On down and I will read. It
certainty what they are.  Q. Okay. Excuse me. I'm going to move down on to the next paragraph, and I am jus going to read this to you.  "The officers called me a couple days later and asked me what a good time would I them to come to come back. I told them, a they came back with a tall, slender female State's Attorney."  Do you have an independent recollection	t 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to keep him off the street."  Do you see that?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation as to Mr. Wade.  Go ahead.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I think I see "a bad," but but I it's I think that's what that says, yes.  BY MS. WEST:
certainty what they are.  Q. Okay. Excuse me. I'm going to move down on to the next paragraph, and I am just going to read this to you.  "The officers called me a couple days later and asked me what a good time would be them to come — to come back. I told them, a they came back with a tall, slender female State's Attorney."  Do you have an independent recollection of meeting with Mr. Wade at his home with the state of	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 nd 7 8 9 10 11 11	the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to keep him off the street."  Do you see that?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation as to Mr. Wade.  Go ahead.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I think I see "a bad," but but I it's I think that's what that says, yes.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. On down and I will read. It says, "The lady attorney agreed that he was a bad guy, and that he did" I think it says
certainty what they are.  Q. Okay. Excuse me. I'm going to move down on to the next paragraph, and I am just going to read this to you.  "The officers called me a couple days later and asked me what a good time would I them to come — to come back. I told them, a they came back with a tall, slender female State's Attorney."  Do you have an independent recollection of meeting with Mr. Wade at his home with the detectives?	t	the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to keep him off the street."  Do you see that?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation as to Mr. Wade.  Go ahead.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I think I see "a bad," but but I it's I think that's what that says, yes.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. On down and I will read. It says, "The lady attorney agreed that he was a bad guy, and that he did" I think it says this "type of thing."
certainty what they are.  Q. Okay. Excuse me. I'm going to move down on to the next paragraph, and I am just going to read this to you.  "The officers called me a couple days later and asked me what a good time would I them to come to come back. I told them, a they came back with a tall, slender female State's Attorney."  Do you have an independent recollection of meeting with Mr. Wade at his home with the detectives?  A. I do not.	t	the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to keep him off the street."  Do you see that?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation as to Mr. Wade.  Go ahead.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I think I see "a bad," but but I it's I think that's what that says, yes.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. On down and I will read. It says, "The lady attorney agreed that he was a bad guy, and that he did" I think it says
certainty what they are.  Q. Okay. Excuse me. I'm going to move down on to the next paragraph, and I am just going to read this to you.  "The officers called me a couple days later and asked me what a good time would I them to come to come back. I told them, a they came back with a tall, slender female State's Attorney."  Do you have an independent recollection of meeting with Mr. Wade at his home with the detectives?  A. I do not.  Q. Would it have been unusual to visit a	t 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to keep him off the street."  Do you see that?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation as to Mr. Wade.  Go ahead.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I think I see "a bad," but but I it's I think that's what that says, yes.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. On down and I will read. It says, "The lady attorney agreed that he was a bad guy, and that he did" I think it says this "type of thing."  Do you see that?  A. I do see those words.
certainty what they are.  Q. Okay. Excuse me. I'm going to move down on to the next paragraph, and I am just going to read this to you.  "The officers called me a couple days later and asked me what a good time would I them to come to come back. I told them, a they came back with a tall, slender female State's Attorney."  Do you have an independent recollection of meeting with Mr. Wade at his home with the detectives?  A. I do not.  Q. Would it have been unusual to visit a witness at the their home?	t 3 4 5 6e for 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to keep him off the street."  Do you see that?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation as to Mr. Wade.  Go ahead.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I think I see "a bad," but but I it's I think that's what that says, yes.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. On down and I will read. It says, "The lady attorney agreed that he was a bad guy, and that he did" I think it says this "type of thing."  Do you see that?
certainty what they are.  Q. Okay. Excuse me. I'm going to move down on to the next paragraph, and I am just going to read this to you.  "The officers called me a couple days later and asked me what a good time would I them to come to come back. I told them, a they came back with a tall, slender female State's Attorney."  Do you have an independent recollection of meeting with Mr. Wade at his home with the detectives?  A. I do not.  Q. Would it have been unusual to visit a witness at the their home?  MR. COYNE: Form.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. While I was on Felony Review, I do	t 3 4 5 6 for 6 7 8 9 n 10 the 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to keep him off the street."  Do you see that?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation as to Mr. Wade.  Go ahead.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I think I see "a bad," but but I it's I think that's what that says, yes.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. On down and I will read. It says, "The lady attorney agreed that he was a bad guy, and that he did" I think it says this "type of thing."  Do you see that?  A. I do see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you have a recollection of ever telling a witness that an offender was a
certainty what they are.  Q. Okay. Excuse me. I'm going to move down on to the next paragraph, and I am just going to read this to you.  "The officers called me a couple days later and asked me what a good time would be them to come — to come back. I told them, a they came back with a tall, slender female State's Attorney."  Do you have an independent recollection of meeting with Mr. Wade at his home with the detectives?  A. I do not.  Q. Would it have been unusual to visit a witness at the their home?  MR. COYNE: Form.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. While I was on Felony Review, I do remember visiting — going to a — houses to	t 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to keep him off the street."  Do you see that?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation as to Mr. Wade.  Go ahead.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I think I see "a bad," but but I it's I think that's what that says, yes.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. On down and I will read. It says, "The lady attorney agreed that he was a bad guy, and that he did" I think it says this "type of thing."  Do you see that?  A. I do see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you have a recollection of ever telling a witness that an offender was a bad guy?
certainty what they are.  Q. Okay. Excuse me. I'm going to move down on to the next paragraph, and I am just going to read this to you.  "The officers called me a couple days later and asked me what a good time would I them to come to come back. I told them, a they came back with a tall, slender female State's Attorney."  Do you have an independent recollection of meeting with Mr. Wade at his home with the detectives?  A. I do not.  Q. Would it have been unusual to visit a witness at the their home?  MR. COYNE: Form.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. While I was on Felony Review, I do	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to keep him off the street."  Do you see that?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation as to Mr. Wade.  Go ahead.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I think I see "a bad," but but I it's I think that's what that says, yes.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. On down and I will read. It says, "The lady attorney agreed that he was a bad guy, and that he did" I think it says this "type of thing."  Do you see that?  A. I do see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you have a recollection of ever telling a witness that an offender was a bad guy?  A. I do not have a recollection of that.
certainty what they are.  Q. Okay. Excuse me. I'm going to move down on to the next paragraph, and I am just going to read this to you.  "The officers called me a couple days later and asked me what a good time would I them to come to come back. I told them, a they came back with a tall, slender female State's Attorney."  Do you have an independent recollection of meeting with Mr. Wade at his home with the detectives?  A. I do not.  Q. Would it have been unusual to visit a witness at the their home?  MR. COYNE: Form.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. While I was on Felony Review, I do remember visiting going to a houses to speak residences to speak with witnesses. It was more the exception than the rule, though.	1 2 3 4 5 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 che 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to keep him off the street."  Do you see that?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation as to Mr. Wade.  Go ahead.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I think I see "a bad," but but I it's I think that's what that says, yes.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. On down and I will read. It says, "The lady attorney agreed that he was a bad guy, and that he did" I think it says this "type of thing."  Do you see that?  A. I do see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you have a recollection of ever telling a witness that an offender was a bad guy?  A. I do not have a recollection of that.  Q. Would you have ever singled out an
certainty what they are.  Q. Okay. Excuse me. I'm going to move down on to the next paragraph, and I am just going to read this to you.  "The officers called me a couple days later and asked me what a good time would I them to come to come back. I told them, a they came back with a tall, slender female State's Attorney."  Do you have an independent recollection of meeting with Mr. Wade at his home with the detectives?  A. I do not.  Q. Would it have been unusual to visit a witness at the their home?  MR. COYNE: Form.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. While I was on Felony Review, I do remember visiting going to a houses to speak residences to speak with witnesses. It was more the exception than the rule, though.  BY MS. WEST:	1 2 3 4 5 5 6 6 nd 7 8 9 9 10 the 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to keep him off the street."  Do you see that?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation as to Mr. Wade.  Go ahead.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I think I see "a bad," but but I it's I think that's what that says, yes.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. On down and I will read. It says, "The lady attorney agreed that he was a bad guy, and that he did" I think it says this "type of thing."  Do you see that?  A. I do see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you have a recollection of ever telling a witness that an offender was a bad guy?  A. I do not have a recollection of that.
certainty what they are.  Q. Okay. Excuse me. I'm going to move down on to the next paragraph, and I am just going to read this to you.  "The officers called me a couple days later and asked me what a good time would I them to come to come back. I told them, a they came back with a tall, slender female State's Attorney."  Do you have an independent recollection of meeting with Mr. Wade at his home with the detectives?  A. I do not.  Q. Would it have been unusual to visit a witness at the their home?  MR. COYNE: Form.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. While I was on Felony Review, I do remember visiting going to a houses to speak residences to speak with witnesses. It was more the exception than the rule, though. BY MS. WEST:  Q. I'm going to scroll on down here.	t 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 n 10 the 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to keep him off the street."  Do you see that?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation as to Mr. Wade.  Go ahead.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I think I see "a bad," but but I it's I think that's what that says, yes.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. On down and I will read. It says, "The lady attorney agreed that he was a bad guy, and that he did" I think it says this "type of thing."  Do you see that?  A. I do see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you have a recollection of ever telling a witness that an offender was a bad guy?  A. I do not have a recollection of that.  Q. Would you have ever singled out an
certainty what they are.  Q. Okay. Excuse me. I'm going to move down on to the next paragraph, and I am just going to read this to you.  "The officers called me a couple days later and asked me what a good time would I them to come to come back. I told them, a they came back with a tall, slender female State's Attorney."  Do you have an independent recollection of meeting with Mr. Wade at his home with the detectives?  A. I do not.  Q. Would it have been unusual to visit a witness at the their home?  MR. COYNE: Form.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. While I was on Felony Review, I do remember visiting going to a houses to speak residences to speak with witnesses. It was more the exception than the rule, though.  BY MS. WEST:	1 2 3 4 5 5 6 6 nd 7 8 9 9 10 the 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the photograph was a bad guy and that we want to keep him off the street."  Do you see that?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation as to Mr. Wade.  Go ahead.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I think I see "a bad," but but I it's I think that's what that says, yes.  BY MS. WEST:  Q. Okay. On down and I will read. It says, "The lady attorney agreed that he was a bad guy, and that he did" I think it says this "type of thing."  Do you see that?  A. I do see those words.  Q. Okay. Do you have a recollection of ever telling a witness that an offender was a bad guy?  A. I do not have a recollection of that.  Q. Would you have ever singled out an offender by photograph and told a witness that

## Page 141 Page 143 1 MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation. 1 Q. Based on your ethical obligations while 2 2 BY THE WITNESS: you were on Felony Review or assistant state's 3 3 A. Because I don't remember meeting with attorney, if you were interviewing a witness 4 or having a conversation with Emmett Wade, I 4 prior to charges being brought, prior to that 5 5 can't answer the question, but I -- I can't say, witness identifying an offender, would you ever you know, yes or no. But I -- I can say that I 6 6 single out a photograph of a possible offender 7 am mindful of my obligations as a prosecutor, 7 and tell that witness that that individual in 8 8 and I act in accordance with those obligations. that specific photograph was a bad guy? 9 9 BY MS. WEST: MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. 10 10 Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness Q. And by acting in accordance with those 11 obligations, you would not tell a witness that a 11 to speculate. 12 possible offender was a bad guy, correct? 12 MR. STARR: Join. 13 13 MR. STARR: Form, foundation. Go ahead. 14 MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. 14 BY THE WITNESS: 15 Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness 15 A. I again can't answer that based --16 16 to speculate. because it would require me to speculate. But 17 MR. STARR: Join. 17 again, I am -- I am -- I am mindful of my 18 18 obligations as a -- obligations as a prosecutor. BY THE WITNESS: 19 19 I would act in accordance with those A. I would again say that I am mindful of 20 my obligations as a prosecutor, and I would act 20 obligations. I would not taint an 21 in accordance with those obligations. But I 21 identification. 22 can't -- the question as asked would require me 22 MS. WEST: I'm going to show you what we will 23 mark as Exhibit 7. All right. For the record, 23 to speculate. 24 BY MS. WEST: 24 this is the affidavit of Edward Cooper, which is Page 142 Page 144 1 Bates-stamped Fletcher 425 through 426. 1 Q. Based on your obligations, would it be 2 2 improper to tell a witness that an offender was (Whereupon, Walker Deposition 3 3 Exhibit No. 7 was marked for a bad guv? 4 4 identification.) MR. COYNE: Can I hear that question again, 5 5 Madam Court Reporter? I didn't hear the BY MS. WEST: 6 6 beginning. Q. Ms. Walker, do you see the document in 7 7 (Whereupon, the record was read front of you? 8 8 as requested.) A. I see that document. I do not remember 9 MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. 9 getting that ahead of time to read over. So I 10 10 Incomplete hypothetical. have not read this document. 11 11 BY THE WITNESS: Q. Okay. I want to direct you to two A. So it would -- it would call -- it is 12 specific paragraphs, but I would also like to 12 13 still speculation. It depends on are we talking 13 give you the opportunity if you would like to 14 about, you know, a witness during an 14 read the document in its entirety. Just let me 15 investigation stage? Are we talking about a 15 know. 16 witness after there has been a verdict in a 16 I finished reading paragraph 7. 17 trial? Are we talking about -- you know, I --17 Q. Okay. Let me scroll down for you. 18 there is a lot of situations here. 18 A. I finished reading what's on the 19 And based on your question, because it 19 20 calls for speculation, I can't answer it. But I 20 Q. Okay. Just a little bit left. Sorry 21 again say that I'm mindful of my obligations as 21 a prosecutor, and I -- I would -- would act in 22 22 A. I finished. 23 23 accordance with those ethical obligations. Q. Okay. Thank you. All right. I want 24 BY MS. WEST: 24 to direct your attention to paragraph 8 and 9

Dago 1/5	Dago 147
Page 145	Page 147
1 specifically.	1 And just to clear one thing up, at the time I
2 Based on the notes that we have	2 was in Felony Review I was married. I am still
3 previously reviewed today at your deposition and	3 married, same guy. I never changed my name.
4 that you reviewed prior to the deposition in the	4 There are people who socially refer to me by my
5 Felony Review folders, Edward Cooper positively	5 husband's name, but that's not my legal name,
6 identified Mr. Fletcher in a photo array,	6 but I do respond to it if I am called that. So
7 correct?	7 just to be extra clear.
8 MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation.	8 Q. No. Thank you. And any time
9 BY THE WITNESS:	9 A. And it's not Gonzalez. His name is not
10 A. I believe that's what those Felony	10 Gonzalez.
11 Review documents stated in them.	Q. Thank you. At any time that you would
12 BY MS. WEST:	have documented work as an assistant state's
Q. And the Felony Review documents also	13 attorney, you would have noted Jennifer Walker?
14 indicated that Mr. Cooper positively identified	14 A. I specifically did not change my name
the offender, Mr. Fletcher, at the lineup,	so I did not have to spell his last name for
16 correct?	16 court reporters. I only use my name in for
17 MR. STARR: Same objections.	17 work.
18 BY THE WITNESS:	Q. Okay. Thank you. Based on the Felony
19 A. I believe I believe that's what the	19 Review notes, Ms. Friend gave a positive
20 documents indicated or I believe that's what	identification of the offender, Mr. Fletcher,
21 was stated on the documents.	based off of a photo array, correct?
22 BY MS. WEST:	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation.
Q. Okay. Did based on Mr. Cooper's	23 BY THE WITNESS:
24 statement in paragraph 8, do you have a	24 A. That is what the documents indicate.
Page 146	Page 148
1 recollection of him refusing to appear before	1 BY MS. WEST:
2 the grand jury?	2 Q. And then based on the handwritten
<ul><li>2 the grand jury?</li><li>3 A. I don't have a recollection of</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>the grand jury?</li> <li>A. I don't have a recollection of</li> <li>speaking an independent recollection of</li> </ul>	Q. And then based on the handwritten statement that we reviewed earlier, Ms. Friend again positively identified the offender,
2 the grand jury? 3 A. I don't have a recollection of 4 speaking an independent recollection of 5 speaking with Mr. Cooper, and I don't have an	Q. And then based on the handwritten statement that we reviewed earlier, Ms. Friend again positively identified the offender, Mr. Fletcher, in her handwritten statement?
2 the grand jury? 3 A. I don't have a recollection of 4 speaking an independent recollection of 5 speaking with Mr. Cooper, and I don't have an 6 independent recollection of him refusing to go	Q. And then based on the handwritten statement that we reviewed earlier, Ms. Friend again positively identified the offender, Mr. Fletcher, in her handwritten statement? A. That is
<ul> <li>the grand jury?</li> <li>A. I don't have a recollection of</li> <li>speaking an independent recollection of</li> <li>speaking with Mr. Cooper, and I don't have an</li> <li>independent recollection of him refusing to go</li> <li>to the grand jury.</li> </ul>	Q. And then based on the handwritten statement that we reviewed earlier, Ms. Friend again positively identified the offender, Mr. Fletcher, in her handwritten statement? A. That is MR. STARR: Same objections.
2 the grand jury? 3 A. I don't have a recollection of 4 speaking an independent recollection of 5 speaking with Mr. Cooper, and I don't have an 6 independent recollection of him refusing to go 7 to the grand jury. 8 Q. This might take care of one of the	Q. And then based on the handwritten statement that we reviewed earlier, Ms. Friend again positively identified the offender, Mr. Fletcher, in her handwritten statement? A. That is MR. STARR: Same objections. MR. COYNE: Objection, form.
2 the grand jury? 3 A. I don't have a recollection of 4 speaking an independent recollection of 5 speaking with Mr. Cooper, and I don't have an 6 independent recollection of him refusing to go 7 to the grand jury.  8 Q. This might take care of one of the 9 grand jury documents I wanted to show you.	Q. And then based on the handwritten statement that we reviewed earlier, Ms. Friend again positively identified the offender, Mr. Fletcher, in her handwritten statement? A. That is MR. STARR: Same objections. MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS:
2 the grand jury? 3 A. I don't have a recollection of 4 speaking an independent recollection of 5 speaking with Mr. Cooper, and I don't have an 6 independent recollection of him refusing to go 7 to the grand jury. 8 Q. This might take care of one of the 9 grand jury documents I wanted to show you. 10 Do you know an individual assistant	Q. And then based on the handwritten statement that we reviewed earlier, Ms. Friend again positively identified the offender, Mr. Fletcher, in her handwritten statement? A. That is MR. STARR: Same objections. MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS:  A. That's what's written in the statement.
2 the grand jury? 3 A. I don't have a recollection of 4 speaking an independent recollection of 5 speaking with Mr. Cooper, and I don't have an 6 independent recollection of him refusing to go 7 to the grand jury.  8 Q. This might take care of one of the 9 grand jury documents I wanted to show you. 10 Do you know an individual assistant 11 state's attorney by the name of Jennifer	Q. And then based on the handwritten statement that we reviewed earlier, Ms. Friend again positively identified the offender, Mr. Fletcher, in her handwritten statement? A. That is MR. STARR: Same objections. MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. That's what's written in the statement. BY MS. WEST:
the grand jury?  A. I don't have a recollection of speaking an independent recollection of speaking with Mr. Cooper, and I don't have an independent recollection of him refusing to go to the grand jury.  Q. This might take care of one of the grand jury documents I wanted to show you.  Do you know an individual assistant state's attorney by the name of Jennifer Gonzalez?	Q. And then based on the handwritten statement that we reviewed earlier, Ms. Friend again positively identified the offender, Mr. Fletcher, in her handwritten statement? A. That is MR. STARR: Same objections. MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. That's what's written in the statement. BY MS. WEST: Q. And again, based on the Felony Review
2 the grand jury? 3 A. I don't have a recollection of 4 speaking an independent recollection of 5 speaking with Mr. Cooper, and I don't have an 6 independent recollection of him refusing to go 7 to the grand jury. 8 Q. This might take care of one of the 9 grand jury documents I wanted to show you. 10 Do you know an individual assistant 11 state's attorney by the name of Jennifer 12 Gonzalez? 13 A. I know Jennifer Gonzalez who used to be	Q. And then based on the handwritten statement that we reviewed earlier, Ms. Friend again positively identified the offender, Mr. Fletcher, in her handwritten statement? A. That is MR. STARR: Same objections. MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. That's what's written in the statement. BY MS. WEST: Q. And again, based on the Felony Review folder, Mr. Rogers identified positively the
2 the grand jury? 3 A. I don't have a recollection of 4 speaking an independent recollection of 5 speaking with Mr. Cooper, and I don't have an 6 independent recollection of him refusing to go 7 to the grand jury. 8 Q. This might take care of one of the 9 grand jury documents I wanted to show you. 10 Do you know an individual assistant 11 state's attorney by the name of Jennifer 12 Gonzalez? 13 A. I know Jennifer Gonzalez who used to be 14 an ASA, yes.	Q. And then based on the handwritten statement that we reviewed earlier, Ms. Friend again positively identified the offender, Mr. Fletcher, in her handwritten statement? A. That is MR. STARR: Same objections. MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. That's what's written in the statement. BY MS. WEST: Q. And again, based on the Felony Review folder, Mr. Rogers identified positively the offender, Mr. Fletcher, based on a photo array,
2 the grand jury? 3 A. I don't have a recollection of 4 speaking an independent recollection of 5 speaking with Mr. Cooper, and I don't have an 6 independent recollection of him refusing to go 7 to the grand jury. 8 Q. This might take care of one of the 9 grand jury documents I wanted to show you. 10 Do you know an individual assistant 11 state's attorney by the name of Jennifer 12 Gonzalez? 13 A. I know Jennifer Gonzalez who used to be 14 an ASA, yes. 15 Q. Okay. Let me ask you this just to	Q. And then based on the handwritten statement that we reviewed earlier, Ms. Friend again positively identified the offender, Mr. Fletcher, in her handwritten statement? A. That is MR. STARR: Same objections. MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. That's what's written in the statement. BY MS. WEST: Q. And again, based on the Felony Review folder, Mr. Rogers identified positively the offender, Mr. Fletcher, based on a photo array, correct?
2 the grand jury? 3 A. I don't have a recollection of 4 speaking an independent recollection of 5 speaking with Mr. Cooper, and I don't have an 6 independent recollection of him refusing to go 7 to the grand jury. 8 Q. This might take care of one of the 9 grand jury documents I wanted to show you. 10 Do you know an individual assistant 11 state's attorney by the name of Jennifer 12 Gonzalez? 13 A. I know Jennifer Gonzalez who used to be 14 an ASA, yes. 15 Q. Okay. Let me ask you this just to 16 clear this up for me: Have you ever gone by any	Q. And then based on the handwritten statement that we reviewed earlier, Ms. Friend again positively identified the offender, Mr. Fletcher, in her handwritten statement? A. That is MR. STARR: Same objections. MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. That's what's written in the statement. BY MS. WEST: Q. And again, based on the Felony Review folder, Mr. Rogers identified positively the offender, Mr. Fletcher, based on a photo array, correct? MR. STARR: Same objections.
2 the grand jury? 3 A. I don't have a recollection of 4 speaking an independent recollection of 5 speaking with Mr. Cooper, and I don't have an 6 independent recollection of him refusing to go 7 to the grand jury. 8 Q. This might take care of one of the 9 grand jury documents I wanted to show you. 10 Do you know an individual assistant 11 state's attorney by the name of Jennifer 12 Gonzalez? 13 A. I know Jennifer Gonzalez who used to be 14 an ASA, yes. 15 Q. Okay. Let me ask you this just to 16 clear this up for me: Have you ever gone by any 17 other name other than Jennifer Walker?	Q. And then based on the handwritten statement that we reviewed earlier, Ms. Friend again positively identified the offender, Mr. Fletcher, in her handwritten statement? A. That is MR. STARR: Same objections. MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. That's what's written in the statement. BY MS. WEST: Q. And again, based on the Felony Review folder, Mr. Rogers identified positively the offender, Mr. Fletcher, based on a photo array, correct? MR. STARR: Same objections. BY THE WITNESS:
2 the grand jury? 3 A. I don't have a recollection of 4 speaking an independent recollection of 5 speaking with Mr. Cooper, and I don't have an 6 independent recollection of him refusing to go 7 to the grand jury.  8 Q. This might take care of one of the 9 grand jury documents I wanted to show you. 10 Do you know an individual assistant 11 state's attorney by the name of Jennifer 12 Gonzalez? 13 A. I know Jennifer Gonzalez who used to be 14 an ASA, yes. 15 Q. Okay. Let me ask you this just to 16 clear this up for me: Have you ever gone by any 17 other name other than Jennifer Walker? 18 A. No, that's no, I have not.	Q. And then based on the handwritten statement that we reviewed earlier, Ms. Friend again positively identified the offender, Mr. Fletcher, in her handwritten statement? A. That is MR. STARR: Same objections. MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. That's what's written in the statement. BY MS. WEST: Q. And again, based on the Felony Review folder, Mr. Rogers identified positively the offender, Mr. Fletcher, based on a photo array, correct? MR. STARR: Same objections. BY THE WITNESS: A. That is what what is written in the
2 the grand jury? 3 A. I don't have a recollection of 4 speaking an independent recollection of 5 speaking with Mr. Cooper, and I don't have an 6 independent recollection of him refusing to go 7 to the grand jury. 8 Q. This might take care of one of the 9 grand jury documents I wanted to show you. 10 Do you know an individual assistant 11 state's attorney by the name of Jennifer 12 Gonzalez? 13 A. I know Jennifer Gonzalez who used to be 14 an ASA, yes. 15 Q. Okay. Let me ask you this just to 16 clear this up for me: Have you ever gone by any 17 other name other than Jennifer Walker? 18 A. No, that's no, I have not. 19 Q. Okay. And at the time that you were in	Q. And then based on the handwritten statement that we reviewed earlier, Ms. Friend again positively identified the offender, Mr. Fletcher, in her handwritten statement? A. That is MR. STARR: Same objections. MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. That's what's written in the statement. BY MS. WEST: Q. And again, based on the Felony Review folder, Mr. Rogers identified positively the offender, Mr. Fletcher, based on a photo array, correct? MR. STARR: Same objections. BY THE WITNESS: A. That is what what is written in the document.
the grand jury?  A. I don't have a recollection of speaking an independent recollection of speaking with Mr. Cooper, and I don't have an independent recollection of him refusing to go to the grand jury.  Q. This might take care of one of the grand jury documents I wanted to show you.  Do you know an individual assistant state's attorney by the name of Jennifer Gonzalez?  A. I know Jennifer Gonzalez who used to be an ASA, yes.  Q. Okay. Let me ask you this just to clear this up for me: Have you ever gone by any other name other than Jennifer Walker?  A. No, that's no, I have not.  Q. Okay. And at the time that you were in Felony Review in from 2001 to I believe you	Q. And then based on the handwritten statement that we reviewed earlier, Ms. Friend again positively identified the offender, Mr. Fletcher, in her handwritten statement? A. That is MR. STARR: Same objections. MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. That's what's written in the statement. BY MS. WEST: Q. And again, based on the Felony Review folder, Mr. Rogers identified positively the offender, Mr. Fletcher, based on a photo array, correct? MR. STARR: Same objections. BY THE WITNESS: A. That is what what is written in the document. BY MS. WEST:
2 the grand jury? 3 A. I don't have a recollection of 4 speaking an independent recollection of 5 speaking with Mr. Cooper, and I don't have an 6 independent recollection of him refusing to go 7 to the grand jury. 8 Q. This might take care of one of the 9 grand jury documents I wanted to show you. 10 Do you know an individual assistant 11 state's attorney by the name of Jennifer 12 Gonzalez? 13 A. I know Jennifer Gonzalez who used to be 14 an ASA, yes. 15 Q. Okay. Let me ask you this just to 16 clear this up for me: Have you ever gone by any 17 other name other than Jennifer Walker? 18 A. No, that's no, I have not. 19 Q. Okay. And at the time that you were in 19 Felony Review in from 2001 to I believe you 21 said 2003, was there another assistant state's	Q. And then based on the handwritten statement that we reviewed earlier, Ms. Friend again positively identified the offender, Mr. Fletcher, in her handwritten statement? A. That is MR. STARR: Same objections. MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. That's what's written in the statement. BY MS. WEST: Q. And again, based on the Felony Review folder, Mr. Rogers identified positively the offender, Mr. Fletcher, based on a photo array, correct?  MR. STARR: Same objections. BY THE WITNESS: A. That is what what is written in the document. BY MS. WEST: Q. And again, based on the handwritten
the grand jury?  A. I don't have a recollection of speaking an independent recollection of speaking with Mr. Cooper, and I don't have an independent recollection of him refusing to go to the grand jury.  Q. This might take care of one of the grand jury documents I wanted to show you.  Do you know an individual assistant state's attorney by the name of Jennifer Gonzalez?  A. I know Jennifer Gonzalez who used to be an ASA, yes.  Q. Okay. Let me ask you this just to clear this up for me: Have you ever gone by any other name other than Jennifer Walker?  A. No, that's no, I have not.  Q. Okay. And at the time that you were in Felony Review in from 2001 to I believe you said 2003, was there another assistant state's attorney in Felony Review by the name of	Q. And then based on the handwritten statement that we reviewed earlier, Ms. Friend again positively identified the offender, Mr. Fletcher, in her handwritten statement? A. That is MR. STARR: Same objections. MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. That's what's written in the statement. BY MS. WEST: Q. And again, based on the Felony Review folder, Mr. Rogers identified positively the offender, Mr. Fletcher, based on a photo array, correct?  MR. STARR: Same objections. BY THE WITNESS: A. That is what what is written in the document. BY MS. WEST: Q. And again, based on the handwritten statement of Terry Rogers, he further identified
2 the grand jury? 3 A. I don't have a recollection of 4 speaking an independent recollection of 5 speaking with Mr. Cooper, and I don't have an 6 independent recollection of him refusing to go 7 to the grand jury. 8 Q. This might take care of one of the 9 grand jury documents I wanted to show you. 10 Do you know an individual assistant 11 state's attorney by the name of Jennifer 12 Gonzalez? 13 A. I know Jennifer Gonzalez who used to be 14 an ASA, yes. 15 Q. Okay. Let me ask you this just to 16 clear this up for me: Have you ever gone by any 17 other name other than Jennifer Walker? 18 A. No, that's no, I have not. 19 Q. Okay. And at the time that you were in 19 Felony Review in from 2001 to I believe you 21 said 2003, was there another assistant state's	Q. And then based on the handwritten statement that we reviewed earlier, Ms. Friend again positively identified the offender, Mr. Fletcher, in her handwritten statement? A. That is MR. STARR: Same objections. MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. That's what's written in the statement. BY MS. WEST: Q. And again, based on the Felony Review folder, Mr. Rogers identified positively the offender, Mr. Fletcher, based on a photo array, correct?  MR. STARR: Same objections. BY THE WITNESS: A. That is what what is written in the document. BY MS. WEST: Q. And again, based on the handwritten

	Page 149		Page 151
1	BY THE WITNESS:	1	your attorney, which I don't want to know about,
2	A. That is what's written in the	2	did you speak with anyone else in preparation
3	statement.	3	for your deposition today?
4	BY MS. WEST:	4	A. I have had to tell multiple people that
5	Q. Based on the Felony Review folder,	5	I was being deposed, but I have not spoken about
6	Mr. Cooper positively identified Mr. Fletcher	6	the substance of my of my testimony with
7	strike that. I already asked you that question.	7	anyone other than my attorney.
8	Based on the Felony Review folder, can	8	Q. Are you aware of Mr. Fletcher's
9	you tell if you interviewed or someone	9	criminal conviction being overturned?
10	interviewed Mr. Wade more than one time?	10	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, you can answer the
11	MR. STARR: Form, foundation, speculation.	11	question provided you don't need to disclose our
12	BY THE WITNESS:	12	attorney-client communications.
13	A. Based on the Felony Review folder	13	THE WITNESS: I I have heard that, yes.
14	documents, they indicate they seem to	14	BY MS. WEST:
15	indicate that Wade was interviewed on	15	Q. Okay. And are you aware that
16	March 16th, but there is there is two entries	16	Mr. Fletcher sought a certificate of innocence
17	indicating that Wade was interviewed on	17	following his conviction being vacated?
18	March 16th, and there is one entry that just	18	MR. COYNE: Same instruction.
19	says he was interviewed and does not give a	19	BY THE WITNESS:
20	date. So I'm not I'm not sure.	20	A. I believe so.
21	BY MS. WEST:	21	BY MS. WEST:
22	Q. Thank you.	22	Q. Are you aware that the State's Attorney
23	A. According to the just looking on the	23	Office took no no position at Mr. Fletcher's
24	documents, because I still have no personal	24	hearing on his motion for a certificate of
	Page 150		Page 152
1	recollection of that.	1	innocence?
2	Q. Prior to today's deposition, did you	2	A. I did I'm unaware of what position
3	speak with any attorneys who indicated that they	3	the State's Attorney's Office may or may not
4	represent Mr. Fletcher?	4	have taken.
5	A. I don't think so.	5	MS. WEST: Okay. I am done with the
6	Q. Meaning, prior to today's deposition,	6	exception of the grand jury issue. And I would
7	did you speak with anyone from the law firm of	7	have two documents to show her. It would be the
8	Loevy & Loevy?	8	grand jury testimony for Friend. It would be
9	A. If I have spoken with anyone from	9	the grand jury testimony for Rogers. If we
10	Loevy & Loevy, it has not been about this case,	10	could go off the record, I will check my e-mail
11	I may have spoken to them about a different	11	to see if I got anything from my paralegal
12	case. I'm not sure who all they represent, but	12	really quick.
13	I don't remember speaking to anyone from	13	(Whereupon, a discussion was had
14	Loevy & Loevy about the the Fletcher case.	14	off the record.)
	O D.:	15	MS. WEST: Everyone, I mean, I told this to
15	Q. Prior to today's deposition, did you		
15 16	have any conversations with an attorney Jennifer	16	John earlier. I don't know who all was on
15 16 17	have any conversations with an attorney Jennifer Blagg about Mr. Fletcher's case and the fact	17	there.
15 16 17 18	have any conversations with an attorney Jennifer Blagg about Mr. Fletcher's case and the fact that you were being deposed?	17 18	there. What I would like to do given the fact
15 16 17 18 19	have any conversations with an attorney Jennifer Blagg about Mr. Fletcher's case and the fact that you were being deposed? MR. STARR: Asked and answered.	17 18 19	there.  What I would like to do given the fact that we I understand your position and the
15 16 17 18 19 20	have any conversations with an attorney Jennifer Blagg about Mr. Fletcher's case and the fact that you were being deposed?  MR. STARR: Asked and answered. BY THE WITNESS:	17 18 19 20	there.  What I would like to do given the fact that we I understand your position and the fact that Ms. Walker doesn't feel comfortable
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have any conversations with an attorney Jennifer Blagg about Mr. Fletcher's case and the fact that you were being deposed?  MR. STARR: Asked and answered. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't remember speaking with Jennifer	17 18 19 20 21	there.  What I would like to do given the fact that we I understand your position and the fact that Ms. Walker doesn't feel comfortable testifying about specific grand jury materials
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have any conversations with an attorney Jennifer Blagg about Mr. Fletcher's case and the fact that you were being deposed?  MR. STARR: Asked and answered. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't remember speaking with Jennifer Blagg.	17 18 19 20 21 22	there.  What I would like to do given the fact that we I understand your position and the fact that Ms. Walker doesn't feel comfortable testifying about specific grand jury materials without reviewing an order from the criminal
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have any conversations with an attorney Jennifer Blagg about Mr. Fletcher's case and the fact that you were being deposed?  MR. STARR: Asked and answered. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't remember speaking with Jennifer Blagg. BY MS. WEST:	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there.  What I would like to do given the fact that we I understand your position and the fact that Ms. Walker doesn't feel comfortable testifying about specific grand jury materials without reviewing an order from the criminal court granting release of those records. What I
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have any conversations with an attorney Jennifer Blagg about Mr. Fletcher's case and the fact that you were being deposed?  MR. STARR: Asked and answered. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't remember speaking with Jennifer Blagg.	17 18 19 20 21 22	there.  What I would like to do given the fact that we I understand your position and the fact that Ms. Walker doesn't feel comfortable testifying about specific grand jury materials without reviewing an order from the criminal

## Page 153 Page 155 1 they have been produced in discovery. I would 1 testimony of Terry Rogers in preparation for the 2 2 still like to make a record and go through and deposition today, correct? 3 3 show her the two specific exhibits I would like A. I -- I read this exhibit. 4 to use relative to the grand jury. 4 O. Okav. All right. All right. 5 5 And -- and, John, I understand that you Ms. Walker, in your review of 6 6 need to make your record as well as I do, and Mr. Roger's grand jury testimony, did you see 7 this may be one of those situations where, 7 that Mr. Rogers positively identified 8 8 Mr. Fletcher as the offender involved in the Ms. Walker, it's a little painful in the sense 9 December 21st, 1990 shooting of Willie Sorrell? 9 that you are going to be instructed not to 10 10 answer, but I'm going to keep asking you a few A. I --11 questions. Okay? 11 MR. COYNE: Objection -- objection. 12 John, anything you want to add? 12 Jennifer, and I'm going to instruct you as 13 MR. COYNE: Just if there is any questions 13 previously mentioned -- I thought we were going about the grand jury transcripts, then the to get a stipulation on this -- any questions 14 14 15 witness will be instructed in the same manner as regarding witnesses, evidence, testimony in 15 16 front of the grand jury, my instruction is to 16 she was instructed earlier, which you have 17 17 you, you ought not to answer those questions in acknowledged, Allyson, which is that absent an order, the appropriate order releasing the grand 18 light of the admonition of the Grand Jury 18 19 19 jury transcripts from the Secrecy Act, she would Secrecy Act so that you do not face jeopardy for 20 be instructed not to answer that question. 20 violating that Act. 21 So if we could just enter a stipulation 21 And that would be my similar 22 22 instruction to you regarding any questions rather than inconveniencing the witness 23 pertaining to witnesses, evidence, testimony, or 23 that's -- that's what I would propose, but that 24 other matters pertaining to any grand jury 24 would just be a stipulation. It's obviously Page 154 Page 156 1 your call. 1 proceeding absent a properly issued order from 2 2 MS. WEST: Let's do it this way. What I Judge Reddick or other appropriate presiding 3 would prefer to do is at least mark the 3 judge at the criminal court that these documents exhibits, and then I will ask her generally if 4 and the grand jury testimony in this case has 4 5 5 been released from the application of the Grand she could -- will provide testimony relative to Exhibit X and Exhibit Y, and then you can 6 6 Jury Secrecy Act. 7 instruct her not to answer, and we don't have to 7 Do you understand my instruction? 8 go through the process of me asking each 8 THE WITNESS: I do. Thank you. 9 9 MR. HOLMES: Same -- same guidance and question. 10 10 instruction on behalf of the State's Attorney's Is that fair? 11 11 MR. COYNE: However you want to proceed is Office. 12 12 BY MS. WEST: 13 Q. Ms. Walker, based on the instruction 13 MS. WEST: Thank you. Okay. 14 Ms. Court Reporter, what exhibit are we 14 from your attorney, as well as Mr. Holmes, can 15 15 you answer my question? on? 16 THE COURT REPORTER: 8. And you did mark one 16 A. I cannot. 17 previously as Exhibit 6, I believe -- or 5. 17 MS. WEST: All right. Let's mark Friend's 18 grand jury testimony as Exhibit 8. 18 MS. WEST: You're right. 5. Let's go there 19 (Whereupon, Walker Deposition 19 first. Exhibit 5, for the record, is the grand 20 Exhibit No. 8 was marked for 20 jury testimony of Terry Rogers taken on May 9th, 21 identification.) 21 2002. It's Fletcher 7762 through Fletcher 7767. BY MS. WEST: 22 BY MS. WEST: 22 23 23 Q. Ms. Walker, did you have -- oh, I'm Q. Ms. Walker, I think we got so far as 24 sorry. For the record, the exhibit is 24 the fact that you did review the grand jury

```
Page 157
                                                                                                          Page 159
 1
        Ms. Friend's testimony before the grand jury on
                                                                1
                                                                      object to any reproduction of the witness for
                                                                2
 2
        May 1st, 2002, which is Bates 474 -- Fletcher
                                                                      deposition. Thanks. That's it.
                                                                3
 3
        474 through 489.
                                                                        MR. BURNS: I have no questions.
 4
          MR. COYNE: And, Allyson, this is 8 or 9?
                                                                4
                                                                        MR. STARR: Can we go off the record for just
 5
          MS. WEST: 8.
                                                                5
                                                                      two minutes? I just need two minutes.
                                                                6
 6
        BY MS. WEST:
                                                                        MR. COYNE: Sure.
 7
          Q. Ms. Walker, did you have an opportunity
                                                                7
                                                                        MR. STARR: Thanks.
                                                                8
 8
        to review Ms. Friend's grand jury testimony
                                                                               (Whereupon, a short break was
 9
        prior to the deposition today?
                                                                9
                                                                                taken.)
                                                              10
10
          A. I did have the opportunity to review
                                                                                EXAMINATION
11
        Exhibit No. 8.
                                                              11
                                                                      BY MR. STARR:
12
          Q. And based on your review of
                                                              12
                                                                        Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Walker. My name is
13
        Ms. Friend's testimony, does it appear that
                                                              13
                                                                      Sean Starr, and I represent the Plaintiff,
14
        Ms. Friend identified Mr. Fletcher as one of the
                                                              14
                                                                      Mr. Fletcher, in this matter. I'm going to be
                                                              15
15
        offenders involved in the shooting of Willie
                                                                      jumping around here a little bit, but I will do
16
                                                              16
        Sorrell on 19- -- I'm sorry -- on December 21st,
                                                                      my best to get us through the day and get you
17
                                                              17
                                                                      out of here as efficiently as possible.
18
          MR. COYNE: Objection. And same instruction
                                                              18
                                                                           I just want to talk a little bit -- ask
                                                              19
19
        to you as previously given as to the prior
                                                                      you a few questions about your general practice
20
        exhibit, Jennifer. If you need me to repeat it,
                                                              20
                                                                      as a Felony Review State's Attorney.
21
        I will.
                                                              21
                                                                           You said it was from -- you were in
22
                                                              22
          MR. HOLMES: Join.
                                                                      Felony Review from 2001 to 2003; is that
                                                              23
23
          THE WITNESS: I'm good, guys. Thanks.
                                                                      correct?
                                                              24
24
        BY THE WITNESS:
                                                                        A. The -- towards the end of '01 to kind
                                           Page 158
                                                                                                          Page 160
          A. Based on the Grand Jury Secrecy Act and
                                                               1
                                                                      of the early -- late summer, early fall of '03.
 1
 2
                                                               2
        the fact that there has been no order presented
                                                                        Q. Okay. And I wanted to just ask you
 3
        showing that this has been released, I do not --
                                                               3
                                                                      about what your general practice is, and if your
                                                                4
 4
        am not able to answer that question.
                                                                      answer is -- at any point departs from your
 5
                                                                5
          MS. WEST: Thank you.
                                                                      general practices, you know, if you could
 6
                                                                6
             Ms. Walker, those are all the questions
                                                                      indicate that for me. I don't think that's
 7
        that I have for you. I am just going to put on
                                                               7
                                                                      going to be the case, but please indicate that,
 8
        the record -- and John, I know you need to put a
                                                               8
                                                                      if your -- if your answer was in departure from
 9
                                                               9
        response on that I am going to reserve the right
                                                                      what you would normally do during your tenure as
10
                                                              10
        to redepose Ms. Walker based on those two
                                                                      a Felony Review State's Attorney.
11
        exhibits we marked as Exhibit 6 [sic] and
                                                              11
                                                                           Ms. West asked you about what you would
12
                                                              12
                                                                      normally do when you first were assigned a case
13
              And, John, I know you object, so if you
                                                              13
                                                                      in Felony Review.
        would like to put your objection on the record.
14
                                                              14
                                                                           Do you remember that question --
15
           MR. COYNE: Yeah. And on behalf of the
                                                              15
                                                                        A. Yes.
16
        witness, we object to any continued -- or
                                                              16
                                                                        Q. -- in the morning?
17
        reproduction of this witness for a continued
                                                              17
                                                                           Okay. I think you mentioned you would
18
        deposition. Any predicate for answering these
                                                              18
                                                                      read -- you would read any police reports
19
        questions, i.e. disclosure of the requisite
                                                              19
                                                                      that -- that you could get your hands on; is
20
        order to ask those questions of the witness
                                                              20
                                                                      that correct?
21
        without putting the witness in jeopardy, ought
                                                              21
                                                                        A. Correct.
        to have been, number one, possessed and, number
22
                                                              22
                                                                        Q. Would you specifically ask the police
23
        two, disclosed to all necessary parties.
                                                              23
                                                                      that were investigating the underlying crime if
24
             Since that was not done, we would
                                                              24
                                                                      there were any police reports?
```

	Page 161		Page 163
1	A. Generally, they would give me the	1	MR. BURNS: Objection, foundation.
2	reports that they had. I didn't have to ask.	2	BY THE WITNESS:
3	Q. All right. Do you ever did you ever	3	A. Typically, in my experience the GPR
4	have a circumstance where you were assigned a	4	is report is where the detective or the
5	case, and you were not given any police reports	5	officer is making notes. They are typically
6	by the police investigating the crime, and you	6	handwritten when I was on Felony Review, they
7	asked for the reports or asked if there were	7	were typically handwritten. It was those
8	reports?	8	reports tended to be a little less formal and a
9	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Go ahead.	9	little more note-ish than a sup than a
10	BY THE WITNESS:	10	typewritten sup report that was in, you know,
11	A. I don't have a specific recollection of	11	complete sentences.
12	that.	12	BY MR. STARR:
13	BY MR. STARR:	13	Q. And when you were initially assigned to
14	Q. Okay. Would you make an effort to	14	a case and you were given police reports by the
15	determine what police reports exist at the time	15	detective investigating the case, would you also
16	you're assigned?	16	receive GPRs on occasion?
17	A. If it was for your questions, I'm	17	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Just to
18	assuming that the the case or the	18	spare my objections, these are all general
19	investigation would be, you know, a personal	19	progress questions general practice questions
20	case, and I was at the police station doing	20	unless indicated otherwise?
21	this.	21	MR. STARR: Yes.
22	On a phone case, we wouldn't worry	22	MR. COYNE: Okay. That's I will keep
23	about like, we wouldn't have access to the	23	still then. Thanks.
24	police reports, because we weren't physically	24	BY THE WITNESS:
	D 160		Davis 164
	Page 162		Page 164
1	there. And typically, we would not get the	1	A. I would I believe I would receive
2	police reports for a phone case. But for the	2	them sometimes, but not necessarily every time.
3	for an in-person case, I was typically given the	3	It just depended.
4	police reports. I would ask I may ask if	4	BY MR. STARR:
5	there were any others, but typically, I was just	5	Q. Would you ever as a matter of general
6	given reports to read.	6	practice ask the detectives if there were any
7	Q. Okay. And I am I am going my	7	general progress reports if you did not receive
8	question do strike that.	8	them at the initial time when you got the rest
9	My questions are going to be just about	9	of the reports?
10	personal cases where you are present	10	A. I don't specifically recall asking for
11	A. Okay.	11	them.
12	Q. — at an area or a station, not about	12	Q. Okay. Would you ever receive any
13	phone cases. Okay?	13	police any notes taken by police in any other
14	A. Okay.  O. Excellent.	14	format than a General Progress Report?  A. I don't remember that.
15	~	15 16	
16 17	Are you familiar with a Chicago Police	17	Q. In addition to police reports, would
18	Department report called the General Progress	18	you ask the police if they had interviewed the suspects or witnesses in any given crime?
19	Report?  MP. BURNS: Objection foundation	19	, ,
20	MR. BURNS: Objection, foundation. BY THE WITNESS:	20	A. It was my practice to ask if there were witnesses and if the witnesses had been spoken
		20	to by the police.
21 22	A. Yes. I have seen GPR reports. BY MR. STARR:	22	* *
23	Q. What's your understanding of what a	23	Q. Was it also your practice to ask if the witnesses had, in fact, been spoken to by police
	GPR the purpose of what a GPR is?	24	what they had told the police?
24			
24	Grk the purpose of what a Grk is:		what they had told the police.

Page 165 Page 167 1 A. Yes. That information was typically 1 was working on with Felony Review without police 2 shared with me. 2 officers there for any part of that 3 3 Q. All right. And if it wasn't shared conversation. 4 with you, would you seek it out? 4 BY MR. STARR: 5 5 A. Typically, I would ask, yes. Q. And was there a reason why you would 6 6 Q. Is it correct to characterize that as a refrain from doing that? 7 Felony Review, you would want to know as much as 7 MR. COYNE: Objection. Jennifer, I'm 8 8 possible in terms of what the police had learned instructing you on the work-product privilege. 9 9 Once again, if in order to answer that question prior to your assignment? 10 10 A. Well, we didn't typically learn you would need to divulge your mental 11 anything prior to being assigned, but once we 11 impressions -- which include your opinions about 12 were assigned, it was our goal to gather 12 the evidence, your strategies, your 13 13 information. decision-making as a prosecutor -- if in order Q. Okay. And maybe I poorly phrased that 14 14 to answer counsel's question you would need to 15 15 disclose those mental impressions with respect question. to a specific case, then I would instruct you 16 16 But is it correct to characterize your 17 17 general practice as a Felony Review State's not to answer the question. If you can answer 18 Attorney that when you were assigned a case, you 18 the question without doing so, then you may 19 19 would attempt to learn as much about the proceed and answer. 20 investigation that had occurred prior to your 20 MR. HOLMES: Same guidance and same 21 assignment once you were assigned? 21 instruction on behalf of the State's Attorney's 22 A. Yes. 22 Office on the ground of deliberate process 23 23 Q. Okay. Under what circumstances as a privilege. 24 Cook County State's Attorney - Felony Review 24 BY THE WITNESS: Page 166 Page 168 1 1 A. Thank you. State's Attorney would you interview witnesses 2 2 independent of the police? Could you repeat the question, please? 3 MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. 3 BY MR. STARR: 4 4 BY THE WITNESS: O. Yeah. 5 A. Well, I would -- I would speak to them 5 Was there a reason why as a Cook County 6 when -- without the police present to find out 6 State's Attorney you would refrain from 7 how they had been treated by the police, but I 7 interviewing witnesses or suspects outside the 8 8 would -- but I would never speak to a witness by presence of police personnel with the -- the one 9 myself -- like completely by myself. There 9 exception being the one you described, where you 10 would just be a period in talking to them where 10 would ask them how they were treated by the I would speak to them alone -- you know, alone 11 11 please? 12 without the police there. 12 MR. COYNE: Same instruction. 13 BY MR. STARR: 13 MR. HOLMES: Same guidance and instruction. 14 Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that you 14 BY THE WITNESS: 15 would never independently interview a witness or 15 A. There is -- there is a -- there's a 16 16 a suspect about an underlying crime separate and couple reasons. One reason when it comes to 17 apart from the police with the exception of 17 interviewing the defendant is -- is safety. 18 asking them about how the police treated them? 18 One -- there are -- it is -- talking with 19 MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. 19 witnesses and defendants -- or potential 20 Mischaracterizes testimony. 20 defendants, also, it's good to have another 21 Go ahead. 21 person there to help listen and -- and ask the 22 BY THE WITNESS: 22 questions. You know, when you're talking and 23 23 A. I can't ever recall an incident where I listening, that can get -- that can -- that can 24 spoke to a witness or a defendant on something I 24 be a lot.

	Page 169		Page 171
1	So it's good to have some someone	1	BY THE WITNESS:
2	else there as well. And there is probably some	2	A. I don't a I don't remember a
3	more reasons. I just can't think of them all	3	specific prohibition. I don't recall that.
4	right now.	4	BY MR. STARR:
5	BY MR. STARR:	5	Q. But it's correct to say you also don't
6	Q. Okay. Did you ever have, in your time	6	recall interviewing witnesses in the presence of
7	as a Felony Review State's Attorney, an occasion	7	defense counsel, correct?
8	to interview a witness where there was also a	8	A. I I can't I cannot recall doing
9		9	so. That's that's also correct.
10	defense counsel present for that interview?  A. I can't remember that. I don't recall	10	
-		11	Q. As a Felony Review State's Attorney,
11	that situation. I don't I just I don't		were you allowed to make witnesses promises?
12	remember that.	12	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.
13	Q. Do you based on your experience, is	13	Incomplete hypothetical.
14	that something that would be allowed under the	14	BY THE WITNESS:
15	policies and practices of the State's Attorney	15	A. I don't quite understand what kind of
16	Office as you understood them?	16	promise what you mean by "promise."
17	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.	17	BY MR. STARR:
18	Requires speculation.	18	Q. Well, I mean it in the most general
19	MR. HOLMES: Also, I object on grounds of	19	sense. I'm going to ask you some more specific
20	work product. And if you would require the	20	questions after this, but I just want to know if
21	witness to disclose the work product of the	21	you had in your experience as a State's
22	State's Attorney's Office in responding to the	22	Attorney, were you allowed to make witnesses
23	question, I would instruct the witness not to	23	promises during the course of your interviews
24	answer.	24	with them?
	Daga 170		Dago 170
	Page 170		Page 172
1	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as	1	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.
2	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel	2	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of
2 3	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel indicated earlier, these are general practice	2 3	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of deliberate process privilege as to the State's
2 3 4	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel indicated earlier, these are general practice questions. Work product is case specific. So	2 3 4	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of deliberate process privilege as to the State's Attorney Office.
2 3 4 5	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel indicated earlier, these are general practice questions. Work product is case specific. So if you would need to divulge any mental	2 3 4 5	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of deliberate process privilege as to the State's Attorney Office. BY THE WITNESS:
2 3 4 5 6	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel indicated earlier, these are general practice questions. Work product is case specific. So if you would need to divulge any mental impressions or with respect to your work as a	2 3 4 5 6	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of deliberate process privilege as to the State's Attorney Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm having trouble understanding what
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel indicated earlier, these are general practice questions. Work product is case specific. So if you would need to divulge any mental impressions or with respect to your work as a prosecutor pertaining to a specific case, then I	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of deliberate process privilege as to the State's Attorney Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm having trouble understanding what you mean by promises.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel indicated earlier, these are general practice questions. Work product is case specific. So if you would need to divulge any mental impressions or with respect to your work as a prosecutor pertaining to a specific case, then I would instruct you not to answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of deliberate process privilege as to the State's Attorney Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm having trouble understanding what you mean by promises. BY MR. STARR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel indicated earlier, these are general practice questions. Work product is case specific. So if you would need to divulge any mental impressions or with respect to your work as a prosecutor pertaining to a specific case, then I would instruct you not to answer.  In general, you can answer questions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of deliberate process privilege as to the State's Attorney Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm having trouble understanding what you mean by promises. BY MR. STARR: Q. Okay. Let me ask another question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel indicated earlier, these are general practice questions. Work product is case specific. So if you would need to divulge any mental impressions or with respect to your work as a prosecutor pertaining to a specific case, then I would instruct you not to answer.  In general, you can answer questions for work product, as long as it's not pertaining	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of deliberate process privilege as to the State's Attorney Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm having trouble understanding what you mean by promises. BY MR. STARR: Q. Okay. Let me ask another question maybe more specifically.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel indicated earlier, these are general practice questions. Work product is case specific. So if you would need to divulge any mental impressions or with respect to your work as a prosecutor pertaining to a specific case, then I would instruct you not to answer.  In general, you can answer questions for work product, as long as it's not pertaining a particular case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of deliberate process privilege as to the State's Attorney Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm having trouble understanding what you mean by promises. BY MR. STARR: Q. Okay. Let me ask another question maybe more specifically. As a Cook County State's Attorney, were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel indicated earlier, these are general practice questions. Work product is case specific. So if you would need to divulge any mental impressions or with respect to your work as a prosecutor pertaining to a specific case, then I would instruct you not to answer.  In general, you can answer questions for work product, as long as it's not pertaining a particular case.  Do you understand?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of deliberate process privilege as to the State's Attorney Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm having trouble understanding what you mean by promises. BY MR. STARR: Q. Okay. Let me ask another question maybe more specifically. As a Cook County State's Attorney, were you allowed to make promises to witnesses in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel indicated earlier, these are general practice questions. Work product is case specific. So if you would need to divulge any mental impressions or with respect to your work as a prosecutor pertaining to a specific case, then I would instruct you not to answer.  In general, you can answer questions for work product, as long as it's not pertaining a particular case.  Do you understand?  BY THE WITNESS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of deliberate process privilege as to the State's Attorney Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm having trouble understanding what you mean by promises. BY MR. STARR: Q. Okay. Let me ask another question maybe more specifically. As a Cook County State's Attorney, were you allowed to make promises to witnesses in exchange for their statements?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel indicated earlier, these are general practice questions. Work product is case specific. So if you would need to divulge any mental impressions or with respect to your work as a prosecutor pertaining to a specific case, then I would instruct you not to answer.  In general, you can answer questions for work product, as long as it's not pertaining a particular case.  Do you understand?  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of deliberate process privilege as to the State's Attorney Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm having trouble understanding what you mean by promises. BY MR. STARR: Q. Okay. Let me ask another question maybe more specifically. As a Cook County State's Attorney, were you allowed to make promises to witnesses in exchange for their statements? MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel indicated earlier, these are general practice questions. Work product is case specific. So if you would need to divulge any mental impressions or with respect to your work as a prosecutor pertaining to a specific case, then I would instruct you not to answer.  In general, you can answer questions for work product, as long as it's not pertaining a particular case.  Do you understand?  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do. Thank you.  Could you repeat the question, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of deliberate process privilege as to the State's Attorney Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm having trouble understanding what you mean by promises. BY MR. STARR: Q. Okay. Let me ask another question maybe more specifically. As a Cook County State's Attorney, were you allowed to make promises to witnesses in exchange for their statements? MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel indicated earlier, these are general practice questions. Work product is case specific. So if you would need to divulge any mental impressions or with respect to your work as a prosecutor pertaining to a specific case, then I would instruct you not to answer.  In general, you can answer questions for work product, as long as it's not pertaining a particular case.  Do you understand?  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do. Thank you.  Could you repeat the question, please?  BY MR. STARR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of deliberate process privilege as to the State's Attorney Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm having trouble understanding what you mean by promises. BY MR. STARR: Q. Okay. Let me ask another question maybe more specifically. As a Cook County State's Attorney, were you allowed to make promises to witnesses in exchange for their statements? MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. MR. HOLMES: Deliberative process privilege
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel indicated earlier, these are general practice questions. Work product is case specific. So if you would need to divulge any mental impressions or with respect to your work as a prosecutor pertaining to a specific case, then I would instruct you not to answer.  In general, you can answer questions for work product, as long as it's not pertaining a particular case.  Do you understand? BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do. Thank you.  Could you repeat the question, please? BY MR. STARR:  Q. Yeah. And I am going to rephrase it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of deliberate process privilege as to the State's Attorney Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm having trouble understanding what you mean by promises. BY MR. STARR: Q. Okay. Let me ask another question maybe more specifically. As a Cook County State's Attorney, were you allowed to make promises to witnesses in exchange for their statements? MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. MR. HOLMES: Deliberative process privilege objection on behalf of the State's Attorney's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel indicated earlier, these are general practice questions. Work product is case specific. So if you would need to divulge any mental impressions or with respect to your work as a prosecutor pertaining to a specific case, then I would instruct you not to answer.  In general, you can answer questions for work product, as long as it's not pertaining a particular case.  Do you understand? BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do. Thank you.  Could you repeat the question, please? BY MR. STARR:  Q. Yeah. And I am going to rephrase it slightly maybe to avoid some of these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of deliberate process privilege as to the State's Attorney Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm having trouble understanding what you mean by promises. BY MR. STARR: Q. Okay. Let me ask another question maybe more specifically. As a Cook County State's Attorney, were you allowed to make promises to witnesses in exchange for their statements? MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. MR. HOLMES: Deliberative process privilege objection on behalf of the State's Attorney's Office.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel indicated earlier, these are general practice questions. Work product is case specific. So if you would need to divulge any mental impressions or with respect to your work as a prosecutor pertaining to a specific case, then I would instruct you not to answer.  In general, you can answer questions for work product, as long as it's not pertaining a particular case.  Do you understand? BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do. Thank you.  Could you repeat the question, please? BY MR. STARR:  Q. Yeah. And I am going to rephrase it slightly maybe to avoid some of these objections.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of deliberate process privilege as to the State's Attorney Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm having trouble understanding what you mean by promises. BY MR. STARR: Q. Okay. Let me ask another question maybe more specifically. As a Cook County State's Attorney, were you allowed to make promises to witnesses in exchange for their statements? MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. MR. HOLMES: Deliberative process privilege objection on behalf of the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel indicated earlier, these are general practice questions. Work product is case specific. So if you would need to divulge any mental impressions or with respect to your work as a prosecutor pertaining to a specific case, then I would instruct you not to answer.  In general, you can answer questions for work product, as long as it's not pertaining a particular case.  Do you understand? BY THE WITNESS: A. I do. Thank you.  Could you repeat the question, please? BY MR. STARR:  Q. Yeah. And I am going to rephrase it slightly maybe to avoid some of these objections.  Were you aware of any prohibitions as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of deliberate process privilege as to the State's Attorney Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm having trouble understanding what you mean by promises. BY MR. STARR: Q. Okay. Let me ask another question maybe more specifically. As a Cook County State's Attorney, were you allowed to make promises to witnesses in exchange for their statements? MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. MR. HOLMES: Deliberative process privilege objection on behalf of the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I guess my question would be, I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel indicated earlier, these are general practice questions. Work product is case specific. So if you would need to divulge any mental impressions or with respect to your work as a prosecutor pertaining to a specific case, then I would instruct you not to answer.  In general, you can answer questions for work product, as long as it's not pertaining a particular case.  Do you understand?  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do. Thank you.  Could you repeat the question, please?  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Yeah. And I am going to rephrase it slightly maybe to avoid some of these objections.  Were you aware of any prohibitions as a State's Attorney from interviewing witnesses in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of deliberate process privilege as to the State's Attorney Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm having trouble understanding what you mean by promises. BY MR. STARR: Q. Okay. Let me ask another question maybe more specifically. As a Cook County State's Attorney, were you allowed to make promises to witnesses in exchange for their statements? MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. MR. HOLMES: Deliberative process privilege objection on behalf of the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I guess my question would be, I don't understand when you say promises. Promises
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel indicated earlier, these are general practice questions. Work product is case specific. So if you would need to divulge any mental impressions or with respect to your work as a prosecutor pertaining to a specific case, then I would instruct you not to answer.  In general, you can answer questions for work product, as long as it's not pertaining a particular case.  Do you understand?  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do. Thank you.  Could you repeat the question, please?  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Yeah. And I am going to rephrase it slightly maybe to avoid some of these objections.  Were you aware of any prohibitions as a State's Attorney from interviewing witnesses in the presence of the defense counsel?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of deliberate process privilege as to the State's Attorney Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm having trouble understanding what you mean by promises. BY MR. STARR: Q. Okay. Let me ask another question maybe more specifically. As a Cook County State's Attorney, were you allowed to make promises to witnesses in exchange for their statements? MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. MR. HOLMES: Deliberative process privilege objection on behalf of the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I guess my question would be, I don't understand when you say promises. Promises about what?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel indicated earlier, these are general practice questions. Work product is case specific. So if you would need to divulge any mental impressions or with respect to your work as a prosecutor pertaining to a specific case, then I would instruct you not to answer.  In general, you can answer questions for work product, as long as it's not pertaining a particular case.  Do you understand?  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do. Thank you.  Could you repeat the question, please?  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Yeah. And I am going to rephrase it slightly maybe to avoid some of these objections.  Were you aware of any prohibitions as a State's Attorney from interviewing witnesses in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of deliberate process privilege as to the State's Attorney Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm having trouble understanding what you mean by promises. BY MR. STARR: Q. Okay. Let me ask another question maybe more specifically. As a Cook County State's Attorney, were you allowed to make promises to witnesses in exchange for their statements? MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. MR. HOLMES: Deliberative process privilege objection on behalf of the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I guess my question would be, I don't understand when you say promises. Promises
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, just to clarify that as far as work product, these as counsel indicated earlier, these are general practice questions. Work product is case specific. So if you would need to divulge any mental impressions or with respect to your work as a prosecutor pertaining to a specific case, then I would instruct you not to answer.  In general, you can answer questions for work product, as long as it's not pertaining a particular case.  Do you understand?  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I do. Thank you.  Could you repeat the question, please?  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Yeah. And I am going to rephrase it slightly maybe to avoid some of these objections.  Were you aware of any prohibitions as a State's Attorney from interviewing witnesses in the presence of the defense counsel?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. MR. HOLMES: Objection on grounds of deliberate process privilege as to the State's Attorney Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm having trouble understanding what you mean by promises. BY MR. STARR: Q. Okay. Let me ask another question maybe more specifically. As a Cook County State's Attorney, were you allowed to make promises to witnesses in exchange for their statements? MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. MR. HOLMES: Deliberative process privilege objection on behalf of the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. I guess my question would be, I don't understand when you say promises. Promises about what?

	Page 173		Page 175
1	MR. HOLMES: Same objection.	1	MR. COYNE: Same objections.
2	MR. COYNE: Same objections.	2	BY MR. STARR:
3	BY THE WITNESS:	3	Q. Are you allowed to make a promise about
4	A. I'm sorry. I'm just having trouble	4	monetary payment in exchange for a statement
5	understanding. Make promises um	5	from a witness?
6	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, you are permitted to	6	MR. COYNE: Same objections.
7	answer that you don't understand the question,	7	BY THE WITNESS:
8	if that's the case.	8	A. If you're talking about a quid pro quo
9	BY THE WITNESS:	9	payment I know that there have been witnesses
10	A. I'm really not understanding the	10	who have received relocation assistance by the
11	BY MR. STARR:	11	State's Attorney's Office. I don't have a
12	Q. Okay.	12	specific recollection of being a part of that
13	A when you say "promises," what that	13	kind of situation where where I have been a
14		14	part of relocation. I know there has been I
15	means.  O Okay As a Cook County State's	15	have heard conversation about it, but I don't
16	Q. Okay. As a Cook County State's	16	know that I have ever sought relocation for a
17	Attorney, were you allowed to make promises regarding use immunity to witnesses in exchange	17	witness. Other than that, I don't I don't
	•		
18 19	for their statement?	18 19	know what you're talking about with payment. BY MR. STARR:
20	MR. COYNE: Objection to foundation and	20	
	speculation.	21	Q. Or do you know whether or not as a Cook
21 22	Go ahead.	22	County State's Attorney you were allowed to make
	MR. HOLMES: Deliberative process privilege		promises regarding criminal charges pending
23 24	objection on behalf of the State's Attorney's Office.	23	criminal charges of a witness in exchange for their statement in an unrelated case?
24	Office.	24	their statement in an unrelated case:
	Page 174		Page 176
1	Page 174 BY THE WITNESS:	1	Page 176  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.
1 2	_	1 2	_
	BY THE WITNESS:		MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.
2	BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, I know that use	2	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness
2 3	BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, I know that use immunity is something and that I as a	2 3	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.
2 3 4	BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, I know that use immunity is something and that I as a Felony Review assistant would not have been able	2 3 4	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  Go ahead.
2 3 4 5	BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, I know that use immunity is something and that I as a Felony Review assistant would not have been able to do to make. That's something that happens	2 3 4 5	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  Go ahead.  MR. HOLMES: Object on grounds of deliberate
2 3 4 5 6	BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, I know that use immunity is something and that I as a Felony Review assistant would not have been able to do to make. That's something that happens at a level far above mine.	2 3 4 5 6	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  Go ahead.  MR. HOLMES: Object on grounds of deliberate process as to the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. Are you talking about when I was on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, I know that use immunity is something and that I as a Felony Review assistant would not have been able to do to make. That's something that happens at a level far above mine.  BY MR. STARR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate. Go ahead. MR. HOLMES: Object on grounds of deliberate process as to the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. Are you talking about when I was on Felony Review or throughout my entire experience
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, I know that use immunity is something and that I as a Felony Review assistant would not have been able to do to make. That's something that happens at a level far above mine.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. What about can you make a promise regarding payment to a witness in exchange for their statement?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate. Go ahead. MR. HOLMES: Object on grounds of deliberate process as to the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. Are you talking about when I was on Felony Review or throughout my entire experience in the office?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, I know that use immunity is something and that I as a Felony Review assistant would not have been able to do to make. That's something that happens at a level far above mine.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. What about can you make a promise regarding payment to a witness in exchange for their statement?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  Go ahead.  MR. HOLMES: Object on grounds of deliberate process as to the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. Are you talking about when I was on Felony Review or throughout my entire experience in the office? BY MR. STARR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, I know that use immunity is something and that I as a Felony Review assistant would not have been able to do to make. That's something that happens at a level far above mine.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. What about can you make a promise regarding payment to a witness in exchange for their statement?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  Go ahead.  MR. HOLMES: Object on grounds of deliberate process as to the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. Are you talking about when I was on Felony Review or throughout my entire experience in the office? BY MR. STARR:  Q. I am talking about when you were on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, I know that use immunity is something and that I as a Felony Review assistant would not have been able to do to make. That's something that happens at a level far above mine.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. What about can you make a promise regarding payment to a witness in exchange for their statement?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate. Go ahead. MR. HOLMES: Object on grounds of deliberate process as to the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. Are you talking about when I was on Felony Review or throughout my entire experience in the office? BY MR. STARR: Q. I am talking about when you were on Felony Review.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, I know that use immunity is something and that I as a Felony Review assistant would not have been able to do to make. That's something that happens at a level far above mine.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. What about can you make a promise regarding payment to a witness in exchange for their statement?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  Go ahead.  MR. HOLMES: Object on grounds of deliberate process as to the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. Are you talking about when I was on Felony Review or throughout my entire experience in the office? BY MR. STARR:  Q. I am talking about when you were on Felony Review.  A. I cannot recall a time where I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, I know that use immunity is something and that I as a Felony Review assistant would not have been able to do to make. That's something that happens at a level far above mine.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. What about can you make a promise regarding payment to a witness in exchange for their statement?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I am I'm not sure exactly what kind	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  Go ahead.  MR. HOLMES: Object on grounds of deliberate process as to the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. Are you talking about when I was on Felony Review or throughout my entire experience in the office? BY MR. STARR:  Q. I am talking about when you were on Felony Review.  A. I cannot recall a time where I would make where I made a promise to a witness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, I know that use immunity is something and that I as a Felony Review assistant would not have been able to do to make. That's something that happens at a level far above mine.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. What about can you make a promise regarding payment to a witness in exchange for their statement?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I am I'm not sure exactly what kind of payment you would be talking about. That's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate. Go ahead. MR. HOLMES: Object on grounds of deliberate process as to the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. Are you talking about when I was on Felony Review or throughout my entire experience in the office? BY MR. STARR: Q. I am talking about when you were on Felony Review. A. I cannot recall a time where I would make where I made a promise to a witness about criminal charges. I your question is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, I know that use immunity is something and that I as a Felony Review assistant would not have been able to do to make. That's something that happens at a level far above mine.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. What about can you make a promise regarding payment to a witness in exchange for their statement?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I am I'm not sure exactly what kind of payment you would be talking about. That's difficult to answer based off of of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate. Go ahead. MR. HOLMES: Object on grounds of deliberate process as to the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. Are you talking about when I was on Felony Review or throughout my entire experience in the office? BY MR. STARR: Q. I am talking about when you were on Felony Review. A. I cannot recall a time where I would make where I made a promise to a witness about criminal charges. I your question is very broad, and and I think it would require
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, I know that use immunity is something and that I as a Felony Review assistant would not have been able to do to make. That's something that happens at a level far above mine.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. What about can you make a promise regarding payment to a witness in exchange for their statement?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I am I'm not sure exactly what kind of payment you would be talking about. That's difficult to answer based off of of speculation. There are you know, I am aware	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  Go ahead.  MR. HOLMES: Object on grounds of deliberate process as to the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. Are you talking about when I was on Felony Review or throughout my entire experience in the office? BY MR. STARR:  Q. I am talking about when you were on Felony Review.  A. I cannot recall a time where I would make where I made a promise to a witness about criminal charges. I your question is very broad, and and I think it would require me to speculate. And, you know, again, I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, I know that use immunity is something and that I as a Felony Review assistant would not have been able to do to make. That's something that happens at a level far above mine.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. What about can you make a promise regarding payment to a witness in exchange for their statement?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I am I'm not sure exactly what kind of payment you would be talking about. That's difficult to answer based off of of speculation. There are you know, I am aware of my ethical obligations as a prosecutor, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  Go ahead.  MR. HOLMES: Object on grounds of deliberate process as to the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. Are you talking about when I was on Felony Review or throughout my entire experience in the office? BY MR. STARR:  Q. I am talking about when you were on Felony Review.  A. I cannot recall a time where I would make where I made a promise to a witness about criminal charges. I your question is very broad, and and I think it would require me to speculate. And, you know, again, I'm mindful of my obligations as a prosecutor, and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, I know that use immunity is something and that I as a Felony Review assistant would not have been able to do to make. That's something that happens at a level far above mine.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. What about can you make a promise regarding payment to a witness in exchange for their statement?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I am I'm not sure exactly what kind of payment you would be talking about. That's difficult to answer based off of of speculation. There are you know, I am aware of my ethical obligations as a prosecutor, and I would act within those within those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  Go ahead.  MR. HOLMES: Object on grounds of deliberate process as to the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. Are you talking about when I was on Felony Review or throughout my entire experience in the office? BY MR. STARR:  Q. I am talking about when you were on Felony Review.  A. I cannot recall a time where I would make where I made a promise to a witness about criminal charges. I your question is very broad, and and I think it would require me to speculate. And, you know, again, I'm mindful of my obligations as a prosecutor, and I would and I seek to comply with my ethical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, I know that use immunity is something and that I as a Felony Review assistant would not have been able to do to make. That's something that happens at a level far above mine.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. What about can you make a promise regarding payment to a witness in exchange for their statement?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I am I'm not sure exactly what kind of payment you would be talking about. That's difficult to answer based off of of speculation. There are you know, I am aware of my ethical obligations as a prosecutor, and I would act within those within those obligations. I I'm not sure what you mean by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  Go ahead.  MR. HOLMES: Object on grounds of deliberate process as to the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. Are you talking about when I was on Felony Review or throughout my entire experience in the office? BY MR. STARR:  Q. I am talking about when you were on Felony Review.  A. I cannot recall a time where I would make where I made a promise to a witness about criminal charges. I your question is very broad, and and I think it would require me to speculate. And, you know, again, I'm mindful of my obligations as a prosecutor, and I would and I seek to comply with my ethical obligations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, I know that use immunity is something and that I as a Felony Review assistant would not have been able to do to make. That's something that happens at a level far above mine.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. What about can you make a promise regarding payment to a witness in exchange for their statement?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I am I'm not sure exactly what kind of payment you would be talking about. That's difficult to answer based off of of speculation. There are you know, I am aware of my ethical obligations as a prosecutor, and I would act within those within those obligations. I I'm not sure what you mean by "payment."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  Go ahead.  MR. HOLMES: Object on grounds of deliberate process as to the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. Are you talking about when I was on Felony Review or throughout my entire experience in the office? BY MR. STARR:  Q. I am talking about when you were on Felony Review.  A. I cannot recall a time where I would make where I made a promise to a witness about criminal charges. I your question is very broad, and and I think it would require me to speculate. And, you know, again, I'm mindful of my obligations as a prosecutor, and I would and I seek to comply with my ethical obligations.  Q. Well, I'm just asking whether or not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, I know that use immunity is something and that I as a Felony Review assistant would not have been able to do to make. That's something that happens at a level far above mine.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. What about can you make a promise regarding payment to a witness in exchange for their statement?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I am I'm not sure exactly what kind of payment you would be talking about. That's difficult to answer based off of of speculation. There are you know, I am aware of my ethical obligations as a prosecutor, and I would act within those within those obligations. I I'm not sure what you mean by "payment."  BY MR. STARR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  Go ahead.  MR. HOLMES: Object on grounds of deliberate process as to the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. Are you talking about when I was on Felony Review or throughout my entire experience in the office? BY MR. STARR:  Q. I am talking about when you were on Felony Review.  A. I cannot recall a time where I would make where I made a promise to a witness about criminal charges. I your question is very broad, and and I think it would require me to speculate. And, you know, again, I'm mindful of my obligations as a prosecutor, and I would and I seek to comply with my ethical obligations.  Q. Well, I'm just asking whether or not I'm going to rephrase it and hopefully this will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, I know that use immunity is something and that I as a Felony Review assistant would not have been able to do to make. That's something that happens at a level far above mine.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. What about can you make a promise regarding payment to a witness in exchange for their statement?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I am I'm not sure exactly what kind of payment you would be talking about. That's difficult to answer based off of of speculation. There are you know, I am aware of my ethical obligations as a prosecutor, and I would act within those within those obligations. I I'm not sure what you mean by "payment."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness to speculate.  Go ahead.  MR. HOLMES: Object on grounds of deliberate process as to the State's Attorney's Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. Are you talking about when I was on Felony Review or throughout my entire experience in the office? BY MR. STARR:  Q. I am talking about when you were on Felony Review.  A. I cannot recall a time where I would make where I made a promise to a witness about criminal charges. I your question is very broad, and and I think it would require me to speculate. And, you know, again, I'm mindful of my obligations as a prosecutor, and I would and I seek to comply with my ethical obligations.  Q. Well, I'm just asking whether or not

	Page 177		Page 179
1	Do you know, were there any	1	Q. All right. You throughout this
2	prohibitions in place in the Cook County State's	2	deposition have referred to being mindful of
3	Attorney's Office preventing you from promising	3	your obligations as a prosecutor.
4	a reduced charge in a pending criminal case for	4	What do you mean by that?
5	a witness in exchange for their statement in an	5	A. There is ethical obligations that a
6	unrelated investigation?	6	that a prosecutor has. There there the
7	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.	7	golden rule of being a prosecutor is to do the
8	Incomplete hypothetical. It requires	8	right thing. My and that and that's what
9	speculation.	9	I seek to do as a prosecutor is to do the right
10	Go ahead.	10	thing.
11	BY THE WITNESS:	11	Q. When you say "the golden rule is to do
12	A. Again, I could you ask that question	12	the right thing," are you familiar with the
13	one more time, please?	13	the ABA standard of regarding prosecutors to
14	MR. STARR: Could we just have it read back?	14	seek the duty to seek justice and not merely
15	(Whereupon, the record was read	15	convict?
16	as requested.)	16	A. Correct.
17	BY THE WITNESS:	17	Q. Is that what you're referring to?
18	A. So I can't remember that	18	A. Yes.
19	conversation a conversation about I was on	19	Q. Okay. Excellent.
20	Felony Review once. It was 22 years ago. I	20	And so as a Cook County State's
21	can't there is things about being on Felony	21	Attorney working in the felony felony review
22	Review I cannot remember.	22	division or Felony Review unit, amongst the
23	That being said, I am mindful of my	23	things that you were obligated ethically to do
24	obligations as a prosecutor, and I I work	24	and understand, were you familiar with your
			D 100
			Page 180
1		1	Page 180
1	within those obligations and and I I don't	1	obligations under Brady v. Maryland?
2	within those obligations and and I I don't ever remember doing that. And your question is	2	obligations under Brady v. Maryland? A. Yes.
2	within those obligations and and I I don't ever remember doing that. And your question is pretty broad, and it's difficult to answer.	2 3	obligations under Brady v. Maryland? A. Yes. Q. And you are are you familiar with
2 3 4	within those obligations and and I I don't ever remember doing that. And your question is pretty broad, and it's difficult to answer.  MR. COYNE: Just for the record the answer	2 3 4	obligations under Brady v. Maryland? A. Yes. Q. And you are are you familiar with the case of Brady v. Maryland, generally
2 3 4 5	within those obligations and and I I don't ever remember doing that. And your question is pretty broad, and it's difficult to answer.  MR. COYNE: Just for the record the answer appears to be no, just for the record.	2 3 4 5	obligations under Brady v. Maryland? A. Yes. Q. And you are are you familiar with the case of Brady v. Maryland, generally speaking?
2 3 4 5 6	within those obligations and and I I don't ever remember doing that. And your question is pretty broad, and it's difficult to answer.  MR. COYNE: Just for the record the answer appears to be no, just for the record.  MR. STARR: I mean, I would take that answer	2 3 4 5 6	obligations under Brady v. Maryland? A. Yes. Q. And you are are you familiar with the case of Brady v. Maryland, generally speaking? A. Generally speaking, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	within those obligations and and I I don't ever remember doing that. And your question is pretty broad, and it's difficult to answer.  MR. COYNE: Just for the record the answer appears to be no, just for the record.  MR. STARR: I mean, I would take that answer if I got it, Jim. But I don't think I got it.	2 3 4 5 6 7	obligations under Brady v. Maryland? A. Yes. Q. And you are are you familiar with the case of Brady v. Maryland, generally speaking? A. Generally speaking, yes. Q. And what is your general understanding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	within those obligations and and I I don't ever remember doing that. And your question is pretty broad, and it's difficult to answer.  MR. COYNE: Just for the record the answer appears to be no, just for the record.  MR. STARR: I mean, I would take that answer if I got it, Jim. But I don't think I got it.  MR. COYNE: All right. Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	obligations under Brady v. Maryland? A. Yes. Q. And you are are you familiar with the case of Brady v. Maryland, generally speaking? A. Generally speaking, yes. Q. And what is your general understanding of what Brady v. Maryland what kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	within those obligations and and I I don't ever remember doing that. And your question is pretty broad, and it's difficult to answer.  MR. COYNE: Just for the record the answer appears to be no, just for the record.  MR. STARR: I mean, I would take that answer if I got it, Jim. But I don't think I got it.  MR. COYNE: All right. Yeah.  BY MR. STARR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	obligations under Brady v. Maryland? A. Yes. Q. And you are are you familiar with the case of Brady v. Maryland, generally speaking? A. Generally speaking, yes. Q. And what is your general understanding of what Brady v. Maryland what kind of obligations it imposes upon State prosecutors?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	within those obligations and and I I don't ever remember doing that. And your question is pretty broad, and it's difficult to answer.  MR. COYNE: Just for the record the answer appears to be no, just for the record.  MR. STARR: I mean, I would take that answer if I got it, Jim. But I don't think I got it.  MR. COYNE: All right. Yeah.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Do you know in this case whether any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	obligations under Brady v. Maryland? A. Yes. Q. And you are are you familiar with the case of Brady v. Maryland, generally speaking? A. Generally speaking, yes. Q. And what is your general understanding of what Brady v. Maryland what kind of obligations it imposes upon State prosecutors? A. That there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	within those obligations and and I I don't ever remember doing that. And your question is pretty broad, and it's difficult to answer.  MR. COYNE: Just for the record the answer appears to be no, just for the record.  MR. STARR: I mean, I would take that answer if I got it, Jim. But I don't think I got it.  MR. COYNE: All right. Yeah.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Do you know in this case whether any promises were made to any of the witnesses	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	obligations under Brady v. Maryland? A. Yes. Q. And you are are you familiar with the case of Brady v. Maryland, generally speaking? A. Generally speaking, yes. Q. And what is your general understanding of what Brady v. Maryland what kind of obligations it imposes upon State prosecutors? A. That there MR. HOLMES: I'm just going to I'm sorry,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	within those obligations and and I I don't ever remember doing that. And your question is pretty broad, and it's difficult to answer.  MR. COYNE: Just for the record the answer appears to be no, just for the record.  MR. STARR: I mean, I would take that answer if I got it, Jim. But I don't think I got it.  MR. COYNE: All right. Yeah.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Do you know in this case whether any promises were made to any of the witnesses regarding either use immunity, payment, monetary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	obligations under Brady v. Maryland? A. Yes. Q. And you are are you familiar with the case of Brady v. Maryland, generally speaking? A. Generally speaking, yes. Q. And what is your general understanding of what Brady v. Maryland what kind of obligations it imposes upon State prosecutors? A. That there MR. HOLMES: I'm just going to I'm sorry, ASA Walker. I'm just going to object on behalf
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	within those obligations and and I I don't ever remember doing that. And your question is pretty broad, and it's difficult to answer.  MR. COYNE: Just for the record the answer appears to be no, just for the record.  MR. STARR: I mean, I would take that answer if I got it, Jim. But I don't think I got it.  MR. COYNE: All right. Yeah.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Do you know in this case whether any promises were made to any of the witnesses regarding either use immunity, payment, monetary payment, or reduction in pending charges in an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	obligations under Brady v. Maryland? A. Yes. Q. And you are are you familiar with the case of Brady v. Maryland, generally speaking? A. Generally speaking, yes. Q. And what is your general understanding of what Brady v. Maryland what kind of obligations it imposes upon State prosecutors? A. That there MR. HOLMES: I'm just going to I'm sorry, ASA Walker. I'm just going to object on behalf of the State's Attorney's Office that Ms. Walker
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	within those obligations and and I I don't ever remember doing that. And your question is pretty broad, and it's difficult to answer.  MR. COYNE: Just for the record the answer appears to be no, just for the record.  MR. STARR: I mean, I would take that answer if I got it, Jim. But I don't think I got it.  MR. COYNE: All right. Yeah.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Do you know in this case whether any promises were made to any of the witnesses regarding either use immunity, payment, monetary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	obligations under Brady v. Maryland?  A. Yes.  Q. And you are are you familiar with the case of Brady v. Maryland, generally speaking?  A. Generally speaking, yes.  Q. And what is your general understanding of what Brady v. Maryland what kind of obligations it imposes upon State prosecutors?  A. That there MR. HOLMES: I'm just going to I'm sorry, ASA Walker. I'm just going to object on behalf of the State's Attorney's Office that Ms. Walker is not here today as a 26(a)(2) expert witness.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	within those obligations and and I I don't ever remember doing that. And your question is pretty broad, and it's difficult to answer.  MR. COYNE: Just for the record the answer appears to be no, just for the record.  MR. STARR: I mean, I would take that answer if I got it, Jim. But I don't think I got it.  MR. COYNE: All right. Yeah.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Do you know in this case whether any promises were made to any of the witnesses regarding either use immunity, payment, monetary payment, or reduction in pending charges in an unrelated criminal matter?  A. I am	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	obligations under Brady v. Maryland? A. Yes. Q. And you are are you familiar with the case of Brady v. Maryland, generally speaking? A. Generally speaking, yes. Q. And what is your general understanding of what Brady v. Maryland what kind of obligations it imposes upon State prosecutors? A. That there MR. HOLMES: I'm just going to I'm sorry, ASA Walker. I'm just going to object on behalf of the State's Attorney's Office that Ms. Walker
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	within those obligations and and I I don't ever remember doing that. And your question is pretty broad, and it's difficult to answer.  MR. COYNE: Just for the record the answer appears to be no, just for the record.  MR. STARR: I mean, I would take that answer if I got it, Jim. But I don't think I got it.  MR. COYNE: All right. Yeah.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Do you know in this case whether any promises were made to any of the witnesses regarding either use immunity, payment, monetary payment, or reduction in pending charges in an unrelated criminal matter?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	obligations under Brady v. Maryland?  A. Yes.  Q. And you are are you familiar with the case of Brady v. Maryland, generally speaking?  A. Generally speaking, yes.  Q. And what is your general understanding of what Brady v. Maryland what kind of obligations it imposes upon State prosecutors?  A. That there MR. HOLMES: I'm just going to I'm sorry, ASA Walker. I'm just going to object on behalf of the State's Attorney's Office that Ms. Walker is not here today as a 26(a)(2) expert witness.  And with that, the witness can answer.  BY THE WITNESS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	within those obligations and and I I don't ever remember doing that. And your question is pretty broad, and it's difficult to answer.  MR. COYNE: Just for the record the answer appears to be no, just for the record.  MR. STARR: I mean, I would take that answer if I got it, Jim. But I don't think I got it.  MR. COYNE: All right. Yeah.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Do you know in this case whether any promises were made to any of the witnesses regarding either use immunity, payment, monetary payment, or reduction in pending charges in an unrelated criminal matter?  A. I am  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	obligations under Brady v. Maryland?  A. Yes.  Q. And you are are you familiar with the case of Brady v. Maryland, generally speaking?  A. Generally speaking, yes.  Q. And what is your general understanding of what Brady v. Maryland what kind of obligations it imposes upon State prosecutors?  A. That there MR. HOLMES: I'm just going to I'm sorry, ASA Walker. I'm just going to object on behalf of the State's Attorney's Office that Ms. Walker is not here today as a 26(a)(2) expert witness.  And with that, the witness can answer.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, the idea of Brady
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	within those obligations and and I I don't ever remember doing that. And your question is pretty broad, and it's difficult to answer.  MR. COYNE: Just for the record the answer appears to be no, just for the record.  MR. STARR: I mean, I would take that answer if I got it, Jim. But I don't think I got it.  MR. COYNE: All right. Yeah.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Do you know in this case whether any promises were made to any of the witnesses regarding either use immunity, payment, monetary payment, or reduction in pending charges in an unrelated criminal matter?  A. I am  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	obligations under Brady v. Maryland?  A. Yes.  Q. And you are are you familiar with the case of Brady v. Maryland, generally speaking?  A. Generally speaking, yes.  Q. And what is your general understanding of what Brady v. Maryland what kind of obligations it imposes upon State prosecutors?  A. That there MR. HOLMES: I'm just going to I'm sorry, ASA Walker. I'm just going to object on behalf of the State's Attorney's Office that Ms. Walker is not here today as a 26(a)(2) expert witness.  And with that, the witness can answer.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, the idea of Brady is that prosecutors have the duty to bring forth
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	within those obligations and and I I don't ever remember doing that. And your question is pretty broad, and it's difficult to answer.  MR. COYNE: Just for the record the answer appears to be no, just for the record.  MR. STARR: I mean, I would take that answer if I got it, Jim. But I don't think I got it.  MR. COYNE: All right. Yeah.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Do you know in this case whether any promises were made to any of the witnesses regarding either use immunity, payment, monetary payment, or reduction in pending charges in an unrelated criminal matter?  A. I am  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Go ahead.  MS. WEST: Form and foundation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	obligations under Brady v. Maryland?  A. Yes.  Q. And you are are you familiar with the case of Brady v. Maryland, generally speaking?  A. Generally speaking, yes.  Q. And what is your general understanding of what Brady v. Maryland what kind of obligations it imposes upon State prosecutors?  A. That there MR. HOLMES: I'm just going to I'm sorry, ASA Walker. I'm just going to object on behalf of the State's Attorney's Office that Ms. Walker is not here today as a 26(a)(2) expert witness.  And with that, the witness can answer.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, the idea of Brady
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	within those obligations and and I I don't ever remember doing that. And your question is pretty broad, and it's difficult to answer.  MR. COYNE: Just for the record the answer appears to be no, just for the record.  MR. STARR: I mean, I would take that answer if I got it, Jim. But I don't think I got it.  MR. COYNE: All right. Yeah.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Do you know in this case whether any promises were made to any of the witnesses regarding either use immunity, payment, monetary payment, or reduction in pending charges in an unrelated criminal matter?  A. I am  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Go ahead.  MS. WEST: Form and foundation.  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	obligations under Brady v. Maryland?  A. Yes.  Q. And you are are you familiar with the case of Brady v. Maryland, generally speaking?  A. Generally speaking, yes.  Q. And what is your general understanding of what Brady v. Maryland what kind of obligations it imposes upon State prosecutors?  A. That there MR. HOLMES: I'm just going to I'm sorry, ASA Walker. I'm just going to object on behalf of the State's Attorney's Office that Ms. Walker is not here today as a 26(a)(2) expert witness.  And with that, the witness can answer.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, the idea of Brady is that prosecutors have the duty to bring forth any evidence that may negate guilt. It doesn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	within those obligations and and I I don't ever remember doing that. And your question is pretty broad, and it's difficult to answer.  MR. COYNE: Just for the record the answer appears to be no, just for the record.  MR. STARR: I mean, I would take that answer if I got it, Jim. But I don't think I got it.  MR. COYNE: All right. Yeah.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Do you know in this case whether any promises were made to any of the witnesses regarding either use immunity, payment, monetary payment, or reduction in pending charges in an unrelated criminal matter?  A. I am  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Go ahead.  MS. WEST: Form and foundation.  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.  Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	obligations under Brady v. Maryland?  A. Yes.  Q. And you are are you familiar with the case of Brady v. Maryland, generally speaking?  A. Generally speaking, yes.  Q. And what is your general understanding of what Brady v. Maryland what kind of obligations it imposes upon State prosecutors?  A. That there MR. HOLMES: I'm just going to I'm sorry, ASA Walker. I'm just going to object on behalf of the State's Attorney's Office that Ms. Walker is not here today as a 26(a)(2) expert witness.  And with that, the witness can answer.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, the idea of Brady is that prosecutors have the duty to bring forth any evidence that may negate guilt. It doesn't mean if it's even if it's evidence that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	within those obligations and and I I don't ever remember doing that. And your question is pretty broad, and it's difficult to answer.  MR. COYNE: Just for the record the answer appears to be no, just for the record.  MR. STARR: I mean, I would take that answer if I got it, Jim. But I don't think I got it.  MR. COYNE: All right. Yeah.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Do you know in this case whether any promises were made to any of the witnesses regarding either use immunity, payment, monetary payment, or reduction in pending charges in an unrelated criminal matter?  A. I am  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Go ahead.  MS. WEST: Form and foundation.  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.  Go ahead.  MS. WEST: Join.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	obligations under Brady v. Maryland?  A. Yes.  Q. And you are are you familiar with the case of Brady v. Maryland, generally speaking?  A. Generally speaking, yes.  Q. And what is your general understanding of what Brady v. Maryland what kind of obligations it imposes upon State prosecutors?  A. That there MR. HOLMES: I'm just going to I'm sorry, ASA Walker. I'm just going to object on behalf of the State's Attorney's Office that Ms. Walker is not here today as a 26(a)(2) expert witness.  And with that, the witness can answer.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, the idea of Brady is that prosecutors have the duty to bring forth any evidence that may negate guilt. It doesn't mean if it's even if it's evidence that isn't strictly required to be produced under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	within those obligations and and I I don't ever remember doing that. And your question is pretty broad, and it's difficult to answer.  MR. COYNE: Just for the record the answer appears to be no, just for the record.  MR. STARR: I mean, I would take that answer if I got it, Jim. But I don't think I got it.  MR. COYNE: All right. Yeah.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Do you know in this case whether any promises were made to any of the witnesses regarding either use immunity, payment, monetary payment, or reduction in pending charges in an unrelated criminal matter?  A. I am  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Go ahead.  MS. WEST: Form and foundation.  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.  Go ahead.  MS. WEST: Join.  BY THE WITNESS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	obligations under Brady v. Maryland?  A. Yes.  Q. And you are are you familiar with the case of Brady v. Maryland, generally speaking?  A. Generally speaking, yes.  Q. And what is your general understanding of what Brady v. Maryland what kind of obligations it imposes upon State prosecutors?  A. That there MR. HOLMES: I'm just going to I'm sorry, ASA Walker. I'm just going to object on behalf of the State's Attorney's Office that Ms. Walker is not here today as a 26(a)(2) expert witness.  And with that, the witness can answer.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. Generally speaking, the idea of Brady is that prosecutors have the duty to bring forth any evidence that may negate guilt. It doesn't mean if it's even if it's evidence that isn't strictly required to be produced under the under discovery, you still have an

Page 181 Page 183 1 may not be admissible, you still -- for the 1 MR. HOLMES: Deliberate process objection on defense to introduce, you still have to provide 2 2 behalf of the Office. 3 3 BY THE WITNESS: 4 BY MR. STARR: 4 A. Your question is very broad. One --5 5 one way that it would apply is that when Q. And what -- how does that apply to --6 as far as your experience, how did that apply to 6 interviewing a witness, you write down -- or 7 a Felony Review State's Attorney? 7 you -- you don't write down. You record, you 8 8 MR. HOLMES: I'm just going to -- Sean, if I take, you -- you -- you ex- -- you -- all the 9 could, just a standing objection --9 things the witness says, not just -- not just 10 10 MR. COYNE: Incomplete hypothetical -- hang the evidence or the statements that point 11 on. Let me just finish. 11 towards the suspect -- the current suspect, but 12 Objection; form, foundation, incomplete 12 all -- everything that the witness says, and 13 hypothetical. 13 that that complete information would be tendered And, Jennifer, to the extent that you 14 14 over to the defense. That would be an example 15 15 would need to reveal your decision-making of -- of what an ASA on Felony Review should do processes as to any particular case, I would 16 16 under Brady. instruct you not to answer the question, 17 BY MR. STARR: 17 pursuant to Federal Rule 26 of the work-product 18 Q. Okay. As a -- strike that. 18 19 19 doctrine. Thank you. You understood that Brady requires 20 MR. HOLMES: And just a standing objection, 20 State's Attorneys to turn over any exculpatory 21 Sean, to this line of questions to the extent 21 evidence that they learn in the course of their 22 they seek to elicit expert testimony of ASA 22 assignment to a case, correct? 23 23 Walker. So it's a 26(a)(2) objection. Also A. Correct. 24 24 objection on deliberative process grounds on Q. And you understood that Brady required Page 182 Page 184 1 behalf of the Office. 1 State's Attorneys to turn over any evidence that 2 MR. STARR: I'm good with standing 2 may impeach State witnesses, correct? 3 3 A. Correct. objections. 4 BY THE WITNESS: 4 Q. And you understood that Brady requires 5 5 that prosecutors refrain from or prevent the A. Could you ask the question again, 6 6 please? destruction of material evidence, correct? 7 BY MR. STARR: 7 A. Correct. 8 Q. Sure. 8 Q. Okay. And so as a Felony Review 9 9 What -- generally speaking, what was State's Attorney, if you learned about any 10 10 your understanding -- strike that. exculpatory evidence in any case that you were 11 11 You just testified that your assigned, you would have disclosed that 12 understanding generally about your obligations 12 evidence, correct? 13 MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation, 13 as a State prosecutor on Brady were that you had 14 to disclose any evidence that may -- I think you 14 incomplete hypothetical. 15 said "may negate guilt," correct? 15 BY THE WITNESS: 16 16 A. Correct. A. Could you say the question again, 17 Q. And how did that apply to your time as 17 please? 18 a Felony Review State's Attorney? 18 BY MR. STARR: 19 What were your obligations as a Felony 19 Q. Sure. 20 Review State's Attorney under Brady? 20 As a -- during your tenure as a Cook MR. COYNE: Same objection. Foundation, 21 County State's Attorney, if you were assigned to 21 22 form, incomplete hypothetical, and same a case and you discovered exculpatory evidence, 22 23 instruction as to Rule 26. 23 you would disclose that evidence, correct? 24 Go ahead. 24 MR. COYNE: Same objections. And same

Page 185 Page 187 1 instruction, Jennifer. As long as you're not 1 to, after the end of your time working on that divulging your decision-making and your opinions 2 2 case, would you still have that obligation to 3 3 about evidence, you can answer the question. capture that evidence in some format? 4 BY THE WITNESS: 4 MR. COYNE: Objection; foundation, incomplete 5 5 hypothetical. Requires speculation. A. Thank you. 6 6 You would -- so as a Felony Review Go ahead. And form. 7 State's Attorney, I would -- I would, for lack 7 MR. HOLMES: Standing -- standing 26(a)(2) 8 8 objection. of a better word, "capture" that information. 9 It would remain in the file. It would not be --9 BY THE WITNESS: 10 A. Could you repeat the question, please? 10 you know, since I -- I'm not the trial ASA, so I 11 wouldn't be actually handing it over to the 11 BY MR. STARR: 12 defense, but it would certainly be my obligation 12 Q. Yeah. Maybe -- maybe I didn't phrase 13 to -- to capture that evidence. 13 it that well. 14 BY MR. STARR: 14 I'm just wondering -- you know, you're 15 15 working as a Felony Review ASA on a case. Q. Would it be your obligation to inform a 16 16 supervisor about becoming aware of that At some point you hand that case off to 17 17 a trial attorney, correct? evidence? MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. 18 18 A. Yes. 19 19 BY THE WITNESS: Q. Okay. So under that circumstance, if 20 A. It would depend on -- it would depend. 20 you had learned about exculpatory evidence after 21 BY MR. STARR: 21 you had handed off the case to another State's 22 Attorney, would you still have an obligation to 22 Q. Okay. 23 23 A. I wouldn't necessarily call my capture that evidence and to document that in supervisor for every -- every little thing. 24 24 some way? Page 186 Page 188 1 1 Like, it may be -- I'm sorry. MR. COYNE: Same objection. 2 2 I have -- something popped up on my MR. HOLMES: Same 26(a)(2) objection. 3 computer that I need to click to postpone for a 3 BY THE WITNESS: 4 notific- -- software update. Sorry. 4 A. If I no longer am working on the file, 5 It's not something I would hide from my 5 I'm not sure how I would have -- how I would supervisor, but I may not pick up the phone 6 6 learn of that evidence. It would cause --7 directly after learning it and notify my 7 it's -- as -- as an ASA, if I learned about a 8 supervisor either. 8 case that I was handling with that evidence, 9 Q. Okay. What do you -- how -- strike 9 then yes. If I wasn't handling the case, I may 10 10 that. not understand that it is exculpatory, because 11 11 What do you mean when you say that you the case isn't top of mind. I -- I -- I don't 12 would capture that exculpatory evidence in the 12 understand what you're asking me. 13 MR. COYNE: You can lead with that. Yeah. 13 file? What does that mean? 14 A. It means it -- it may be written down. 14 By the way, Jennifer, you can lead with "I don't 15 It may be -- if I know that it's -- it's in a 15 understand what you're asking" just to -- just 16 police report, it may -- it may be something 16 to make that suggestion. Let me just make that 17 that I'm just mentally aware of that it's in the 17 suggestion, if you just lead with "I don't 18 police report. It may not necessarily mean --18 understand what you're asking." That's just a 19 I'm -- I'm not necessarily going to -- I, as a 19 suggestion I think everyone would appreciate. 20 Felony Review ASA, would not necessarily write 20 Go ahead. 21 my own memo about it. It would just depend. 21 BY MR. STARR: 22 There is -- it would depend. 22 Q. As a State's Attorney, does your 23 Q. Okay. And if you became aware of 23 ethical obligation under Brady stop at some 24 exculpatory evidence on a case you were assigned 24 point?

Page 189	Page 191
1 MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) objection.	1 training included Brady training.
2 MR. COYNE: Yeah. Objection, foundation and	2 Q. Do you recall having training on Brady
3 form.	3 at some point in your career as a State's
4 BY THE WITNESS:	4 Attorney?
5 A. I believe it's my understanding that	5 A. Yes.
6 Brady applies to prosecutors. I will admit I	6 Q. Okay. And did you learn about Brady in
7 have not read Brady for a bit. I understand the	7 law school?
8 generalities. I'm not I don't feel qualified	8 A. That was a really long time ago and I
9 to to answer specific questions like that.	9 can't I don't know. Probably.
10 BY MR. STARR:	Q. Did you ever take any continuing
Q. Well, then let me ask you a different	11 education on Brady?
12 question.	12 A. I may have, but I can't I don't
During your testimony as a Felony	remember, because I'm old enough to have been a
14 Review ASA, did you adhere to your ethical and	14 lawyer before CLE was required. So I
15 legal obligations under Brady?	don't know. I don't know as I can't
16 A. Yes.	16 remember.
17 Q. Okay. Are you aware that the Illinois	Q. But as a general proposition you're
18 Rules of Professional Conduct have analogues to	18 familiar with Brady, and you believe that you
19 Brady, correct?	adhered to its principles during your time as a
20 A. Yes.	20 State's Attorney, correct?
21 Q. And that under Illinois Professional	21 A. Correct.
22 Rule of Conduct 3.8, you have a the	Q. Are you aware of any instance in which
prosecutor has a special responsibility to make	you withheld exculpatory evidence on a case you
a timely disclosure to the defense of all	24 were assigned to?
Page 190	Page 192
1 evidence or information known to the prosecutor	1 A. I am
2 that tends to negate the guilt of the accused or	2 MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Go ahead.
3 mitigates the offense.	3 BY THE WITNESS:
4 Do you understand that?	4 A. I'm not aware of that.
5 A. Yes.	5 BY MR. STARR:
6 Q. Okay. And did you adhere to that	6 Q. Are you aware of any instance where
7 principal during your testimony as a Felony	7 another prosecutor withheld any exculpatory
8 Review State's Attorney?	8 evidence in a case that the Cook County State's
9 A. Yes.	9 Attorney was prosecuting?
Q. Okay. And did you follow these rules,	10 MR. COYNE: Objection.
Brady and local rules of Illinois, during your	A. I am not I am not aware of that.
entire time at the State's Attorney's Office?	12 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. What was the
A. I I certainly believe so, yes.	13 objection?
Q. Okay. And you are aware that you	14 MR. COYNE: Foundation.
15 know, that not following your Brady obligations	15 BY THE WITNESS:
can lead to discipline, suspension, disbarment,	16 A. And my answer is, I'm not aware of
17 and other punishments, correct?	17 that.
18 A. Correct.  19 O. Okay, Do you recall receiving any	18 BY MR. STARR:
1	Q. Okay. I want to pivot a little bit
1	20 here and talk about the extent to which a
21 Felony Review? 22 A. It was 22 years ago. I know we	21 State's Attorney would typically be involved in
23 received training. I do not specifically	22 a law enforcement investigation. And I want to 23 be really clear here. When I say
=	1 49 De really clear here. When I say
	· ·
remember as I sit here today if it if that	24 investigations, I'm talking about prosecutors

Page 193	Page 195
	_
leaving their prosecutory role and acting as investigators in a criminal investigation.	Q. And was it your practice as a Cook
1	2 County State's Attorney in the Felony Review 3 Unit to rely on the information provided to you
3 Is it fair to say that in 2002	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
4 prosecutors within the Cook County State's 5 Attorney's Office did not typically investigate	<ul> <li>by police to be complete and accurate?</li> <li>MR. COYNE: Same objection.</li> </ul>
	6 BY THE WITNESS:
6 crimes independent of the police agencies that	
7 brought them cases?	7 A. Yes. I I believed it was it was
8 MR. COYNE: Objection, form and foundation.	8 true and accurate or I relied on it to be true
9 MR. HOLMES: 30(b)(6) and 26(a)(2) objection 10 on behalf of the Office, that the witness is	9 and accurate, yes. 10 BY MR. STARR:
· ·	
here as a fact witness and not as a 30(b)(6) or	Q. And did you also, as a Cook County
12 expert witness.	12 State's Attorney, have an expectation that the
13 BY THE WITNESS:	evidence and information that the police
A. Could you repeat the question, please?	presented you would be true and accurate?
15 BY MR. STARR:	MR. COYNE: Objection, form and foundation.
16 Q. Certainly.	16 Requires speculation.
17 Is it fair to say that in 2002	17 MS. WEST: Join.
18 prosecutors within the Cook County State's	18 BY THE WITNESS:
19 Attorney's Office did not typically investigate	A. If you're asking if that was the
crimes independent of the police agencies that	20 standard, yes. I expected the information to be
brought them cases?	21 true and accurate.
22 MR. HOLMES: Same objections.	22 BY MR. STARR:
23 BY THE WITNESS:	Q. I'm asking I'm asking you, was that
24 A. I would say that is correct.	24 your practice?
Page 194	Page 196
1 BY MR. STARR:	1 Did you did you expect that the
2 Q. And was that your understanding of the	2 information brought to you by the police, that
3 Cook County State's Attorney's practice, to not	3 that information would be true and accurate?
4 independently investigate crimes?	4 MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.
5 MR. HOLMES: Same objections.	5 Incomplete hypothetical.
6 BY THE WITNESS:	6 BY THE WITNESS:
7 A. Yes, that is my under that was my	7 A. Yes. I would expect it to be true and
8 understanding.	8 accurate.
9 BY MR. STARR:	9 BY MR. STARR:
10 Q. In your experience, was it fair to say	10 Q. And you understand that State's
11 that the Cook County State's Attorney's Office	11 Attorneys who engage in investigations of crimes
12 typically relied on the information that the	separate and apart from the police department,
police departments brought them about the cases	13 they are they're not absolutely immune from
14 that they were assigned to?	14 civil suits, correct?
15 MR. COYNE: Objection, form and foundation.	15 MR. COYNE: Objection, form and foundation.
16 MS. WEST: Join.	16 Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness
17 MR. HOLMES: 30(b)(6) and 26(a)(2)	17 to speculate.
18 objections.	18 MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) and 30(b)(6) objections
19 BY THE WITNESS:	19 on behalf of the Office.
20 A. I would I would say we did rely	20 BY THE WITNESS:
on on the we relied on information that	21 A. I have heard that, but I am not an
22 was brought to us by the by law enforcement,	22 I'm not an expert on prosecutorial immunity.
23 yes.	23 BY MR. STARR:
24 BY MR. STARR:	Q. Do you know whether or not the Cook

Page 197	Page 199
1 County State's Attorney strike that.	1 A. The the Chicago Police Department
2 As a Cook County State's Attorney in	2 was the investigating agency. They yes.
3 the Felony Review Unit, did you conduct any	3 Yeah. I believe so, yes.
4 independent investigation into the murder of	4 Q. And how – strike that.
5 Willie Sorrell separate and apart from the	5 Can you explain your role in
6 Chicago Police Department?	6 determining whether or not there was probable
7 MR. COYNE: Objection to	7 cause to charge James Fletcher in this case?
8 BY THE WITNESS:	8 MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.
9 A. I do not recall doing so.	9 MR. HOLMES: Object on grounds of
THE COURT REPORTER: What was the objection?	deliberative process privilege as to the State's
11 MR. COYNE: Form.	11 Attorney's Office.
12 BY MR. STARR:	12 BY THE WITNESS:
13 Q. And is it your understanding that the	13 A. I don't think I can answer that
14 prosecution of James Fletcher was based on	14 question without getting into my deliberative
evidence brought to the State's Attorney's	15 process. I don't think I can answer that.
16 Office by the Chicago Police Department?	16 BY MR. STARR:
17 MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.	17 Q. Okay. Can I let me ask you this:
18 BY THE WITNESS:	18 Did you make the determination of whether or not
19 A. I don't know what, if anything, changed	there was enough probable cause to charge James
20 after the Felony Review process ended. My	20 Fletcher in this case?
21 involvement I don't remember my involvement	21 MR. HOLMES: Same objection.
22 in this case extending past anything that would	22 BY THE WITNESS:
23 have happened when I was on Felony Review.	23 A. I do not recall if if the
24 BY MR. STARR:	24 determination to charge was made by me alone or
24 BI WK. STAKK.	24 determination to charge was made by the alone of
Page 198	Page 200
1 Q. All right. The as a Cook County	1 if there were others involved. I have no
2 State's Attorney Felony Review attorney, you	2 independent recollection of that.
3 had to rely on the Chicago police to provide you	3 BY MR. STARR:
4 facts that amounted to probable cause; is that	4 Q. Is there any document that you are
5 correct?	5 aware of that would indicate who made the final
6 MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.	6 decision on probable cause in the Willie Sorrell
7 MS. WEST: Join.	7 murder investigation?
8 BY THE WITNESS:	8 A. So typically, in Felony while I was
9 A. For what? I'm not sure I understand	9 on Felony Review, if I discussed a case with a
10 what you're asking me.	10 supervisor, I would make a notation on the
11 BY MR. STARR:	11 Felony Review file. This case I don't have an
12 Q. Let me rephrase it.	independent recollection of how of how
13 In the Willie Sorrell murder	that that process went. So I can't answer
14 investigation, you, as a Cook County State's	how it happened in this case.
15 Attorney in the Felony Review Unit, had to rely	Q. So my question was, is there a document
on the Chicago police to provide you facts to	16 that would indicate to you who made that
determine probable probable cause; is that	17 decision?
18 correct?	18 Is it your testimony that that document
19 MR. COYNE: Objection. Form and foundation.	would be the Felony Review folder?
20 MS. WEST: Join.	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.
21 BY THE WITNESS:	21 BY THE WITNESS:
22 A. Probable cause to charge?	A. So because I don't have a specific
23 BY MR. STARR:	recollection as to this case, I can't tell you
24 <b>Q. Yes.</b>	24 exact I can't tell you, "Oh, this is the

Page 201 Page 203 1 document." 1 Review file as you understand it earlier today 2 I can tell you generally that if I 2 as Exhibit 1, correct? 3 3 spoke about a case with a supervisor, that that A. Correct. Well, one thing I do want to 4 would be noted on the Felony Review file, that I 4 say, the -- when I was reviewing these documents 5 had -- that I had at least a conversation, if 5 in preparation for my deposition, there was one 6 6 not multiple conversations, with a supervisor. page in that Felony Review file attachment that 7 BY MR. STARR: 7 we did not talk about during -- I have not 8 8 Q. And we reviewed the Felony Review talked about during this deposition. 9 folder at length during the course of this 9 But it was made out in a handwriting 10 10 deposition; is that correct? that I did not recognize to be mine. I don't 11 A. Yes. 11 know who it is. I don't know anything about it. 12 Q. And did you see any indication on the 12 But that was part of that exhibit that I looked 13 folder either during the course of the 13 at, and I just want to be clear that I don't 14 deposition or in preparation for the deposition 14 know anything about that -- that piece of paper, 15 15 because it doesn't look like mine. that indicated to you that you consulted with a 16 16 supervisor in determining probable cause in this Q. I was --17 17 A. It doesn't look like my handwriting. 18 A. So the Felony Review file does indicate 18 Q. I was planning to ask you some 19 19 that supervisors -- that several of my questions about that then. 20 supervisors or people who I know were my 20 A. Okay. 21 supervisors at that time were notified. But I 21 Q. And we will get to that in short order. 22 don't have an independent recollection if they 22 A. Okay. 23 23 were notified or not, because I don't have an Q. Regarding the Felony Review folder, if 24 24 independent recollection of this case since it a Felony Review State's Attorney had conducted Page 202 Page 204 1 an interview with a defendant, would you expect 1 was 22 years ago. 2 2 Q. And what supervisors did you see listed there to be some summary of that interview on 3 on the Felony Review file? 3 the folder? 4 MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. 4 A. Off the top of my head without looking 5 at those documents, I believe I saw Darren 5 Requires speculation. 6 BY THE WITNESS: 6 O'Brien, and I believe I saw Chamberlain. And 7 there may have been somebody else, but I don't 7 A. And I'm sorry. Just to make sure, you 8 8 remember. said with a defendant, correct? 9 9 BY MR. STARR: Q. Do you know if Darren O'Brien had a 10 10 brother that was in the Chicago Police Q. Yes. 11 A. Okay. I would -- it would be -- at the 11 **Department?** A. Are you -- I'm sorry. Are you asking 12 time it was certainly my practice to write down 12 13 a summary of a -- any conversation I had with 13 or telling? 14 the defendant in the folder. 14 Q. I'm asking you if you know. 15 Do you know if Darren O'Brien has a 15 Q. Okay. During your time as a Felony 16 16 brother on the Chicago Police Department? Review State's Attorney, was it also your 17 A. I do not know. I'm unaware of that. 17 practice to summarize any interview you may have 18 18 had with a witness during the pendency of a Q. Okay. Regarding the Felony Review 19 file, is the Felony Review file and the Felony 19 20 20 A. Not necessarily. It -- it could be Review notes the same document, or are those 21 21 that -- there may be a few things that were separate things? 22 notated on the file, but a general summary of 22 A. I'm not -- I'm not familiar with the 23 concept of Felony Review notes. 23 what the witness said is not -- I would not 24 Q. Okay. So we looked at the Felony 24 necessarily do a big summary of what they said

	Page 205		Page 207
1	to me on the file.	1	time.
2	Q. If a witness indicated to you that they	2	(Whereupon, the record was read
3	could identify a suspect, is that something that	3	as requested.)
4	you would necessarily include in the file?	4	MR. COYNE: Same objections.
5	A. It's some	5	MR. HOLMES: Same objection.
6	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.	6	BY THE WITNESS:
7	BY THE WITNESS:	7	A. If there was no other writing capturing
8	A. It's something I may include. I	8	that information, that is something I would
9	wouldn't say I would include it every time.	9	expect I would have would have captured I
10	BY MR. STARR:	10	would have put in the file or directed it to be
11	Q. If a witness indicated to you that they	11	captured elsewhere.
12	were unable to identify a suspect, is that	12	BY MR. STARR:
13	something that you would include every time?	13	Q. And you would have done so, because you
14	MR. COYNE: Same objection.	14	would be obligated under Brady and other local
15	BY THE WITNESS:	15	rules to document exculpatory evidence, correct?
16	A. I would say I would typically include	16	MR. COYNE: Same objections.
17	it, but I can't promise every time.	17	MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) objection.
18	BY MR. STARR:	18	BY THE WITNESS:
19	Q. Would that be something that would	19	A. Yeah. Yes, I believe so.
20	potentially be exculpatory that you would be	20	BY MR. STARR:
21	required to document under Brady?	21	Q. Okay. At what point based on your
22	MR. COYNE: Objection; foundation,	22	understanding, at what point in a police
23	speculation.	23	investigation does Felony Review get assigned to
24	MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) objection on behalf of	24	a case?
	with the Evillation 20(a)(2) edgethen on echain of		u cusc.
	Page 206		Page 208
1	the Office.	1	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.
1 2	the Office. BY THE WITNESS:	1 2	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical.
	the Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. It could be, but it could also have		MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. BY THE WITNESS:
2	the Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. It could be, but it could also have been I could also have seen if it was a	2	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. BY THE WITNESS: A. So back in when I was on Review in
2	the Office. BY THE WITNESS: A. It could be, but it could also have been I could also have seen if it was a police report, and so if it was already in a	2 3	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. BY THE WITNESS:  A. So back in when I was on Review in 2001, we typically did not go out go out to a
2 3 4 5 6	the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It could be, but it could also have been I could also have seen if it was a police report, and so if it was already in a police report, it may not be something that I	2 3 4 5 6	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. BY THE WITNESS:  A. So back in when I was on Review in 2001, we typically did not go out go out to a police station unless there was a witness to
2 3 4 5 6 7	the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It could be, but it could also have been I could also have seen if it was a police report, and so if it was already in a police report, it may not be something that I would also include.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. BY THE WITNESS:  A. So back in when I was on Review in 2001, we typically did not go out go out to a police station unless there was a witness to talk to. It but it would depend on on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It could be, but it could also have been I could also have seen if it was a police report, and so if it was already in a police report, it may not be something that I would also include. BY MR. STARR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. BY THE WITNESS:  A. So back in when I was on Review in 2001, we typically did not go out go out to a police station unless there was a witness to talk to. It but it would depend on on the case. Typically, there was a witness to talk to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It could be, but it could also have been I could also have seen if it was a police report, and so if it was already in a police report, it may not be something that I would also include. BY MR. STARR:  Q. That's fair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. BY THE WITNESS:  A. So back in when I was on Review in 2001, we typically did not go out go out to a police station unless there was a witness to talk to. It but it would depend on on the case. Typically, there was a witness to talk to at least.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It could be, but it could also have been I could also have seen if it was a police report, and so if it was already in a police report, it may not be something that I would also include. BY MR. STARR:  Q. That's fair. So in a circumstance where you're not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. BY THE WITNESS:  A. So back in when I was on Review in 2001, we typically did not go out go out to a police station unless there was a witness to talk to. It but it would depend on on the case. Typically, there was a witness to talk to at least. BY MR. STARR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It could be, but it could also have been I could also have seen if it was a police report, and so if it was already in a police report, it may not be something that I would also include. BY MR. STARR:  Q. That's fair.  So in a circumstance where you're not aware that there is any other documentation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. BY THE WITNESS:  A. So back in when I was on Review in 2001, we typically did not go out go out to a police station unless there was a witness to talk to. It but it would depend on on the case. Typically, there was a witness to talk to at least. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Okay. In your general experience in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It could be, but it could also have been I could also have seen if it was a police report, and so if it was already in a police report, it may not be something that I would also include. BY MR. STARR: Q. That's fair. So in a circumstance where you're not aware that there is any other documentation regarding a witness stating that they could not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. BY THE WITNESS:  A. So back in when I was on Review in 2001, we typically did not go out go out to a police station unless there was a witness to talk to. It but it would depend on on the case. Typically, there was a witness to talk to at least. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Okay. In your general experience in Felony Review, if you were called out to talk to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It could be, but it could also have been I could also have seen if it was a police report, and so if it was already in a police report, it may not be something that I would also include. BY MR. STARR:  Q. That's fair.  So in a circumstance where you're not aware that there is any other documentation regarding a witness stating that they could not make an identification and you learn that fact	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. BY THE WITNESS:  A. So back in when I was on Review in 2001, we typically did not go out go out to a police station unless there was a witness to talk to. It but it would depend on on the case. Typically, there was a witness to talk to at least. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Okay. In your general experience in Felony Review, if you were called out to talk to a witness, and that witness once you engaged
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It could be, but it could also have been I could also have seen if it was a police report, and so if it was already in a police report, it may not be something that I would also include. BY MR. STARR:  Q. That's fair.  So in a circumstance where you're not aware that there is any other documentation regarding a witness stating that they could not make an identification and you learn that fact from a witness, is that something that you would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. BY THE WITNESS:  A. So back in when I was on Review in 2001, we typically did not go out go out to a police station unless there was a witness to talk to. It but it would depend on on the case. Typically, there was a witness to talk to at least. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Okay. In your general experience in Felony Review, if you were called out to talk to a witness, and that witness once you engaged with that witness, they told you that they could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It could be, but it could also have been I could also have seen if it was a police report, and so if it was already in a police report, it may not be something that I would also include. BY MR. STARR:  Q. That's fair.  So in a circumstance where you're not aware that there is any other documentation regarding a witness stating that they could not make an identification and you learn that fact from a witness, is that something that you would be obligated to document and in using your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. BY THE WITNESS:  A. So back in when I was on Review in 2001, we typically did not go out go out to a police station unless there was a witness to talk to. It but it would depend on on the case. Typically, there was a witness to talk to at least. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Okay. In your general experience in Felony Review, if you were called out to talk to a witness, and that witness once you engaged with that witness, they told you that they could identify the suspect and that they, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It could be, but it could also have been I could also have seen if it was a police report, and so if it was already in a police report, it may not be something that I would also include. BY MR. STARR:  Q. That's fair.  So in a circumstance where you're not aware that there is any other documentation regarding a witness stating that they could not make an identification and you learn that fact from a witness, is that something that you would be obligated to document and in using your own terminology "capture" in the file?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. BY THE WITNESS:  A. So back in when I was on Review in 2001, we typically did not go out go out to a police station unless there was a witness to talk to. It but it would depend on on the case. Typically, there was a witness to talk to at least. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Okay. In your general experience in Felony Review, if you were called out to talk to a witness, and that witness once you engaged with that witness, they told you that they could identify the suspect and that they, you know, knew the suspect's name, what would be your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It could be, but it could also have been I could also have seen if it was a police report, and so if it was already in a police report, it may not be something that I would also include. BY MR. STARR:  Q. That's fair.  So in a circumstance where you're not aware that there is any other documentation regarding a witness stating that they could not make an identification and you learn that fact from a witness, is that something that you would be obligated to document and in using your own terminology "capture" in the file?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form and foundation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. BY THE WITNESS:  A. So back in when I was on Review in 2001, we typically did not go out go out to a police station unless there was a witness to talk to. It but it would depend on on the case. Typically, there was a witness to talk to at least. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Okay. In your general experience in Felony Review, if you were called out to talk to a witness, and that witness once you engaged with that witness, they told you that they could identify the suspect and that they, you know, knew the suspect's name, what would be your what would be your next steps in your in your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It could be, but it could also have been I could also have seen if it was a police report, and so if it was already in a police report, it may not be something that I would also include. BY MR. STARR:  Q. That's fair.  So in a circumstance where you're not aware that there is any other documentation regarding a witness stating that they could not make an identification and you learn that fact from a witness, is that something that you would be obligated to document and in using your own terminology "capture" in the file?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form and foundation. Requires speculation. Incomplete hypothetical.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. BY THE WITNESS:  A. So back in when I was on Review in 2001, we typically did not go out go out to a police station unless there was a witness to talk to. It but it would depend on on the case. Typically, there was a witness to talk to at least. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Okay. In your general experience in Felony Review, if you were called out to talk to a witness, and that witness once you engaged with that witness, they told you that they could identify the suspect and that they, you know, knew the suspect's name, what would be your what would be your next steps in your in your process?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It could be, but it could also have been I could also have seen if it was a police report, and so if it was already in a police report, it may not be something that I would also include. BY MR. STARR:  Q. That's fair.  So in a circumstance where you're not aware that there is any other documentation regarding a witness stating that they could not make an identification and you learn that fact from a witness, is that something that you would be obligated to document and in using your own terminology "capture" in the file?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form and foundation. Requires speculation. Incomplete hypothetical.  MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. BY THE WITNESS:  A. So back in when I was on Review in 2001, we typically did not go out go out to a police station unless there was a witness to talk to. It but it would depend on on the case. Typically, there was a witness to talk to at least. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Okay. In your general experience in Felony Review, if you were called out to talk to a witness, and that witness once you engaged with that witness, they told you that they could identify the suspect and that they, you know, knew the suspect's name, what would be your what would be your next steps in your in your process?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It could be, but it could also have been I could also have seen if it was a police report, and so if it was already in a police report, it may not be something that I would also include. BY MR. STARR:  Q. That's fair.  So in a circumstance where you're not aware that there is any other documentation regarding a witness stating that they could not make an identification and you learn that fact from a witness, is that something that you would be obligated to document and in using your own terminology "capture" in the file?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form and foundation. Requires speculation. Incomplete hypothetical.  MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) objection. BY THE WITNESS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. BY THE WITNESS:  A. So back in when I was on Review in 2001, we typically did not go out go out to a police station unless there was a witness to talk to. It but it would depend on on the case. Typically, there was a witness to talk to at least. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Okay. In your general experience in Felony Review, if you were called out to talk to a witness, and that witness once you engaged with that witness, they told you that they could identify the suspect and that they, you know, knew the suspect's name, what would be your what would be your next steps in your in your process?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It could be, but it could also have been I could also have seen if it was a police report, and so if it was already in a police report, it may not be something that I would also include. BY MR. STARR:  Q. That's fair.  So in a circumstance where you're not aware that there is any other documentation regarding a witness stating that they could not make an identification and you learn that fact from a witness, is that something that you would be obligated to document and in using your own terminology "capture" in the file?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form and foundation. Requires speculation. Incomplete hypothetical.  MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) objection. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I'm sorry. I need that I need you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. BY THE WITNESS:  A. So back in when I was on Review in 2001, we typically did not go out go out to a police station unless there was a witness to talk to. It but it would depend on on the case. Typically, there was a witness to talk to at least. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Okay. In your general experience in Felony Review, if you were called out to talk to a witness, and that witness once you engaged with that witness, they told you that they could identify the suspect and that they, you know, knew the suspect's name, what would be your what would be your next steps in your in your process?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical.  And I would remind you of the prior
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It could be, but it could also have been I could also have seen if it was a police report, and so if it was already in a police report, it may not be something that I would also include. BY MR. STARR:  Q. That's fair.  So in a circumstance where you're not aware that there is any other documentation regarding a witness stating that they could not make an identification and you learn that fact from a witness, is that something that you would be obligated to document and in using your own terminology "capture" in the file?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form and foundation. Requires speculation. Incomplete hypothetical.  MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) objection. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I'm sorry. I need that I need you to ask that again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. BY THE WITNESS:  A. So back in when I was on Review in 2001, we typically did not go out go out to a police station unless there was a witness to talk to. It but it would depend on on the case. Typically, there was a witness to talk to at least. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Okay. In your general experience in Felony Review, if you were called out to talk to a witness, and that witness once you engaged with that witness, they told you that they could identify the suspect and that they, you know, knew the suspect's name, what would be your what would be your next steps in your in your process?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical.  And I would remind you of the prior admonition, Jennifer. If you have to reveal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It could be, but it could also have been I could also have seen if it was a police report, and so if it was already in a police report, it may not be something that I would also include. BY MR. STARR:  Q. That's fair.  So in a circumstance where you're not aware that there is any other documentation regarding a witness stating that they could not make an identification and you learn that fact from a witness, is that something that you would be obligated to document and in using your own terminology "capture" in the file?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form and foundation. Requires speculation. Incomplete hypothetical.  MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) objection. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I'm sorry. I need that I need you to ask that again.  MR. STARR: Let's have it read back, because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. BY THE WITNESS:  A. So back in when I was on Review in 2001, we typically did not go out go out to a police station unless there was a witness to talk to. It but it would depend on on the case. Typically, there was a witness to talk to at least. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Okay. In your general experience in Felony Review, if you were called out to talk to a witness, and that witness once you engaged with that witness, they told you that they could identify the suspect and that they, you know, knew the suspect's name, what would be your what would be your next steps in your in your process?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical.  And I would remind you of the prior admonition, Jennifer. If you have to reveal your decision-making as to a particular case,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It could be, but it could also have been I could also have seen if it was a police report, and so if it was already in a police report, it may not be something that I would also include. BY MR. STARR:  Q. That's fair.  So in a circumstance where you're not aware that there is any other documentation regarding a witness stating that they could not make an identification and you learn that fact from a witness, is that something that you would be obligated to document and in using your own terminology "capture" in the file?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form and foundation. Requires speculation. Incomplete hypothetical.  MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) objection. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I'm sorry. I need that I need you to ask that again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical. BY THE WITNESS:  A. So back in when I was on Review in 2001, we typically did not go out go out to a police station unless there was a witness to talk to. It but it would depend on on the case. Typically, there was a witness to talk to at least. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Okay. In your general experience in Felony Review, if you were called out to talk to a witness, and that witness once you engaged with that witness, they told you that they could identify the suspect and that they, you know, knew the suspect's name, what would be your what would be your next steps in your in your process?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical.  And I would remind you of the prior admonition, Jennifer. If you have to reveal

	Page 209		Page 211
1	If you can answer the question without doing so,	1	at that time.
2	then go ahead.	2	BY MR. STARR:
3	MS. WEST: Objection to form and foundation. BY THE WITNESS:	3	Q. And why would you why would you
4		4	refrain from taking a written statement at that
5	A. So there is a there is lot of	5	time?
6	there is a lot of factors at play. Like, is	6	MR. COYNE: Same objections.
7	this witness the first witness that's that	7	BY MR. STARR:
8	someone from Felony Review has talked to? Is	8	Q. What circumstances might you refrain
9	this witness the 17th person that the Felony	9	from taking a written statement?
10	Review has talked to? Is there a defendant that	10	MR. COYNE: Sorry. Same objections. Form,
11	has been talked to? Is there a video of this	11	foundation, incomplete hypothetical.
12	situation?	12	MS. WEST: Join.
13	Like, there is an awful lot of	13	MR. COYNE: Also, yeah, I need to take a
14	hypotheticals with all of that. So so it	14	phone call. I hate to interrupt the pending
15	would really depend. I believe your question is	15	question, but this is a call I have to take.
16	what after I talk to a witness could you	16	BY THE WITNESS:
17	repeat the question, please?	17	A. And
18	BY MR. STARR:	18	MR. COYNE: Do you want to answer hang on.
19	Q. Yeah. Let me	19	Go ahead.
20	A. I want to make sure I'm answering it	20	Hi. It's John Coyne. Can you hold one
21	right.	21	second, please? Thank you.
22	Q. Maybe I can rephrase it for you to make	22	All right. Could you answer, and
23	it easier.	23	then so I can talk a call?
24	If you were assigned to a case as	24	BY THE WITNESS:
	- 010		
	Page 210		Page 212
1	<del>-</del>	1	
1 2	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out	1 2	A. Yes.
2	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out and there was a witness at the police station	2	A. Yes.  It would depend on a variety of
2	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out and there was a witness at the police station and the witness told you that they could	2	A. Yes.  It would depend on a variety of factors, and to answer that question, I think I
2 3 4	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out and there was a witness at the police station and the witness told you that they could identify the suspect and they gave you that	2 3 4	A. Yes.  It would depend on a variety of factors, and to answer that question, I think I would have to disclose my decision-making
2 3 4 5	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out and there was a witness at the police station and the witness told you that they could identify the suspect and they gave you that person's name, would you take a statement from	2 3 4 5	A. Yes.  It would depend on a variety of factors, and to answer that question, I think I would have to disclose my decision-making process and work product.
2 3 4 5 6	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out and there was a witness at the police station and the witness told you that they could identify the suspect and they gave you that person's name, would you take a statement from that person?	2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes.  It would depend on a variety of factors, and to answer that question, I think I would have to disclose my decision-making process and work product.  MR. COYNE: All right. And, Sean, just so
2 3 4 5 6 7	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out and there was a witness at the police station and the witness told you that they could identify the suspect and they gave you that person's name, would you take a statement from that person?  MR. COYNE: Object; form, foundation,	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes.  It would depend on a variety of factors, and to answer that question, I think I would have to disclose my decision-making process and work product.  MR. COYNE: All right. And, Sean, just so you know, we've been going for over five hours
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out and there was a witness at the police station and the witness told you that they could identify the suspect and they gave you that person's name, would you take a statement from that person?  MR. COYNE: Object; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes.  It would depend on a variety of factors, and to answer that question, I think I would have to disclose my decision-making process and work product.  MR. COYNE: All right. And, Sean, just so you know, we've been going for over five hours with a couple of short breaks. If this is still
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out and there was a witness at the police station and the witness told you that they could identify the suspect and they gave you that person's name, would you take a statement from that person?  MR. COYNE: Object; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical.  MS. WEST: Join.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes.  It would depend on a variety of factors, and to answer that question, I think I would have to disclose my decision-making process and work product.  MR. COYNE: All right. And, Sean, just so you know, we've been going for over five hours with a couple of short breaks. If this is still going at 4:15, I'm going to need about a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out and there was a witness at the police station and the witness told you that they could identify the suspect and they gave you that person's name, would you take a statement from that person?  MR. COYNE: Object; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical.  MS. WEST: Join. BY THE WITNESS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes.  It would depend on a variety of factors, and to answer that question, I think I would have to disclose my decision-making process and work product.  MR. COYNE: All right. And, Sean, just so you know, we've been going for over five hours with a couple of short breaks. If this is still going at 4:15, I'm going to need about a 45-minute break to address the matters that have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out and there was a witness at the police station and the witness told you that they could identify the suspect and they gave you that person's name, would you take a statement from that person?  MR. COYNE: Object; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical.  MS. WEST: Join. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I may, or I may not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes.  It would depend on a variety of factors, and to answer that question, I think I would have to disclose my decision-making process and work product.  MR. COYNE: All right. And, Sean, just so you know, we've been going for over five hours with a couple of short breaks. If this is still going at 4:15, I'm going to need about a 45-minute break to address the matters that have developed today. I mean, you can go as long as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out and there was a witness at the police station and the witness told you that they could identify the suspect and they gave you that person's name, would you take a statement from that person?  MR. COYNE: Object; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical.  MS. WEST: Join. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I may, or I may not. BY MR. STARR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes.  It would depend on a variety of factors, and to answer that question, I think I would have to disclose my decision-making process and work product.  MR. COYNE: All right. And, Sean, just so you know, we've been going for over five hours with a couple of short breaks. If this is still going at 4:15, I'm going to need about a 45-minute break to address the matters that have developed today. I mean, you can go as long as you want, but I'm going to need about 45 minutes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out and there was a witness at the police station and the witness told you that they could identify the suspect and they gave you that person's name, would you take a statement from that person?  MR. COYNE: Object; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical.  MS. WEST: Join. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I may, or I may not. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Under what circumstances would you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes.  It would depend on a variety of factors, and to answer that question, I think I would have to disclose my decision-making process and work product.  MR. COYNE: All right. And, Sean, just so you know, we've been going for over five hours with a couple of short breaks. If this is still going at 4:15, I'm going to need about a 45-minute break to address the matters that have developed today. I mean, you can go as long as you want, but I'm going to need about 45 minutes if we're still going at 4:15. I'll try to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out and there was a witness at the police station and the witness told you that they could identify the suspect and they gave you that person's name, would you take a statement from that person?  MR. COYNE: Object; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical.  MS. WEST: Join. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I may, or I may not. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Under what circumstances would you refrain from taking a statement?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes.  It would depend on a variety of factors, and to answer that question, I think I would have to disclose my decision-making process and work product.  MR. COYNE: All right. And, Sean, just so you know, we've been going for over five hours with a couple of short breaks. If this is still going at 4:15, I'm going to need about a 45-minute break to address the matters that have developed today. I mean, you can go as long as you want, but I'm going to need about 45 minutes if we're still going at 4:15. I'll try to make it 30, but it could be 45.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out and there was a witness at the police station and the witness told you that they could identify the suspect and they gave you that person's name, would you take a statement from that person?  MR. COYNE: Object; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical.  MS. WEST: Join. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I may, or I may not. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Under what circumstances would you refrain from taking a statement?  MR. COYNE: Same objections.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes.  It would depend on a variety of factors, and to answer that question, I think I would have to disclose my decision-making process and work product.  MR. COYNE: All right. And, Sean, just so you know, we've been going for over five hours with a couple of short breaks. If this is still going at 4:15, I'm going to need about a 45-minute break to address the matters that have developed today. I mean, you can go as long as you want, but I'm going to need about 45 minutes if we're still going at 4:15. I'll try to make it 30, but it could be 45.  MR. STARR: I don't know if I mean, I I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out and there was a witness at the police station and the witness told you that they could identify the suspect and they gave you that person's name, would you take a statement from that person?  MR. COYNE: Object; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical.  MS. WEST: Join. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I may, or I may not. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Under what circumstances would you refrain from taking a statement?  MR. COYNE: Same objections. BY THE WITNESS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes.  It would depend on a variety of factors, and to answer that question, I think I would have to disclose my decision-making process and work product.  MR. COYNE: All right. And, Sean, just so you know, we've been going for over five hours with a couple of short breaks. If this is still going at 4:15, I'm going to need about a 45-minute break to address the matters that have developed today. I mean, you can go as long as you want, but I'm going to need about 45 minutes if we're still going at 4:15. I'll try to make it 30, but it could be 45.  MR. STARR: I don't know if I mean, I I have some obligations myself, John, after normal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out and there was a witness at the police station and the witness told you that they could identify the suspect and they gave you that person's name, would you take a statement from that person?  MR. COYNE: Object; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical.  MS. WEST: Join. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I may, or I may not. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Under what circumstances would you refrain from taking a statement?  MR. COYNE: Same objections. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It would it would depend on so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes.  It would depend on a variety of factors, and to answer that question, I think I would have to disclose my decision-making process and work product.  MR. COYNE: All right. And, Sean, just so you know, we've been going for over five hours with a couple of short breaks. If this is still going at 4:15, I'm going to need about a 45-minute break to address the matters that have developed today. I mean, you can go as long as you want, but I'm going to need about 45 minutes if we're still going at 4:15. I'll try to make it 30, but it could be 45.  MR. STARR: I don't know if I mean, I I have some obligations myself, John, after normal work hours. I don't know if I can stick around
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out and there was a witness at the police station and the witness told you that they could identify the suspect and they gave you that person's name, would you take a statement from that person?  MR. COYNE: Object; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical.  MS. WEST: Join. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I may, or I may not. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Under what circumstances would you refrain from taking a statement?  MR. COYNE: Same objections. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It would it would depend on so when I answered before, I was assuming you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes.  It would depend on a variety of factors, and to answer that question, I think I would have to disclose my decision-making process and work product.  MR. COYNE: All right. And, Sean, just so you know, we've been going for over five hours with a couple of short breaks. If this is still going at 4:15, I'm going to need about a 45-minute break to address the matters that have developed today. I mean, you can go as long as you want, but I'm going to need about 45 minutes if we're still going at 4:15. I'll try to make it 30, but it could be 45.  MR. STARR: I don't know if I mean, I I have some obligations myself, John, after normal work hours. I don't know if I can stick around past 5:00. I'm I'm trying to get through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out and there was a witness at the police station and the witness told you that they could identify the suspect and they gave you that person's name, would you take a statement from that person?  MR. COYNE: Object; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical.  MS. WEST: Join. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I may, or I may not. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Under what circumstances would you refrain from taking a statement?  MR. COYNE: Same objections. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It would it would depend on so when I answered before, I was assuming you were I I assumed myself you did not ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes.  It would depend on a variety of factors, and to answer that question, I think I would have to disclose my decision-making process and work product.  MR. COYNE: All right. And, Sean, just so you know, we've been going for over five hours with a couple of short breaks. If this is still going at 4:15, I'm going to need about a 45-minute break to address the matters that have developed today. I mean, you can go as long as you want, but I'm going to need about 45 minutes if we're still going at 4:15. I'll try to make it 30, but it could be 45.  MR. STARR: I don't know if I mean, I I have some obligations myself, John, after normal work hours. I don't know if I can stick around past 5:00. I'm I'm trying to get through this as quickly as possible.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out and there was a witness at the police station and the witness told you that they could identify the suspect and they gave you that person's name, would you take a statement from that person?  MR. COYNE: Object; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical.  MS. WEST: Join. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I may, or I may not. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Under what circumstances would you refrain from taking a statement?  MR. COYNE: Same objections. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It would it would depend on so when I answered before, I was assuming you were I I assumed myself you did not ask me this. I assumed that it was a written	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes.  It would depend on a variety of factors, and to answer that question, I think I would have to disclose my decision-making process and work product.  MR. COYNE: All right. And, Sean, just so you know, we've been going for over five hours with a couple of short breaks. If this is still going at 4:15, I'm going to need about a 45-minute break to address the matters that have developed today. I mean, you can go as long as you want, but I'm going to need about 45 minutes if we're still going at 4:15. I'll try to make it 30, but it could be 45.  MR. STARR: I don't know if I mean, I I have some obligations myself, John, after normal work hours. I don't know if I can stick around past 5:00. I'm I'm trying to get through this as quickly as possible.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. I'm not complaining. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out and there was a witness at the police station and the witness told you that they could identify the suspect and they gave you that person's name, would you take a statement from that person?  MR. COYNE: Object; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical.  MS. WEST: Join. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I may, or I may not. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Under what circumstances would you refrain from taking a statement?  MR. COYNE: Same objections. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It would it would depend on so when I answered before, I was assuming you were I I assumed myself you did not ask me this. I assumed that it was a written statement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes.  It would depend on a variety of factors, and to answer that question, I think I would have to disclose my decision-making process and work product.  MR. COYNE: All right. And, Sean, just so you know, we've been going for over five hours with a couple of short breaks. If this is still going at 4:15, I'm going to need about a 45-minute break to address the matters that have developed today. I mean, you can go as long as you want, but I'm going to need about 45 minutes if we're still going at 4:15. I'll try to make it 30, but it could be 45.  MR. STARR: I don't know if I mean, I I have some obligations myself, John, after normal work hours. I don't know if I can stick around past 5:00. I'm I'm trying to get through this as quickly as possible.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. I'm not complaining. I'm just saying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out and there was a witness at the police station and the witness told you that they could identify the suspect and they gave you that person's name, would you take a statement from that person?  MR. COYNE: Object; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical.  MS. WEST: Join. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I may, or I may not. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Under what circumstances would you refrain from taking a statement?  MR. COYNE: Same objections. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It would it would depend on so when I answered before, I was assuming you were I I assumed myself you did not ask me this. I assumed that it was a written statement.  So I would like to amend my answer to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes.  It would depend on a variety of factors, and to answer that question, I think I would have to disclose my decision-making process and work product.  MR. COYNE: All right. And, Sean, just so you know, we've been going for over five hours with a couple of short breaks. If this is still going at 4:15, I'm going to need about a 45-minute break to address the matters that have developed today. I mean, you can go as long as you want, but I'm going to need about 45 minutes if we're still going at 4:15. I'll try to make it 30, but it could be 45.  MR. STARR: I don't know if I mean, I I have some obligations myself, John, after normal work hours. I don't know if I can stick around past 5:00. I'm I'm trying to get through this as quickly as possible.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. I'm not complaining. I'm just saying  MR. STARR: Are we on the record?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Felony Review State's Attorney and you went out and there was a witness at the police station and the witness told you that they could identify the suspect and they gave you that person's name, would you take a statement from that person?  MR. COYNE: Object; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical.  MS. WEST: Join. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I may, or I may not. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Under what circumstances would you refrain from taking a statement?  MR. COYNE: Same objections. BY THE WITNESS:  A. It would it would depend on so when I answered before, I was assuming you were I I assumed myself you did not ask me this. I assumed that it was a written statement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes.  It would depend on a variety of factors, and to answer that question, I think I would have to disclose my decision-making process and work product.  MR. COYNE: All right. And, Sean, just so you know, we've been going for over five hours with a couple of short breaks. If this is still going at 4:15, I'm going to need about a 45-minute break to address the matters that have developed today. I mean, you can go as long as you want, but I'm going to need about 45 minutes if we're still going at 4:15. I'll try to make it 30, but it could be 45.  MR. STARR: I don't know if I mean, I I have some obligations myself, John, after normal work hours. I don't know if I can stick around past 5:00. I'm I'm trying to get through this as quickly as possible.  MR. COYNE: Yeah. I'm not complaining. I'm just saying

```
Page 213
                                                                                                             Page 215
 1
             I'm just telling you -- you can go
                                                                  1
                                                                        given workday. I think we did take a break. I
 2
        until 7:00 if you want. I'm just saying, if
                                                                  2
                                                                         mean, it wasn't my break. I didn't ask for the
 3
                                                                  3
        it's still going at 4:15, I'm going to need 30
                                                                        break that we took earlier, and we took
 4
        to 45 minutes. I'm -- we can -- then, we can
                                                                  4
                                                                        approximately 20 minutes at least, maybe more
 5
                                                                  5
                                                                        than that.
 6
                                                                  6
          MR. HOLMES: We only took a 20-minute lunch
                                                                              I ate lunch during that period of time.
 7
        break. So Mr. Coyne's request is not
                                                                  7
                                                                        So if you're trying to squeeze in another break
 8
                                                                  8
                                                                         for lunch -- which is not what you're doing.
        unreasonable.
 9
          MR. COYNE: Right. I -- yeah. And it wasn't
                                                                  9
                                                                         You already said what you're doing. I can't
10
                                                                10
                                                                         stay past 5:00 today. I don't have that much
        a lunch break for me.
11
          MR. HOLMES: Yeah, right.
                                                                11
                                                                         more, but if you need a break at 4:30, quite
12
          MR. STARR: I mean, under the circumstances,
                                                                12
                                                                        honestly, I'm not going to just wait until 5:00
13
                                                                13
                                                                        and then resume.
        it's a little -- are we on the record, or are we
                                                                14
                                                                              So -- and I know that Allyson wants to
14
        off the record?
15
                                                                15
          MR. COYNE: Oh, we can do it on the record.
                                                                        bring the witness back. I didn't want to bring
16
        I mean, yeah, we can do it on the record. I
                                                                16
                                                                         the witness back, and I'm going to try to get
17
        mean, I have to take this call right now, so --
                                                                17
                                                                         the show on the road here. But I'm just
18
          MR. STARR: Yeah, take the call. Take the
                                                                18
                                                                         flagging that for you right now.
19
                                                                19
                                                                           MR. COYNE: Right. But --
        call. Let's go off the record.
20
                  (Whereupon, a short break was
                                                                20
                                                                           MR. STARR: So if you have a conflict arise,
21
                                                                21
                                                                        you have a conflict arise. I get it, but that
                  taken.)
22
          MR. COYNE: All right. I had to take a call.
                                                                22
                                                                         doesn't mean we have to -- we have to stay
23
                                                                23
        That was the call that I mentioned at the
24
                                                                24
        beginning at the deposition that I might have to
                                                                           MR. COYNE: Well, yeah, just so you know, you
                                             Page 214
                                                                                                             Page 216
                                                                  1
 1
        take.
                                                                         talked about a 20-minute lunch break. I did not
 2
                                                                  2
              So as I mentioned earlier, Sean, I
                                                                         get a -- we had an issue, a break that was
 3
        don't want to rain on your parade. You can go
                                                                  3
                                                                         taken. We resumed it, and then Allyson and I
                                                                  4
 4
        as long as you want. It's just that -- and I'm
                                                                         agreed we want to take a longer break so I could
 5
        going to be able to push it back to at least
                                                                  5
                                                                         address something.
                                                                  6
 6
        3:00 -- or 4:30. These are matters that I need
                                                                               The break started with a
 7
        to address that developed today.
                                                                  7
                                                                         deposition-related matter, all right, and then
 8
              So I -- if we're still going at 4:30,
                                                                  8
                                                                         we took a short break. So it was never that we
 9
        I'll just need about a 30 -- I should be able to
                                                                  9
                                                                         took a continuous, agreed 20-minute break. And
10
                                                                10
        make it in 30 minutes -- a 30-minute break. We
                                                                         I don't want to quibble on semantics.
11
                                                                11
        can resume at 5:00, and you can go as far as you
                                                                               But, you know, we have been going since
                                                                12
                                                                         10:30 without a lunch, without a break. I don't
12
                                                                13
13
              But for the record, I personally have
                                                                         need a lunch. I'm just saying I have matters
14
        not taken any breaks today other than dealing
                                                                14
                                                                         that I personally have to address for purposes
15
        with this case. So I have matters dealing --
                                                                15
                                                                         of my law practice, because it's my firm. And
16
        pertaining to my law practice -- it's my firm --
                                                                16
                                                                         I'm not trying to delay things. I'm just
17
        that I need to address personally. And that's
                                                                17
                                                                         saying, I could probably make it in 20 minutes,
18
        why I would need to do that at 4:30. I would be
                                                                18
                                                                         but at 4:30 -- in fact, I probably could push it
19
        done by 5:00, and then we can resume. So I just
                                                                19
                                                                         to 4:40. If we're still going -- that's 50
                                                                         minutes from now -- I will need a 20-minute
20
        wanted to put that on the record so there is no
                                                                20
21
                                                                21
                                                                         break. That's all I'm saying.
22
           MR. STARR: Well, I mean, John, I understand
                                                                22
                                                                           MR. STARR: I'm not -- I'm not trying to give
23
        that things arise and, you know, conflicts
                                                                23
                                                                         you a hard time. Let's just go forward and see
24
        happen during the -- you know, the course of a
                                                                24
                                                                         where we're at.
```

Page 217	Page 219
1 MR. COYNE: Fine. Let's do it.	don't remember that I remember his first name.
2 MS. WEST: Really quick sorry, because I	2 Q. Okay. And outside of riding in a
3 need to know for coverage on my end, John, do	3 vehicle with him, do you have any other
4 you think you will be able to finish by 4:30 or	4 independent recollection of any other
5 4:45?	5 interactions with him on this case?
6 MR. STARR: I'm going to try.	6 A. Not specific, no.
7 MS. WEST: Okay.	7 Q. Do you have any other general
8 MR. COYNE: All right. Let's give it a shot.	8 recollections?
9 BY MR. STARR:	9 A. I remember he was involved in the case,
10 Q. Ms. Walker, I understand you've	but I don't remember, like, a specific
11 previously testified that your independent	conversation or, like, I don't remember anything
12 recollection of the Fletcher case boils down to	12 specific.
you recall that this case involved a bread truck	Q. Did you ever in the in the mid-2000s
driver and a robbery; is that correct?	when you were in Felony Review, did you ever
MS. WEST: Asked and answered.	hear any rumors or allegations about Detective
16 BY THE WITNESS:	Bogucki committing misconduct as a Chicago
17 A. That's part of what I recall, yes.	police officer?
18 BY MR. STARR:	MR. COYNE: Objection, form.
Q. Okay. Is the other part that you	19 BY THE WITNESS:
20 recall driving in a car with Detectives Bogucki	A. I do not recall that.
and Schalk going to an IDOC facility?	21 BY MR. STARR:
A. Yes, I remember that too.	Q. Did you ever in your entire career hear
23 MS. WEST: Asked and answered.	any rumors or allegations about Detective Bogucki committing misconduct outside of the
24 BY THE WITNESS:	24 Bogucki committing misconduct outside of the
Page 218	7 000
1 age 210	Page 220
1 A. I remember that as well.	1 confines of this case?
_	_
1 A. I remember that as well.	<ul> <li>confines of this case?</li> <li>A. I do not recall that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion of</li> </ul>
1 A. I remember that as well. 2 BY MR. STARR:	<ul> <li>confines of this case?</li> <li>A. I do not recall that.</li> </ul>
1 A. I remember that as well. 2 BY MR. STARR: 3 Q. Is there any other independent 4 recollection you have about the Willie Sorrell 5 homicide investigation or the prosecution of	<ul> <li>confines of this case?</li> <li>A. I do not recall that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion of</li> <li>Detective Bogucki as a Chicago police officer?</li> <li>MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.</li> </ul>
1 A. I remember that as well. 2 BY MR. STARR: 3 Q. Is there any other independent 4 recollection you have about the Willie Sorrell 5 homicide investigation or the prosecution of 6 James Fletcher for that for that murder?	confines of this case?  A. I do not recall that.  Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion of  Detective Bogucki as a Chicago police officer?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.  BY THE WITNESS:
1 A. I remember that as well. 2 BY MR. STARR: 3 Q. Is there any other independent 4 recollection you have about the Willie Sorrell 5 homicide investigation or the prosecution of 6 James Fletcher for that for that murder? 7 A. Nothing specific.	<ul> <li>confines of this case?</li> <li>A. I do not recall that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion of</li> <li>Detective Bogucki as a Chicago police officer?</li> <li>MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.</li> <li>BY THE WITNESS:</li> <li>A. He was he was professional with me.</li> </ul>
A. I remember that as well.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Is there any other independent recollection you have about the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or the prosecution of James Fletcher for that for that murder? A. Nothing specific. Q. Okay. If at some at some point you	confines of this case?  A. I do not recall that.  Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion of  Detective Bogucki as a Chicago police officer?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. He was he was professional with me.  I I and but I I believe I had a few
A. I remember that as well.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Is there any other independent recollection you have about the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or the prosecution of James Fletcher for that for that murder? A. Nothing specific. Q. Okay. If at some at some point you recall something specific, please let us know.	confines of this case?  A. I do not recall that.  Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion of  Detective Bogucki as a Chicago police officer?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. He was he was professional with me.  I I and but I I believe I had a few  cases with him. I know I had more than one with
1 A. I remember that as well. 2 BY MR. STARR: 3 Q. Is there any other independent 4 recollection you have about the Willie Sorrell 5 homicide investigation or the prosecution of 6 James Fletcher for that for that murder? 7 A. Nothing specific. 8 Q. Okay. If at some at some point you 9 recall something specific, please let us know. 10 Are you during the during your	confines of this case?  A. I do not recall that.  Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion of  Detective Bogucki as a Chicago police officer?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. He was he was professional with me.  I I and but I I believe I had a few  cases with him. I know I had more than one with  him when I was on Felony Review. But I I
A. I remember that as well.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Is there any other independent recollection you have about the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or the prosecution of James Fletcher for that for that murder? A. Nothing specific. Q. Okay. If at some at some point you recall something specific, please let us know. Are you during the during your time assigned as a Felony Review State's	confines of this case?  A. I do not recall that.  Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion of  Detective Bogucki as a Chicago police officer?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. He was he was professional with me.  I I and but I I believe I had a few  cases with him. I know I had more than one with  him when I was on Felony Review. But I I  don't I didn't know him well. And I I
A. I remember that as well.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Is there any other independent recollection you have about the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or the prosecution of James Fletcher for that for that murder? A. Nothing specific. Q. Okay. If at some at some point you recall something specific, please let us know. Are you during the during your time assigned as a Felony Review State's Attorney, do you know if you received any	confines of this case?  A. I do not recall that.  Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion of  Detective Bogucki as a Chicago police officer?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. He was he was professional with me.  I I and but I I believe I had a few  cases with him. I know I had more than one with  him when I was on Felony Review. But I I  don't I didn't know him well. And I I  don't even know when the last time it was that I
A. I remember that as well.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Is there any other independent recollection you have about the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or the prosecution of James Fletcher for that for that murder? A. Nothing specific. Q. Okay. If at some at some point you recall something specific, please let us know. Are you during the during your time assigned as a Felony Review State's Attorney, do you know if you received any general or progress reports from the Chicago	confines of this case?  A. I do not recall that.  Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion of  Detective Bogucki as a Chicago police officer?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. He was he was professional with me.  I I and but I I believe I had a few  cases with him. I know I had more than one with  him when I was on Felony Review. But I I  don't I didn't know him well. And I I  don't even know when the last time it was that I  saw him.
A. I remember that as well.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Is there any other independent recollection you have about the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or the prosecution of James Fletcher for that for that murder? A. Nothing specific. Q. Okay. If at some at some point you recall something specific, please let us know. Are you during the during your time assigned as a Felony Review State's Attorney, do you know if you received any general or progress reports from the Chicago police on this case?	confines of this case?  A. I do not recall that.  Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion of  Detective Bogucki as a Chicago police officer?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. He was he was professional with me.  I I and but I I believe I had a few  cases with him. I know I had more than one with  him when I was on Felony Review. But I I  don't I didn't know him well. And I I  don't even know when the last time it was that I  saw him.  BY MR. STARR:
A. I remember that as well.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Is there any other independent recollection you have about the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or the prosecution of James Fletcher for that for that murder? A. Nothing specific. Q. Okay. If at some at some point you recall something specific, please let us know. Are you during the during your time assigned as a Felony Review State's Attorney, do you know if you received any general or progress reports from the Chicago police on this case?  A. I do not recall.	confines of this case?  A. I do not recall that.  Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion of  Detective Bogucki as a Chicago police officer?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. He was he was professional with me.  I I and but I I believe I had a few  cases with him. I know I had more than one with him when I was on Felony Review. But I I don't I didn't know him well. And I I don't even know when the last time it was that I saw him.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. You previously testified that you're
A. I remember that as well.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Is there any other independent recollection you have about the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or the prosecution of James Fletcher for that for that murder? A. Nothing specific. Q. Okay. If at some at some point you recall something specific, please let us know. Are you during the during your time assigned as a Felony Review State's Attorney, do you know if you received any general or progress reports from the Chicago police on this case? A. I do not recall. Q. Okay. As you sit here today, do you	confines of this case?  A. I do not recall that.  Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion of  Detective Bogucki as a Chicago police officer?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. He was he was professional with me.  I I and but I I believe I had a few  cases with him. I know I had more than one with him when I was on Felony Review. But I I don't I didn't know him well. And I I don't even know when the last time it was that I saw him.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. You previously testified that you're familiar with a Chicago police detective by the
A. I remember that as well.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Is there any other independent recollection you have about the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or the prosecution of James Fletcher for that for that murder? A. Nothing specific. Q. Okay. If at some at some point you recall something specific, please let us know. Are you during the during your time assigned as a Felony Review State's Attorney, do you know if you received any general or progress reports from the Chicago police on this case? A. I do not recall. Q. Okay. As you sit here today, do you have a recollection as to whether or not there	confines of this case?  A. I do not recall that.  Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion of  Detective Bogucki as a Chicago police officer?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. He was he was professional with me.  I I and but I I believe I had a few  cases with him. I know I had more than one with him when I was on Felony Review. But I I don't I didn't know him well. And I I don't even know when the last time it was that I saw him.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. You previously testified that you're familiar with a Chicago police detective by the name of Raymond Schalk; is that correct?
A. I remember that as well.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Is there any other independent recollection you have about the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or the prosecution of James Fletcher for that for that murder? A. Nothing specific. Q. Okay. If at some at some point you recall something specific, please let us know. Are you during the during your time assigned as a Felony Review State's Attorney, do you know if you received any general or progress reports from the Chicago police on this case? A. I do not recall. Q. Okay. As you sit here today, do you have a recollection as to whether or not there were any Chicago police reports that you asked	confines of this case?  A. I do not recall that.  Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion of  Detective Bogucki as a Chicago police officer?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. He was he was professional with me.  I I and but I I believe I had a few  cases with him. I know I had more than one with  him when I was on Felony Review. But I I  don't I didn't know him well. And I I  don't even know when the last time it was that I  saw him.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. You previously testified that you're  familiar with a Chicago police detective by the  name of Raymond Schalk; is that correct?  A. Detective Schalk, I don't know the
A. I remember that as well.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Is there any other independent recollection you have about the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or the prosecution of James Fletcher for that for that murder? A. Nothing specific. Q. Okay. If at some at some point you recall something specific, please let us know. Are you during the during your time assigned as a Felony Review State's Attorney, do you know if you received any general or progress reports from the Chicago police on this case? A. I do not recall. Q. Okay. As you sit here today, do you have a recollection as to whether or not there were any Chicago police reports that you asked for but didn't receive?	confines of this case?  A. I do not recall that.  Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion of  Detective Bogucki as a Chicago police officer?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. He was he was professional with me.  I I and but I I believe I had a few  cases with him. I know I had more than one with  him when I was on Felony Review. But I I  don't I didn't know him well. And I I  don't even know when the last time it was that I  saw him.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. You previously testified that you're  familiar with a Chicago police detective by the  name of Raymond Schalk; is that correct?  A. Detective Schalk, I don't know the  his first name.
A. I remember that as well.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Is there any other independent recollection you have about the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or the prosecution of James Fletcher for that for that murder? A. Nothing specific. Q. Okay. If at some at some point you recall something specific, please let us know. Are you during the during your time assigned as a Felony Review State's Attorney, do you know if you received any general or progress reports from the Chicago police on this case? A. I do not recall. Q. Okay. As you sit here today, do you have a recollection as to whether or not there were any Chicago police reports that you asked for but didn't receive?  A. I do not recall.	confines of this case?  A. I do not recall that.  Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion of  Detective Bogucki as a Chicago police officer?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. He was he was professional with me.  I I and but I I believe I had a few  cases with him. I know I had more than one with  him when I was on Felony Review. But I I  don't I didn't know him well. And I I  don't even know when the last time it was that I  saw him.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. You previously testified that you're  familiar with a Chicago police detective by the  name of Raymond Schalk; is that correct?  A. Detective Schalk, I don't know the  his first name.  Q. Okay. Other than what you recall about
A. I remember that as well.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Is there any other independent recollection you have about the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or the prosecution of James Fletcher for that for that murder? A. Nothing specific. Q. Okay. If at some at some point you recall something specific, please let us know. Are you during the during your time assigned as a Felony Review State's Attorney, do you know if you received any general or progress reports from the Chicago police on this case? A. I do not recall. Q. Okay. As you sit here today, do you have a recollection as to whether or not there were any Chicago police reports that you asked for but didn't receive? A. I do not recall. Q. Okay. And you testified earlier that	confines of this case?  A. I do not recall that.  Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion of  Detective Bogucki as a Chicago police officer?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. He was he was professional with me.  I I and but I I believe I had a few  cases with him. I know I had more than one with  him when I was on Felony Review. But I I  don't I didn't know him well. And I I  don't even know when the last time it was that I  saw him.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. You previously testified that you're  familiar with a Chicago police detective by the  name of Raymond Schalk; is that correct?  A. Detective Schalk, I don't know the  his first name.  Q. Okay. Other than what you recall about  riding in a vehicle with him going to an IDOC
A. I remember that as well.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Is there any other independent recollection you have about the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or the prosecution of James Fletcher for that for that murder? A. Nothing specific. Q. Okay. If at some at some point you recall something specific, please let us know.  Are you during the during your time assigned as a Felony Review State's Attorney, do you know if you received any general or progress reports from the Chicago police on this case? A. I do not recall. Q. Okay. As you sit here today, do you have a recollection as to whether or not there were any Chicago police reports that you asked for but didn't receive? A. I do not recall. Q. Okay. And you testified earlier that you are you're aware of who Jerome Bogucki	confines of this case?  A. I do not recall that.  Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion of  Detective Bogucki as a Chicago police officer?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. He was he was professional with me.  I I and but I I believe I had a few  cases with him. I know I had more than one with him when I was on Felony Review. But I I don't I didn't know him well. And I I don't even know when the last time it was that I saw him.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. You previously testified that you're familiar with a Chicago police detective by the name of Raymond Schalk; is that correct?  A. Detective Schalk, I don't know the his first name.  Q. Okay. Other than what you recall about riding in a vehicle with him going to an IDOC facility, do you have any other independent
A. I remember that as well.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Is there any other independent recollection you have about the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or the prosecution of James Fletcher for that for that murder? A. Nothing specific. Q. Okay. If at some at some point you recall something specific, please let us know. Are you during the during your time assigned as a Felony Review State's Attorney, do you know if you received any general or progress reports from the Chicago police on this case? A. I do not recall. Q. Okay. As you sit here today, do you have a recollection as to whether or not there were any Chicago police reports that you asked for but didn't receive? A. I do not recall. Q. Okay. And you testified earlier that	confines of this case?  A. I do not recall that.  Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion of  Detective Bogucki as a Chicago police officer?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. He was he was professional with me.  I I and but I I believe I had a few  cases with him. I know I had more than one with  him when I was on Felony Review. But I I  don't I didn't know him well. And I I  don't even know when the last time it was that I  saw him.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. You previously testified that you're  familiar with a Chicago police detective by the  name of Raymond Schalk; is that correct?  A. Detective Schalk, I don't know the  his first name.  Q. Okay. Other than what you recall about  riding in a vehicle with him going to an IDOC

	Page 221		Page 223
1	during the pendency of this case?	1	A Noradin. I don't believe I know him
2	A. I do not.	2	as Anthony Noradin.
3	Q. Okay. While you were on Felony Review,	3	Q. Do you have any independent
4	did you hear any rumors or allegations of any	4	recollection of any interactions or
5	misconduct committed by Detective Schalk?	5	communications or conversations with Detective
6	A. I did not.	6	Noradin on the Willie Sorrell homicide
7	MR. COYNE: Objection, form.	7	investigation or the prosecution of James
8	BY THE WITNESS:	8	Fletcher for that murder?
9	A. I don't recall that. I do not recall	9	A. I do not.
10	that.	10	Q. Did you ever hear any rumors or
11	BY MR. STARR:	11	allegations about Detective Noradin committing
12	Q. At any point, have you heard any	12	misconduct as a Chicago police officer during
13	other than this strike that.	13	your time in Felony Review?
14	At any point, have you heard any rumors	14	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.
15	of misconduct or allegations of misconduct about	15	BY THE WITNESS: I do not recall that.
16	<b>Detective Schalk besides this case?</b>	16	BY MR. STARR:
17	MR. COYNE: Objection, form.	17	Q. Have you ever heard any rumors or
18	BY THE WITNESS:	18	allegations about Detective Noradin committing
19	A. I do not recall that.	19	misconduct as a Chicago police officer in your
20	BY MR. STARR:	20	career?
21	Q. Okay. And do you have any opinion of	21	MR. COYNE: Objection, form.
22	Detective Schalk's work as a Chicago police	22	BY THE WITNESS:
23	officer?	23	A. I I do not recall that.
24	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.	24	BY MR. STARR:
	<i>,</i> , ,		
	Page 222		Page 224
1	BY THE WITNESS:	1	Q. And do you have an opinion of him as a
2	A. I believe he and Detective Bogucki were	2	Chicago police officer?
3	partners or worked together. I believe I had at	3	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.
4	least one other case with him and Detective	4	BY THE WITNESS:
5	Bogucki. You know, I I remember him as as	5	A. He was he has been he was
6	being, you know, pleasant and professional with	6	professional and pleasant when I had to deal
7	me. I have not seen him in quite some time, and	7	with him. I believe I had a couple of a
8	I and I do not know him I did not know him	8	couple of other cases with him when he was at
9	well.	9	Area 5, but I can't specifically remember the
10	I I could probably recognize him if	10	names of those cases or what those cases were
11	I was shown a picture, but I don't we didn't	11	about. I just I knew I've interacted with
12	have a lot of we didn't have a lot of	12	him a a couple of times when I was on Felony
13	interaction.	13	Review, but I don't remember exactly how many
14	BY MR. STARR:	14	either.
15	Q. I believe you testified about Detective	15	BY MR. STARR:
16	Noradin earlier.	16	Q. What about a Chicago police detective
	Do you know who Detective Noradin is?	17	or a sergeant by the name of Tony or Anthony
17	A. I do know Detective I do know who	18	Wojcik?
17 18	A. I do know Detective I do know who		Do you know who that is?
	Detective Noradin is.	19	Do you know who that is:
18	Detective Noradin is.	19 20	·
18 19	Detective Noradin is.  Q. Okay. And his name is Anthony Noradin.	1	A. I have heard the name, but I don't know
18 19 20	Detective Noradin is.  Q. Okay. And his name is Anthony Noradin.  Do you know his first name?	20	·
18 19 20 21	Detective Noradin is.  Q. Okay. And his name is Anthony Noradin.  Do you know his first name?  A. I know him I believe I know him as	20 21	A. I have heard the name, but I don't know if I I'm not getting a picture in my head of what he looked like.
18 19 20 21 22	Detective Noradin is.  Q. Okay. And his name is Anthony Noradin.  Do you know his first name?	20 21 22	A. I have heard the name, but I don't know if I I'm not getting a picture in my head of

	Dama 22E		Dama 227
_	Page 225		Page 227
1	A. Just being around 26th Street. Like, I	1	Q. Do you have any independent
2	have heard I have heard the name.	2	recollection of Terry Rogers?
3	Q. Do you have any independent	3	A. I do not.
4	recollection whatsoever of any interactions,	4	Q. You're aware that Terry Rogers
5	communications, or conversations with Tony	5	allegedly identified James Fletcher to
6	Wojcik during the pendency of the Willie Sorrell	6	Detectives Bogucki and Schalk, correct?
7	criminal investigation homicide investigation	7	A. I have read that in the police report
8	or the prosecution of James Fletcher for that	8	getting ready for this audition this
9	crime?	9	deposition.
10	A. I do not recall that.	10	Q. And allegedly Terry Rogers was able to
11	Q. Did you ever hear any allegations or	11	identify Mr. Fletcher in a photo array that
12	rumors about Detective Wojcik or Sergeant Wojcik	12	Detectives Bogucki and Schalk showed him,
13	committing any misconduct during your time in	13	correct?
14	Felony Review?	14	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.
15	MR. COYNE: Objection, form.	15	BY THE WITNESS:
16	BY THE WITNESS:	16	A. I have read that in preparation for
17	A. I do not recall that.	17	this deposition.
18	BY MR. STARR:	18	BY MR. STARR:
19	Q. Have you heard any rumors of or	19	Q. And those photos in the photo array
20	allegations of Detective Wojcik committing	20	were IDOC photos, correct?
21	misconduct at any point in your career?	21	MR. COYNE: Same objection.
22	MR. COYNE: Objection, form.	22	BY THE WITNESS:
23	BY THE WITNESS:	23	A. I I don't know about that.
24	A. I don't recall that. I I don't	24	BY MR. STARR:
	Page 226		Page 228
1	recall that.	1	Q. You are aware that Terry Rogers
2	BY MR. STARR:	2	allegedly told Detectives Bogucki and Schalk
3	Q. Do you have any opinion of Detective	3	that he knew Mr. Fletcher prior to the Willie
4	Sergeant Wojcik as a Chicago police officer?	4	Sorrell homicide, correct?
		1 4	Soffen nonneide, correct.
5	MS. WEST: Objection to foundation.	5	A. I have read that in documents preparing
5 6	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. BY THE WITNESS:	5	A. I have read that in documents preparing
6	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. BY THE WITNESS: A. I I don't remember having any	5 6	<ul> <li>A. I have read that in documents preparing for the deposition, yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if you strike that.</li> <li>Did you do anything to independently</li> </ul>
6 7	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. BY THE WITNESS: A. I I don't remember having any interaction with Sergeant Wojcik. I don't I	5 6 7	<ul><li>A. I have read that in documents preparing for the deposition, yes.</li><li>Q. Do you know if you strike that.</li></ul>
6 7 8 9 10	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. BY THE WITNESS: A. I I don't remember having any interaction with Sergeant Wojcik. I don't I don't know that I would be able to like, if I	5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I have read that in documents preparing for the deposition, yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if you strike that.</li> <li>Did you do anything to independently corroborate whether or not Terry Rogers knew James Fletcher prior to the Willie homi</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I don't remember having any interaction with Sergeant Wojcik. I don't I don't know that I would be able to like, if I was shown a line a photo array, I don't know	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I have read that in documents preparing for the deposition, yes.  Q. Do you know if you strike that.  Did you do anything to independently corroborate whether or not Terry Rogers knew James Fletcher prior to the Willie homi Willie Sorrell homicide?
6 7 8 9 10	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I don't remember having any interaction with Sergeant Wojcik. I don't I don't know that I would be able to like, if I was shown a line a photo array, I don't know that I could pick Sergeant Wojcik out of the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I have read that in documents preparing for the deposition, yes.  Q. Do you know if you strike that.  Did you do anything to independently corroborate whether or not Terry Rogers knew James Fletcher prior to the Willie homi Willie Sorrell homicide?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I don't remember having any interaction with Sergeant Wojcik. I don't I don't know that I would be able to like, if I was shown a line a photo array, I don't know that I could pick Sergeant Wojcik out of the photo array. I just don't really know him.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I have read that in documents preparing for the deposition, yes.  Q. Do you know if you strike that.  Did you do anything to independently corroborate whether or not Terry Rogers knew James Fletcher prior to the Willie homi Willie Sorrell homicide?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. BY THE WITNESS:
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I don't remember having any interaction with Sergeant Wojcik. I don't I don't know that I would be able to like, if I was shown a line a photo array, I don't know that I could pick Sergeant Wojcik out of the photo array. I just don't really know him. Like, I don't know him.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I have read that in documents preparing for the deposition, yes.  Q. Do you know if you strike that.  Did you do anything to independently corroborate whether or not Terry Rogers knew James Fletcher prior to the Willie homi Willie Sorrell homicide?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't know. I don't recall.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I don't remember having any interaction with Sergeant Wojcik. I don't I don't know that I would be able to like, if I was shown a line a photo array, I don't know that I could pick Sergeant Wojcik out of the photo array. I just don't really know him. Like, I don't know him. BY MR. STARR:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I have read that in documents preparing for the deposition, yes.  Q. Do you know if you strike that.  Did you do anything to independently corroborate whether or not Terry Rogers knew James Fletcher prior to the Willie homi Willie Sorrell homicide?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't know. I don't recall. BY MR. STARR:
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I don't remember having any interaction with Sergeant Wojcik. I don't I don't know that I would be able to like, if I was shown a line a photo array, I don't know that I could pick Sergeant Wojcik out of the photo array. I just don't really know him. Like, I don't know him.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Other strike that.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I have read that in documents preparing for the deposition, yes.  Q. Do you know if you strike that.  Did you do anything to independently corroborate whether or not Terry Rogers knew James Fletcher prior to the Willie homi Willie Sorrell homicide?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't know. I don't recall.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Do you know if the Detectives Bogucki
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I don't remember having any interaction with Sergeant Wojcik. I don't I don't know that I would be able to like, if I was shown a line a photo array, I don't know that I could pick Sergeant Wojcik out of the photo array. I just don't really know him. Like, I don't know him. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Other strike that. Do you have any independent	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I have read that in documents preparing for the deposition, yes.  Q. Do you know if you strike that.  Did you do anything to independently corroborate whether or not Terry Rogers knew James Fletcher prior to the Willie homi Willie Sorrell homicide?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't know. I don't recall. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Do you know if the Detectives Bogucki and Schalk did anything to independently
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I don't remember having any interaction with Sergeant Wojcik. I don't I don't know that I would be able to like, if I was shown a line a photo array, I don't know that I could pick Sergeant Wojcik out of the photo array. I just don't really know him. Like, I don't know him. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Other strike that. Do you have any independent recollection whatsoever of interviewing any	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I have read that in documents preparing for the deposition, yes.  Q. Do you know if you strike that.  Did you do anything to independently corroborate whether or not Terry Rogers knew James Fletcher prior to the Willie homi Willie Sorrell homicide?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I don't know. I don't recall. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Do you know if the Detectives Bogucki and Schalk did anything to independently corroborate whether or not Mr. Rogers knew
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I don't remember having any interaction with Sergeant Wojcik. I don't I don't know that I would be able to like, if I was shown a line a photo array, I don't know that I could pick Sergeant Wojcik out of the photo array. I just don't really know him. Like, I don't know him. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Other strike that. Do you have any independent	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I have read that in documents preparing for the deposition, yes.  Q. Do you know if you strike that. Did you do anything to independently corroborate whether or not Terry Rogers knew James Fletcher prior to the Willie homi Willie Sorrell homicide? MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. BY THE WITNESS: A. I don't know. I don't recall. BY MR. STARR: Q. Do you know if the Detectives Bogucki and Schalk did anything to independently corroborate whether or not Mr. Rogers knew Mr. Fletcher prior to the Willie Sorrell
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I don't remember having any interaction with Sergeant Wojcik. I don't I don't know that I would be able to like, if I was shown a line a photo array, I don't know that I could pick Sergeant Wojcik out of the photo array. I just don't really know him. Like, I don't know him. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Other strike that.  Do you have any independent recollection whatsoever of interviewing any witness in the Willie Sorrell homicide or the James Fletcher criminal prosecution?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I have read that in documents preparing for the deposition, yes.  Q. Do you know if you strike that. Did you do anything to independently corroborate whether or not Terry Rogers knew James Fletcher prior to the Willie homi Willie Sorrell homicide? MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. BY THE WITNESS: A. I don't know. I don't recall. BY MR. STARR: Q. Do you know if the Detectives Bogucki and Schalk did anything to independently corroborate whether or not Mr. Rogers knew Mr. Fletcher prior to the Willie Sorrell homicide?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I don't remember having any interaction with Sergeant Wojcik. I don't I don't know that I would be able to like, if I was shown a line a photo array, I don't know that I could pick Sergeant Wojcik out of the photo array. I just don't really know him. Like, I don't know him.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Other strike that.  Do you have any independent recollection whatsoever of interviewing any witness in the Willie Sorrell homicide or the James Fletcher criminal prosecution?  A. I do not.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I have read that in documents preparing for the deposition, yes.  Q. Do you know if you strike that. Did you do anything to independently corroborate whether or not Terry Rogers knew James Fletcher prior to the Willie homi Willie Sorrell homicide? MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. BY THE WITNESS: A. I don't know. I don't recall. BY MR. STARR: Q. Do you know if the Detectives Bogucki and Schalk did anything to independently corroborate whether or not Mr. Rogers knew Mr. Fletcher prior to the Willie Sorrell homicide? MR. COYNE: Objection
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I don't remember having any interaction with Sergeant Wojcik. I don't I don't know that I would be able to like, if I was shown a line a photo array, I don't know that I could pick Sergeant Wojcik out of the photo array. I just don't really know him. Like, I don't know him. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Other strike that.  Do you have any independent recollection whatsoever of interviewing any witness in the Willie Sorrell homicide or the James Fletcher criminal prosecution?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I have read that in documents preparing for the deposition, yes.  Q. Do you know if you strike that. Did you do anything to independently corroborate whether or not Terry Rogers knew James Fletcher prior to the Willie homi Willie Sorrell homicide? MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. BY THE WITNESS: A. I don't know. I don't recall. BY MR. STARR: Q. Do you know if the Detectives Bogucki and Schalk did anything to independently corroborate whether or not Mr. Rogers knew Mr. Fletcher prior to the Willie Sorrell homicide? MR. COYNE: Objection MS. WEST: Objection, foundation.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I I don't remember having any interaction with Sergeant Wojcik. I don't I don't know that I would be able to like, if I was shown a line a photo array, I don't know that I could pick Sergeant Wojcik out of the photo array. I just don't really know him. Like, I don't know him.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Other strike that.  Do you have any independent recollection whatsoever of interviewing any witness in the Willie Sorrell homicide or the James Fletcher criminal prosecution?  A. I do not.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I have read that in documents preparing for the deposition, yes.  Q. Do you know if you strike that. Did you do anything to independently corroborate whether or not Terry Rogers knew James Fletcher prior to the Willie homi Willie Sorrell homicide? MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. BY THE WITNESS: A. I don't know. I don't recall. BY MR. STARR: Q. Do you know if the Detectives Bogucki and Schalk did anything to independently corroborate whether or not Mr. Rogers knew Mr. Fletcher prior to the Willie Sorrell homicide? MR. COYNE: Objection

	Page 229		Page 231
1	A. I don't recall, and I don't know.	1	evidence in this case, strategies, opinions,
2	BY MR. STARR:	2	legal theories, arguments, then I would instruct
3	Q. Would it surprise you to hear that	3	you not to answer the question based upon
4	Detective Bogucki has testified in this civil	4	Federal Rule 26 and the work product doctrine.
5	case that he did nothing to corroborate whether	5	If you can answer the question without
6	or not Terry Rogers knew James Fletcher prior to	6	doing so, then you may proceed.
7	the Willie Sorrell homicide?	7	MR. HOLMES: Same guidance and instruction on
8	MS. WEST: Objection.	8	behalf of the Office on grounds of deliberative
9	MR. COYNE: Objection to form, foundation,	9	process privilege.
10	speculation.	10	BY THE WITNESS:
11	MS. WEST: Join and misstates the record.	11	A. I don't think I can answer that
12	BY THE WITNESS:	12	question based off of the off of work product
13	A. I don't I don't know. I I would	13	and the and my own deliberation.
14	need to know more about that to to be able	14	BY MR. STARR:
15	it would be speculation for me to say how I feel	15	Q. So are you going to take your
16	about that.	16	attorney's recommendation and refuse to answer
17	BY MR. STARR:	17	my question?
18	Q. Well, you understand that Terry Rogers	18	A. Respectfully, yes.
19	said that he could identify James Fletcher	19	Q. Okay. Did you have any concerns that
20	because he knew him prior to the crime, correct?	20	Terry Roger's identification of James Fletcher
21	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.	21	was not credible?
22	MS. WEST: Join.	22	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.
23	MR. COYNE: And also mischaracterizes the	23	Also, the same instruction is, if you're talking
24	witness's testimony.	24	about your if you would need to reveal your
	miness s testimony.		account your in you would need to re-real your
	Page 230		Page 232
1	MS. WEST: Yes. Join.	1	mental impressions about the evidence in this
2	BY THE WITNESS:	2	case, same instruction.
3	A. I understand I have read some things in	3	MR. HOLMES: Same objection and instruction
4	some reports and documents to prepare for this	4	on behalf of the Office on grounds of
5	deposition today that say that say the gist	5	deliberative process privilege.
6	of what you just said.	6	BY THE WITNESS:
7	BY MR. STARR:	7	A. Could you repeat the question, please?
8	Q. Okay. Given that, would you expect	8	BY MR. STARR:
9	in your experience as a Felony Review State's	9	Q. Yeah.
10	Attorney, would you expect and anticipate the	10	Did you have any concerns that Terry
11	police to have corroborated that piece of	11	Rogers's identification of James Fletcher was
12	evidence?	12	not credible when you were at Cook County
13	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation,	13	State's Attorney Felony Review with the
14	incomplete hypothetical. Requires speculation.	14	State's Attorney?
15	MS. WEST: Join.	15	MR. COYNE: Same objection. Same instruction
16	BY THE WITNESS:	16	on work product.
17	A. Not necessarily.	17	MR. HOLMES: Likewise, as to the State's
18	BY MR. STARR:	18	Attorney's Office as to deliberative process
19	Q. Why would you not expect them to	19	privilege.
20	corroborate that?	20	BY THE WITNESS:
21	MR. COYNE: Objection.	21	A. I don't recall.
22	Also, Jennifer, I will repeat the	22	BY MR. STARR:
23	earlier instruction. If you have to offer your	23	Q. Okay. If you had had evidence that
24	opinion, your mental impressions about the	24	Terry Rogers's identification of James Fletcher

Page 233 Page 235 1 was false, is that something that you would have 1 Edward Cooper that we looked at, which was 2 2 Exhibit No. 7; is that correct? necessarily documented? 3 3 MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation, A. I believe so. 4 incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness 4 Q. Are you aware that Edward Cooper has 5 5 to speculate. testified in this civil matter in a deposition 6 6 BY THE WITNESS: that in 2002, two white police officers came to 7 A. I can't answer that question without 7 his home and told them -- told him who to 8 8 identify in this case? speculating. 9 9 A. I am unaware of any deposition BY MR. STARR: 10 10 testimony by Mr. Cooper. Q. Well, don't you -- wouldn't you have 11 had an ethical and a legal obligation under 11 O. Are you aware that Mr. Cooper has 12 Brady to document that information, if you had 12 testified that he was -- that he told the two 13 learned that Terry's Rogers's identification of 13 white officers that he was unable to identify 14 Mr. Fletcher was false? 14 the suspect in the Willie Sorrell shooting? 15 15 MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation, A. I am not aware of that. 16 incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness 16 Q. Did the police ever provide you with 17 17 any documentation that Edward Cooper told them to speculate. 18 MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) objection as to the 18 in 2002 that he was unable to identify James 19 19 Fletcher? Office. 20 BY THE WITNESS: 20 A. I am -- don't recall. 21 A. I don't believe I can fully answer that 21 Q. If the police had told you or provided 22 question without speculating, so I -- I can't 22 you with documentation that Edward Cooper had 23 23 answer that. told them in 2002 that he was unable to identify BY MR. STARR: 24 anyone in the Willie Sorrell shooting, is that 24 Page 234 Page 236 1 Q. Were you aware that Terry Rogers had a something that you would have documented or 1 2 2 pending felony charge when he identified James captured in the file? 3 3 Fletcher? MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. 4 Requires the witness to speculate. Incomplete 4 A. I don't recall. 5 5 Q. Do you have any independent hypothetical. 6 6 recollection of the witness Edward Cooper? BY THE WITNESS: 7 A. I do not. 7 A. It -- it may. But it -- it would 8 8 Q. And you're aware that Mr. Cooper require me to speculate, so I'm not 100 percent 9 9 comfortable answering that question. testified -- strike that. 10 10 BY MR. STARR: Are you aware that Mr. Cooper testified 11 11 at James Fletcher's criminal trial? Q. Are you aware that Edward Cooper has 12 12 testified that the police showed him a photo 13 13 Q. Are you aware that Mr. Cooper has array and pointed out a photo and told him that 14 recanted his identification of James Fletcher 14 was the suspect? 15 since the time that he testified? 15 A. I'm unaware of whatever -- I'm unaware 16 16 A. I think I was shown a document this -of Edward Cooper testifying really in anything. 17 well, sometime today -- I don't even know what 17 Q. Did the police ever tell you or provide 18 time it is -- when the -- when Ms. West was 18 you with any documentation that they showed 19 questioning me that may have indicated that, but 19 Edward Cooper a photo array and pointed out the 20 I -- I didn't review that as well as some of the 20 suspect? 21 other documents. So I'm -- I -- I may have 21 A. That they showed him a photo array and 22 that the police pointed out a suspect to Cooper? 22 learned that today. 23 Q. Okay. I think you were probably 23 Q. Correct. 24 referring to the affidavit or the declaration of 24 A. I am -- I am not aware of that.

Page 237 Page 239 1 Q. If the police had told you that they 1 Q. If Ed Cooper had told you that the 2 2 had shown Cooper a photo array and pointed out police had unduly influenced him to identify 3 3 the suspect in the photo array for Cooper, is somebody, wouldn't it have been mindful of your 4 that something that you would have necessarily 4 obligations as a prosecutor to document that 5 5 had an obligation to document or capture in the somewhere? 6 6 State's Attorney's file? MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. 7 MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation, 7 Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness 8 8 incomplete hypothetical. It requires the to speculate. 9 9 witness to speculate. BY THE WITNESS: 10 10 MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) objection on behalf of A. I would be mindful of my obligations as 11 the Office. 11 a prosecutor, but I cannot -- I can't answer 12 BY THE WITNESS: 12 that question, which is a hypothetical question 13 A. It would require me to speculate to 13 that requires me to speculate. 14 give a complete answer. But if the police told 14 BY MR. STARR: 15 15 me that they -- well, it would require me to Q. Well, since there is nothing in the 16 speculate, and I don't think I could answer that 16 record that indicates that you documented that 17 17 question. Ed Cooper told you that the police had unduly 18 BY MR. STARR: 18 influenced him to identify Mr. Fletcher, is it 19 19 Q. Well, if the police told you that they safe to assume that you never learned that from 20 unduly influenced the photo array in this case, 20 him? 21 what would you have done? 21 MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. 22 MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation, 22 Incomplete hypothetical. Requires speculation 23 23 incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness and argumentative. 24 24 MS. WEST: Join. the speculate, and I would further admonish you Page 238 Page 240 BY THE WITNESS: as to the Rule 26 instruction I gave you earlier 1 1 2 2 about revealing your decision-making process as A. While I was on review, I was mindful of 3 an attorney in this case. 3 my ethical obligations as a prosecutor. To 4 BY THE WITNESS: 4 answer that question would require me to 5 A. I would have been mindful of my ethical 5 speculate, though. 6 6 obligations as a prosecutor, and -- but I -- I BY MR. STARR: 7 cannot answer that question without getting into 7 Q. Are you aware that Ed Cooper has 8 8 my deliberative processes and work product testified that when he went to see a lineup at 9 9 information. the police station a few days later, Detectives 10 10 BY MR. STARR: Bogucki and Schalk may have shown him the same 11 11 Q. Because there is nothing in the record photo that he previously had seen at his house? to indicate that you ever documented that the 12 A. I'm unaware of Ed -- of Mr. Cooper's 12 13 testimony. So I don't know what he -- he has 13 police, in fact, told you that they unduly 14 14 influenced a photo array with Ed Cooper, is it testified to. 15 safe to assume that you never learned that? 15 Q. If the police -- strike that. 16 16 MR. COYNE: Objection; form and foundation. Did the police ever tell you that they 17 Incomplete hypothetical. Requires speculation 17 provided -- strike that. 18 and argumentative. 18 Did Detectives Bogucki and Schalk ever 19 MS. WEST: Join. 19 tell you that when Ed Cooper came to view the 20 BY THE WITNESS: 20 lineup at the police station, they showed him 21 A. I certainly don't recall that, but what 21 James Fletcher's photo prior to viewing the you're asking me to do is -- is to speculate, 22 lineup? 22 23 and I can't do that either. 23 A. I don't recall that. 24 BY MR. STARR: 24 Q. If the police had told you that they

Page 241		Page 243
1 had shown Ed Cooper James Fletcher's photo prior	1	BY MR. STARR:
to him viewing the lineup, is that something	2	Q. Did Ed Cooper tell you anything
3 that you would have documented or captured	3	exculpatory about the Willie Sorrell homicide
4 within the State's Attorney's file?	4	investigation that you didn't document?
5 MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.	5	A. I don't recall a conversations with
6 Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness	6	Mr. Cooper. I have no independent recollection.
7 to speculate.	7	Q. Do you have any independent
8 MS. WEST: Join.	8	recollection of a witness by the name of Sheene
9 BY THE WITNESS:	9	Friend?
10 A. I can't answer that, because it would	10	A. I do not have an independent
11 require me to speculate, but I would be mindful	11	recollection of Sheene Friend.
12 of my ethical obligations as a prosecutor.	12	Q. Are you aware that Friend's testimony
13 BY MR. STARR:	13	helped convict James Fletcher?
14 Q. Did Ed Cooper ever tell you that when	14	A. I am unaware of what happened at trial.
15 he went to view the lineup at the police	15	Q. Are you aware that Sheene Friend has
16 station, the police showed him a photo of James	16	signed a declaration recanting her
17 Fletcher prior to viewing the lineup?	17	identification of James Fletcher in the Willie
18 A. I don't have an independent basis of	18	Sorrell homicide?
19 knowledge for any conversation I may or may not	19	A. I don't recall that.
20 have had with Mr. Cooper.	20	
21 Q. If Ed Cooper had told you that when he	21	Q. Okay. Are you aware that strike that.
22 went to view a lineup at the Chicago Police	22	
23 Department, they showed him a photo of James	23	Are you aware that Sheene Friend's declaration states that she told police she
24 Fletcher and told him, "Remember, this is the	24	-
24 Fletcher and told mini, Remember, this is the	24	could not identify the shooter in the Willie
Page 242		Page 244
guy you identified in the photo array," is that	1	Sorrell homicide?
2 something that you would have had to necessarily	, 2	A. I don't recall ever seeing that
document as a Cook County State's Attorney?	3	declaration.
4 MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.	4	Q. Did the police ever tell you or provide
5 Incomplete hypothetical. It requires the	5	you with any documentation that Sheene Friend
6 witness to speculate.	6	told them that she was unable to make an
7 BY THE WITNESS:	7	identification in the Sorrell shooting?
8 A. It requires I can't answer that	8	A. I don't recall that.
9 question. It requires me to speculate. But I	9	Q. If the police had told you that Sheene
10 was when I was on review and today I am	10	Friend was unable to make an identification in
11 mindful of my ethical obligations as a	11	the Sorrell shooting, is that something that you
12 prosecutor.	12	would have documented or captured within the
13 BY MR. STARR:	13	State's Attorney's file.
14 Q. And because there is nothing in the	14	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.
15 file or in the record to indicate that you	15	Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness
16 documented that you learned that either from the	16	to speculate.
police or from Ed Cooper himself, is it safe to	17	BY THE WITNESS:
18 assume that you never learned that?	18	A. I can't answer that question, because
19 MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.	19	it requires me to speculate.
20 Requires speculation. Incomplete hypothetical	20	BY MR. STARR:
21 and argumentative.	21	Q. Are you aware that Sheene Friend has
22 BY THE WITNESS:	22	stated that when the police showed her a photo
A. I can't answer that question, because	23	array, she identified someone other than James
24 it requires me to speculate.	24	Fletcher?

	Page 245		Page 247
1	A. I'm I'm unaware of having a I	1	MR. COYNE: Objection. Mischaracterizes the
2	have no independent recollection of having a	2	testimony and assume facts not in evidence,
3	conversation with Ms. Friend. I am unaware of	3	form.
4	what she has has said in this case. And I	4	And I would remind you of the
5	I have no independent recollection of that.	5	admonition previously given, Ms. Walker, under
6	Q. Are you aware that Sheene Friend has	6	Federal Rule 26 regarding your work product
7	stated that after she identified someone	7	doctrine privilege.
8	different in the photo array, the police pointed	8	MR. HOLMES: Joined as to the Office as to
9	her at James Fletcher's photograph?	9	deliberative process privilege.
10	A. I'm not aware of that	10	BY THE WITNESS:
11	MS. WEST: Misstates sorry. Let me just	11	A. I don't recall that. I don't recall
12	get a belated objection on	12	I don't have an independent basis of knowledge
13	THE WITNESS: Sorry.	13	for for any conversation I may have had with
14	MS. WEST: that it misstates the record.	14	Ms. Friend.
15	Go ahead.	15	BY MR. STARR:
16	BY THE WITNESS:	16	Q. If you had learned any evidence
17	A. I am not aware of that.	17	strike that.
18	BY MR. STARR:	18	If you had learned that Sheene Friend's
19	Q. Did Sheene Friend ever tell you that	19	identification of James Fletcher was false,
20	the police unduly influenced her identification	20	would you have turned would you have
21	of James Fletcher?	21	documented that or captured that information in
22	A. I do not recall that.	22	the State's Attorney's file?
23	Q. If Sheene Friend had told you that the	23	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.
24	police unduly influenced her identification of	24	Requires speculation. Incomplete hypothetical.
	ponce undury influenced her fuentification of		requires speculation. Incomplete hypothetical.
	Page 246		Page 248
1	Page 246  James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide,	1	Page 248 BY THE WITNESS:
1 2	_	1 2	_
	James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide,		BY THE WITNESS:
2	James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide, would you have necessarily documented that or	2	BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without
2	James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide, would you have necessarily documented that or captured it within the State's Attorney's file?	2 3	BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating, so I'm not able to answer.
2 3 4	James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide, would you have necessarily documented that or captured it within the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.	2 3 4	BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating, so I'm not able to answer. BY MR. STARR:
2 3 4 5	James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide, would you have necessarily documented that or captured it within the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness	2 3 4 5	BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating, so I'm not able to answer.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. If you had learned that Sheene
2 3 4 5 6	James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide, would you have necessarily documented that or captured it within the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness to speculate.	2 3 4 5 6	BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating, so I'm not able to answer.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. If you had learned that Sheene Friend strike that.
2 3 4 5 6 7	James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide, would you have necessarily documented that or captured it within the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness to speculate. BY THE WITNESS:	2 3 4 5 6 7	BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating, so I'm not able to answer.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. If you had learned that Sheene  Friend strike that.  If Sheene Friend told you that she had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide, would you have necessarily documented that or captured it within the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness to speculate. BY THE WITNESS:  A. Because I would have to speculate to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating, so I'm not able to answer. BY MR. STARR:  Q. If you had learned that Sheene Friend strike that.  If Sheene Friend told you that she had falsely identified James Fletcher, you would have an ethical obligation and duty to disclose that; wouldn't you have?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide, would you have necessarily documented that or captured it within the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. Because I would have to speculate to answer that question, I cannot answer it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating, so I'm not able to answer. BY MR. STARR:  Q. If you had learned that Sheene Friend strike that.  If Sheene Friend told you that she had falsely identified James Fletcher, you would have an ethical obligation and duty to disclose
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide, would you have necessarily documented that or captured it within the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. Because I would have to speculate to answer that question, I cannot answer it.  BY MR. STARR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating, so I'm not able to answer. BY MR. STARR:  Q. If you had learned that Sheene Friend strike that.  If Sheene Friend told you that she had falsely identified James Fletcher, you would have an ethical obligation and duty to disclose that; wouldn't you have?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide, would you have necessarily documented that or captured it within the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. Because I would have to speculate to answer that question, I cannot answer it.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Because there is no documentation in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating, so I'm not able to answer. BY MR. STARR:  Q. If you had learned that Sheene Friend strike that.  If Sheene Friend told you that she had falsely identified James Fletcher, you would have an ethical obligation and duty to disclose that; wouldn't you have?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide, would you have necessarily documented that or captured it within the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. Because I would have to speculate to answer that question, I cannot answer it.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Because there is no documentation in the record that you ever indicated that either the police or Sheene Friend told you that they had un-—the police had unduly influenced her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating, so I'm not able to answer. BY MR. STARR:  Q. If you had learned that Sheene Friend strike that.  If Sheene Friend told you that she had falsely identified James Fletcher, you would have an ethical obligation and duty to disclose that; wouldn't you have?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical, and requires the witness to speculate.  MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) objection as to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide, would you have necessarily documented that or captured it within the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness to speculate. BY THE WITNESS:  A. Because I would have to speculate to answer that question, I cannot answer it. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Because there is no documentation in the record that you ever indicated that either the police or Sheene Friend told you that they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating, so I'm not able to answer. BY MR. STARR:  Q. If you had learned that Sheene Friend strike that.  If Sheene Friend told you that she had falsely identified James Fletcher, you would have an ethical obligation and duty to disclose that; wouldn't you have?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical, and requires the witness to speculate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide, would you have necessarily documented that or captured it within the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. Because I would have to speculate to answer that question, I cannot answer it.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Because there is no documentation in the record that you ever indicated that either the police or Sheene Friend told you that they had un-—the police had unduly influenced her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating, so I'm not able to answer. BY MR. STARR:  Q. If you had learned that Sheene Friend strike that.  If Sheene Friend told you that she had falsely identified James Fletcher, you would have an ethical obligation and duty to disclose that; wouldn't you have?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical, and requires the witness to speculate.  MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) objection as to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide, would you have necessarily documented that or captured it within the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness to speculate. BY THE WITNESS:  A. Because I would have to speculate to answer that question, I cannot answer it. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Because there is no documentation in the record that you ever indicated that either the police or Sheene Friend told you that they had un the police had unduly influenced her identification of James Fletcher, is it safe to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating, so I'm not able to answer. BY MR. STARR:  Q. If you had learned that Sheene Friend strike that.  If Sheene Friend told you that she had falsely identified James Fletcher, you would have an ethical obligation and duty to disclose that; wouldn't you have?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical, and requires the witness to speculate.  MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) objection as to the Office.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide, would you have necessarily documented that or captured it within the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness to speculate. BY THE WITNESS:  A. Because I would have to speculate to answer that question, I cannot answer it. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Because there is no documentation in the record that you ever indicated that either the police or Sheene Friend told you that they had un the police had unduly influenced her identification of James Fletcher, is it safe to assume that you never learned that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating, so I'm not able to answer. BY MR. STARR:  Q. If you had learned that Sheene Friend strike that.  If Sheene Friend told you that she had falsely identified James Fletcher, you would have an ethical obligation and duty to disclose that; wouldn't you have?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical, and requires the witness to speculate.  MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) objection as to the Office. BY THE WITNESS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide, would you have necessarily documented that or captured it within the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. Because I would have to speculate to answer that question, I cannot answer it.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Because there is no documentation in the record that you ever indicated that either the police or Sheene Friend told you that they had un the police had unduly influenced her identification of James Fletcher, is it safe to assume that you never learned that?  MR. COYNE: Objection. Form, foundation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating, so I'm not able to answer. BY MR. STARR:  Q. If you had learned that Sheene Friend strike that.  If Sheene Friend told you that she had falsely identified James Fletcher, you would have an ethical obligation and duty to disclose that; wouldn't you have?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical, and requires the witness to speculate.  MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) objection as to the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide, would you have necessarily documented that or captured it within the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. Because I would have to speculate to answer that question, I cannot answer it.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Because there is no documentation in the record that you ever indicated that either the police or Sheene Friend told you that they had un the police had unduly influenced her identification of James Fletcher, is it safe to assume that you never learned that?  MR. COYNE: Objection. Form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical, and argumentative.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating, so I'm not able to answer. BY MR. STARR:  Q. If you had learned that Sheene Friend strike that.  If Sheene Friend told you that she had falsely identified James Fletcher, you would have an ethical obligation and duty to disclose that; wouldn't you have?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical, and requires the witness to speculate.  MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) objection as to the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide, would you have necessarily documented that or captured it within the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. Because I would have to speculate to answer that question, I cannot answer it.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Because there is no documentation in the record that you ever indicated that either the police or Sheene Friend told you that they had un the police had unduly influenced her identification of James Fletcher, is it safe to assume that you never learned that?  MR. COYNE: Objection. Form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical, and argumentative. BY THE WITNESS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating, so I'm not able to answer. BY MR. STARR:  Q. If you had learned that Sheene Friend strike that.  If Sheene Friend told you that she had falsely identified James Fletcher, you would have an ethical obligation and duty to disclose that; wouldn't you have?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical, and requires the witness to speculate.  MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) objection as to the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating. BY MR. STARR:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide, would you have necessarily documented that or captured it within the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. Because I would have to speculate to answer that question, I cannot answer it.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Because there is no documentation in the record that you ever indicated that either the police or Sheene Friend told you that they had un the police had unduly influenced her identification of James Fletcher, is it safe to assume that you never learned that?  MR. COYNE: Objection. Form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical, and argumentative.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. It I would have to speculate to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating, so I'm not able to answer. BY MR. STARR:  Q. If you had learned that Sheene Friend strike that.  If Sheene Friend told you that she had falsely identified James Fletcher, you would have an ethical obligation and duty to disclose that; wouldn't you have?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical, and requires the witness to speculate.  MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) objection as to the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating. BY MR. STARR: Q. Did Sheene Friend tell you anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide, would you have necessarily documented that or captured it within the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. Because I would have to speculate to answer that question, I cannot answer it.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Because there is no documentation in the record that you ever indicated that either the police or Sheene Friend told you that they had un the police had unduly influenced her identification of James Fletcher, is it safe to assume that you never learned that?  MR. COYNE: Objection. Form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical, and argumentative.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. It I would have to speculate to answer that question, so I can't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating, so I'm not able to answer. BY MR. STARR:  Q. If you had learned that Sheene Friend strike that.  If Sheene Friend told you that she had falsely identified James Fletcher, you would have an ethical obligation and duty to disclose that; wouldn't you have?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical, and requires the witness to speculate.  MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) objection as to the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating. BY MR. STARR: Q. Did Sheene Friend tell you anything exculpatory about the Willie Sorrell homicide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	James Fletcher in the Willie Sorrell homicide, would you have necessarily documented that or captured it within the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. Because I would have to speculate to answer that question, I cannot answer it.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. Because there is no documentation in the record that you ever indicated that either the police or Sheene Friend told you that they had un the police had unduly influenced her identification of James Fletcher, is it safe to assume that you never learned that?  MR. COYNE: Objection. Form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical, and argumentative.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. It I would have to speculate to answer that question, so I can't.  BY MR. STARR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating, so I'm not able to answer. BY MR. STARR:  Q. If you had learned that Sheene Friend strike that.  If Sheene Friend told you that she had falsely identified James Fletcher, you would have an ethical obligation and duty to disclose that; wouldn't you have?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical, and requires the witness to speculate.  MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) objection as to the Office. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I can't answer that question without speculating. BY MR. STARR: Q. Did Sheene Friend tell you anything exculpatory about the Willie Sorrell homicide and the criminal prosecution of James Fletcher

Page 249	Page 251
1 BY THE WITNESS:	1 Go ahead.
2 A. I do not recall and I do not have an	2 BY THE WITNESS:
3 independent basis of knowledge as to what	3 A. I am aware of the declaration that
4 Ms. Friend may or may not have told me.	4 we was used an exhibit earlier today.
5 BY MR. STARR:	5 BY MR. STARR:
6 Q. Sheene Friend also had pending criminal	6 Q. Did the police ever tell you that they
7 charges against her when she was interviewed by	7 went to Willie Sorrell's home and showed him a
8 the Chicago police; isn't that correct?	8 single photo of James Fletcher and asked him to
9 MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.	9 identify him as the shooter in the Willie
10 BY THE WITNESS:	10 Sorrell homicide?
11 A. I do not recall that.	11 A. I do not recall that.
12 BY MR. STARR:	Q. If the police had told you that they
13 Q. Do you know if there were any deals or	had done that, is that something that you would
promises made to Sheene Friend in exchange for	have documented or captured within the State's
15 her statement?	15 Attorney's file?
16 MR. COYNE: Objection, form.	16 MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.
17 BY THE WITNESS:	17 Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness
18 A. I do not I do not recall that, and I	18 to speculate.
19 do not know.	19 BY THE WITNESS:
20 BY MR. STARR:	20 A. I can't answer that, as it requires me
21 Q. Do you have any independent	21 to speculate.
recollection of a witness by the name of Emmett	22 BY MR. STARR:
23 Wade?	Q. Did Emmett Wade ever tell you that the
24 A. I I do not.	police showed him a single photo and asked him
Page 250	Page 252
1 Q. Do you know whether or not you actually	1 to identify that photo as the person who shot
2 were the person that went out to Emmett Wade's	2 Willie Sorrell?
3 home with Detectives Bogucki and Schalk?	3 A. I do not recall that.
4 A. I don't I don't have a recollection	Q. If the police if Emmett Wade had
5 of of that conversation, so I can't say.	5 told you that the police had showed him a single
6 Q. Do you recall that exhibit we looked at	6 photo of James Fletcher and asked him to
7 earlier that the declarations of Emmett Wade	7 identify James Fletcher as the shooter of the
8 in which he indicated that the detectives came	8 Sorrell homicide, is that something that you
9 out with what he thought was a tall, slender	9 would have documented or captured within the
10 State's Attorney, correct?	10 State's Attorney file?
11 A. I I saw that, yes. I read that.  12 Q. All right. Are you aware of any other	11 MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. 12 Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness
12 Q. All right. Are you aware of any other 13 State's Attorneys that worked on the Willie	1 71 1
I -	13 to speculate. 14 BY THE WITNESS:
<ul> <li>Sorrell homicide investigation?</li> <li>A. In the Felony Review stage?</li> </ul>	15 A. As I would have to speculate, I
16 Q. Yes.	16 would I can't answer that question.
17 A. I am not.	17 BY MR. STARR:
18 Q. Are you aware that Emmett Wade has	18 O. Because we have no document strike
19 signed a declaration stating that the police	19 that.
20 came to his home and showed him a single photo	20 Because there is no documentation in
of someone named Fletcher and asked him to	21 the record that was made by you that you learned
22 identify him in the Willie Sorrell shooting?	22 either from the police or from Mr. Wade that the
23 MR. COYNE: Object as to form. Asked and	23 police showed Mr. Wade a single photo of James
24 answered.	Fletcher and asked him to ID him as the shooter

	Page 253		Page 255
1	in the Sorrell homicide, is it safe to assume	1	A. I don't have any independent
2	that you never learned that?	2	recollection of speaking with Mr. Wade.
3	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation,	3	Q. Did Mr. Wade tell you anything about
4	incomplete hypothetical. Requires speculation	4	his inability or ability to identify
5	and argumentative.	5	Mr. Fletcher in the shooting of Willie Sorrell?
6	BY THE WITNESS:	6	A. I don't have any independent
7	A. I can't answer that, because it	7	recollection of a conversation with Mr. Wade.
8	requires me to speculate.	8	Q. Do you have any involvement in the
9	BY MR. STARR:	9	appeal that Mr. Fletcher filed?
10	Q. Are you aware that Mr. Wade has	10	A. No.
11	testified in this civil case that when the	11	Q. Were you contacted at all during the
12	police came to his house and showed him the	12	pendency of Mr. Fletcher's postconviction
13	single photograph, that the person that he	13	petition by anybody in the State's Attorney's
14	thought was the State's Attorney was also	14	Office to opine about your role in the case?
15	present in his home?	15	MR. HOLMES: I object on behalf of the
16	A. I'm unaware of any testimony in the	16	State's Attorney's Office on grounds of
17	civil case. I am unaware of any other testimony	17	deliberative process privilege and instruct the
18	given by Mr. Wade.	18	witness not to answer to the extent that her
19	Q. Are you aware that Mr. Wade has	19	answer would reflect communications or
20	testified in this civil case that when the	20	deliberations by the Office in regard to any
21	police showed him the single photo, he has	21	appeal proceedings involving Mr. Fletcher.
22	testified that he does not think that the	22	MR. COYNE: Yeah. Actually, can I hear the
23	State's Attorney was within physical proximity	23	question back, too, Kari? Part of it I didn't
24	enough to see what was happening between him and	24	hear.
	Page 254		Page 256
1	the police?	1	MR. STARR: Let me let me just ask it
2	A. I'm unaware of of Mr. Wade's the	2	again. I will ask it I don't know if this is
3	contents of Mr. Wade's testimony in the civil	3	going to solve the problem.
4	case. And until you said he did, I'm unaware	4	BY MR. STARR:
5	that he testified at all.	5	Q. Did you have do you recall having
6	Q. If Mr. Wade has testified that based on	6	any involvement in the postconviction
7	his recollection the person he thought was the	7	proceedings of James Fletcher?
8	State's Attorney was not in a physical proximity	8	A. I do not recall that.
9	to see what the police were showing him, do you	9	Q. Did anyone interview you during the
10	have any reason to doubt his representation?	10	pendency of the postconviction petition that
11	MS. WEST: Objection.	11	James Fletcher filed in his case?
12	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation,	12	MR. HOLMES: Objection. I
13	incomplete hypothetical and requires	13	THE WITNESS: Sure.
14	speculation.	14	MR. HOLMES: The objection is on the grounds
15	MS. WEST: Objection. Misstates the record	15	of deliberative process privilege on behalf of
16	and speculation.	16	the Office and instruct the witness not to
17	BY THE WITNESS:	17	answer to the extent her answer would reveal
18	A. Because I would have to speculate, I	18	communications or deliberations of the office in
19	cannot answer.	19	regard to those proceedings just referenced by
20	BY MR. STARR:	20	counsel.
21	Q. Did Mr. Wade tell you anything	21	BY THE WITNESS:
22	exculpatory about the police investigation into	22	A. I do not recall that happening.
0.0			
23 24	the shooting of Willie Sorrell or the criminal prosecution of James Fletcher?	23 24	BY MR. STARR:  Q. Okay. I have to ask you some specific

	D 055		5 050
	Page 257		Page 259
1	questions about your awareness of police	1	Mr. Fletcher?
2	misconduct that have been alleged in this case.	2	MR. COYNE: Objection, form.
3	The defendants in Mr. Fletcher's civil case made	3	THE WITNESS: I am not aware of that.
4	claim that the prosecutors had knowledge of the	4	BY MR. STARR:
5	defendant's alleged mis police misconduct.	5	Q. During the pendency of the Sorrell
6	Given your answers about your independent	6	homicide investigation and the prosecution of
7	recollection, we may have to approach some of	7	James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of any
8	these question as hypothetical, but I'm going to	8	undisclosed Chicago police reports?
9	ask them anyways.	9	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation and form.
10	Ma'am, did you obstruct James	10	BY THE WITNESS:
11	Fletcher's right to counsel?	11	A. Undisclosed to who?
12	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.	12	BY MR. STARR:
13	BY THE WITNESS:	13	Q. Undisclosed to the criminal defense.
14	A. I don't recall that.	14	A. I am not aware of that.
15	BY MR. STARR:	15	Q. During the pendency of the Willie
16	Q. Okay. Were you aware at any point	16	Sorrell homicide investigation and the
17	during the prosecution strike that.	17	prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever
18	Were you aware at any point during the	18	become aware of any false facts in any of the
19	investigation of the Willie Sorrell homicide or	19	Chicago police reports?
20	the prosecution of James Fletcher for that crime	20	MR. COYNE: Objection, form.
21	that the Chicago police engaged in misconduct?	21	BY THE WITNESS:
22	A. I	22	A. I am not aware of that.
23	MR. COYNE: Objection to form.	23	BY MR. STARR:
24	BY THE WITNESS:	24	Q. During the pendency of the Willie
	Page 258		Page 260
1	Page 258  A. I am not aware of that.	1	Page 260  Sorrell homicide investigation and the
1 2	_	1 2	_
	A. I am not aware of that.		Sorrell homicide investigation and the
2	A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR: Q. During the investigation of the Willie Sorrell homicide and the prosecution of James	2	Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever
2	A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR: Q. During the investigation of the Willie Sorrell homicide and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you become aware at any point that	2 3	Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of any Chicago police fabrication
2 3 4	A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR: Q. During the investigation of the Willie Sorrell homicide and the prosecution of James	2 3 4	Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of any Chicago police fabrication of evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. During the pendency of the Willie
2 3 4 5	A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR: Q. During the investigation of the Willie Sorrell homicide and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you become aware at any point that	2 3 4 5	Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of any Chicago police fabrication of evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.
2 3 4 5 6	A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR: Q. During the investigation of the Willie Sorrell homicide and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you become aware at any point that the Chicago police engaged in witness coercion	2 3 4 5 6	Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of any Chicago police fabrication of evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. During the pendency of the Willie
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR: Q. During the investigation of the Willie Sorrell homicide and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you become aware at any point that the Chicago police engaged in witness coercion in this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of any Chicago police fabrication of evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. During the pendency of the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR: Q. During the investigation of the Willie Sorrell homicide and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you become aware at any point that the Chicago police engaged in witness coercion in this case? MR. COYNE: Objection, form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of any Chicago police fabrication of evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. During the pendency of the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of the Chicago police concealing any exculpatory evidence?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. During the investigation of the Willie Sorrell homicide and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you become aware at any point that the Chicago police engaged in witness coercion in this case?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of any Chicago police fabrication of evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. During the pendency of the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of the Chicago police concealing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR: Q. During the investigation of the Willie Sorrell homicide and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you become aware at any point that the Chicago police engaged in witness coercion in this case? MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm not aware of that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of any Chicago police fabrication of evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. During the pendency of the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of the Chicago police concealing any exculpatory evidence?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. During the investigation of the Willie Sorrell homicide and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you become aware at any point that the Chicago police engaged in witness coercion in this case?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm not aware of that. BY MR. STARR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of any Chicago police fabrication of evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. During the pendency of the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of the Chicago police concealing any exculpatory evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. During the investigation of the Willie Sorrell homicide and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you become aware at any point that the Chicago police engaged in witness coercion in this case?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm not aware of that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Did you ever become aware that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of any Chicago police fabrication of evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. During the pendency of the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of the Chicago police concealing any exculpatory evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. If you had become aware of any of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. During the investigation of the Willie Sorrell homicide and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you become aware at any point that the Chicago police engaged in witness coercion in this case?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm not aware of that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Did you ever become aware that the Chicago police made promises to any of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of any Chicago police fabrication of evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. During the pendency of the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of the Chicago police concealing any exculpatory evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. If you had become aware of any of the things that I just listed for you, would you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. During the investigation of the Willie Sorrell homicide and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you become aware at any point that the Chicago police engaged in witness coercion in this case?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm not aware of that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Did you ever become aware that the Chicago police made promises to any of the witnesses in this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of any Chicago police fabrication of evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. During the pendency of the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of the Chicago police concealing any exculpatory evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. If you had become aware of any of the things that I just listed for you, would you have turned that information over either in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. During the investigation of the Willie Sorrell homicide and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you become aware at any point that the Chicago police engaged in witness coercion in this case?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm not aware of that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Did you ever become aware that the Chicago police made promises to any of the witnesses in this case? MR. COYNE: Objection, form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of any Chicago police fabrication of evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. During the pendency of the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of the Chicago police concealing any exculpatory evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. If you had become aware of any of the things that I just listed for you, would you have turned that information over either in the form — either to the defense attorneys, or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. During the investigation of the Willie Sorrell homicide and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you become aware at any point that the Chicago police engaged in witness coercion in this case?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm not aware of that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Did you ever become aware that the Chicago police made promises to any of the witnesses in this case?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of any Chicago police fabrication of evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. During the pendency of the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of the Chicago police concealing any exculpatory evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. If you had become aware of any of the things that I just listed for you, would you have turned that information over either in the form — either to the defense attorneys, or would you have documented it the State's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. During the investigation of the Willie Sorrell homicide and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you become aware at any point that the Chicago police engaged in witness coercion in this case?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm not aware of that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Did you ever become aware that the Chicago police made promises to any of the witnesses in this case?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I am not aware of that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of any Chicago police fabrication of evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. During the pendency of the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of the Chicago police concealing any exculpatory evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. If you had become aware of any of the things that I just listed for you, would you have turned that information over either in the form — either to the defense attorneys, or would you have documented it the State's Attorney's file?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. During the investigation of the Willie Sorrell homicide and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you become aware at any point that the Chicago police engaged in witness coercion in this case?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm not aware of that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Did you ever become aware that the Chicago police made promises to any of the witnesses in this case?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of any Chicago police fabrication of evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. During the pendency of the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of the Chicago police concealing any exculpatory evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. If you had become aware of any of the things that I just listed for you, would you have turned that information over either in the form — either to the defense attorneys, or would you have documented it the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form and foundation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. During the investigation of the Willie Sorrell homicide and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you become aware at any point that the Chicago police engaged in witness coercion in this case?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm not aware of that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Did you ever become aware that the Chicago police made promises to any of the witnesses in this case?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Did you ever become aware that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of any Chicago police fabrication of evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. During the pendency of the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of the Chicago police concealing any exculpatory evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. If you had become aware of any of the things that I just listed for you, would you have turned that information over either in the form — either to the defense attorneys, or would you have documented it the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form and foundation. Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. During the investigation of the Willie Sorrell homicide and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you become aware at any point that the Chicago police engaged in witness coercion in this case?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm not aware of that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Did you ever become aware that the Chicago police made promises to any of the witnesses in this case?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Did you ever become aware that the Chicago police threatened any of the witnesses	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of any Chicago police fabrication of evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. During the pendency of the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of the Chicago police concealing any exculpatory evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. If you had become aware of any of the things that I just listed for you, would you have turned that information over either in the form — either to the defense attorneys, or would you have documented it the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form and foundation. Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness to speculate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. During the investigation of the Willie Sorrell homicide and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you become aware at any point that the Chicago police engaged in witness coercion in this case?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I'm not aware of that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Did you ever become aware that the Chicago police made promises to any of the witnesses in this case?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Did you ever become aware that the Chicago police threatened any of the witnesses in this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of any Chicago police fabrication of evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. During the pendency of the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of the Chicago police concealing any exculpatory evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. If you had become aware of any of the things that I just listed for you, would you have turned that information over either in the form — either to the defense attorneys, or would you have documented it the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form and foundation. Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness to speculate. BY THE WITNESS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. During the investigation of the Willie Sorrell homicide and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you become aware at any point that the Chicago police engaged in witness coercion in this case?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I'm not aware of that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Did you ever become aware that the Chicago police made promises to any of the witnesses in this case?  MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS:  A. I am not aware of that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. Did you ever become aware that the Chicago police threatened any of the witnesses in this case?  A. I am not aware of that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of any Chicago police fabrication of evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. During the pendency of the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation and the prosecution of James Fletcher, did you ever become aware of the Chicago police concealing any exculpatory evidence?  A. I am not aware of that.  Q. If you had become aware of any of the things that I just listed for you, would you have turned that information over either in the form — either to the defense attorneys, or would you have documented it the State's Attorney's file?  MR. COYNE: Objection; form and foundation. Incomplete hypothetical and requires the witness to speculate.  BY THE WITNESS:  A. I cannot answer that because it

	Page 261		Page 263
1	Q. Do you have any involvement in the	1	cases?
2	criminal prosecution of James Fletcher?	2	MR. COYNE: Objection, form and foundation.
3	A. No.	3	BY THE WITNESS:
4	Q. What do you understand undue or	4	A. I am not aware of that.
5	wrongful influence in the context of police	5	BY MR. STARR:
6	identification procedures to mean?	6	Q. If you had during the Willie Sorrell
7	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.	7	prosecution while you were working on it as a
8	MR. HOLMES: 26(a)(2) objection on behalf of	8	Felony Review prosecutor and you had learned
9	the Office.	9	that Detectives Bogucki and Schalk had unduly
10	BY THE WITNESS:	10	influenced other identification procedures in
11	A. Could you repeat the question, please.	11	other cases, would you have documented that?
12	BY MR. STARR:	12	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.
13	Q. Yeah. Just in the interest of time,	13	Incomplete hypothetical. Requires the witness
14	I'm just going to give you my deposition	14	to speculate.
15	definition and see if you agree with it.	15	BY THE WITNESS:
16	When I say undue or wrongful influence	16	A. It requires me to speculate. I cannot
17	on identification procedures, what I'm referring	17	answer.
18	to is influence by which a person is induced to	18	MR. COYNE: Yeah. I need I need to take a
19	act otherwise than by their own free will or	19	break. I've tried to delay it as much as
20	without adequate attention to the consequences.	20	possible. I will try to make it ten minutes,
21	Does that make sense?	21	so
22	A. Yes.	22	MR. STARR: John, I've got I've got like
23	MR. COYNE: Objection, form.	23	five more questions.
24	BY MR. STARR:	24	MR. COYNE: All right. Well, just let me do
			_
1	Q. Okay. Did Detective Bogucki strike	1	this. You've got five questions? Give me a
		I	
2	that.	2	minute and a half then.
3	Did you ever ask Detective Bogucki if	3	minute and a half then. MR. STARR: Okay.
3 4	Did you ever ask Detective Bogucki if he ever unduly influenced any of the	3 4	minute and a half then.  MR. STARR: Okay.  MR. COYNE: A minute and a half.
3 4 5	Did you ever ask Detective Bogucki if he ever unduly influenced any of the identification procedures that occurred during	3 4 5	minute and a half then.  MR. STARR: Okay.  MR. COYNE: A minute and a half.  MR. STARR: We are going off the record.
3 4 5 6	Did you ever ask Detective Bogucki if he ever unduly influenced any of the identification procedures that occurred during the police investigation in this case?	3 4 5 6	minute and a half then.  MR. STARR: Okay.  MR. COYNE: A minute and a half.  MR. STARR: We are going off the record.  (Whereupon, a discussion was had
3 4 5 6 7	Did you ever ask Detective Bogucki if he ever unduly influenced any of the identification procedures that occurred during the police investigation in this case? A. I	3 4 5 6 7	minute and a half then.  MR. STARR: Okay.  MR. COYNE: A minute and a half.  MR. STARR: We are going off the record.  (Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)
3 4 5 6 7 8	Did you ever ask Detective Bogucki if he ever unduly influenced any of the identification procedures that occurred during the police investigation in this case?  A. I MR. COYNE: Objection, form.	3 4 5 6 7 8	minute and a half then.  MR. STARR: Okay.  MR. COYNE: A minute and a half.  MR. STARR: We are going off the record.  (Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)  BY MR. STARR:
3 4 5 6 7 8	Did you ever ask Detective Bogucki if he ever unduly influenced any of the identification procedures that occurred during the police investigation in this case?  A. I MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS:	3 4 5 6 7 8	minute and a half then.  MR. STARR: Okay.  MR. COYNE: A minute and a half.  MR. STARR: We are going off the record.  (Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)  BY MR. STARR:  Q. All right. Ms. Walker, are you ready?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Did you ever ask Detective Bogucki if he ever unduly influenced any of the identification procedures that occurred during the police investigation in this case?  A. I MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I do not recall that.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	minute and a half then.  MR. STARR: Okay.  MR. COYNE: A minute and a half.  MR. STARR: We are going off the record.  (Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)  BY MR. STARR:  Q. All right. Ms. Walker, are you ready?  A. Sure.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Did you ever ask Detective Bogucki if he ever unduly influenced any of the identification procedures that occurred during the police investigation in this case?  A. I MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I do not recall that. BY MR. STARR:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	minute and a half then.  MR. STARR: Okay.  MR. COYNE: A minute and a half.  MR. STARR: We are going off the record.  (Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)  BY MR. STARR:  Q. All right. Ms. Walker, are you ready?  A. Sure.  Q. Okay. At any time while there were
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Did you ever ask Detective Bogucki if he ever unduly influenced any of the identification procedures that occurred during the police investigation in this case?  A. I MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I do not recall that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Do you ever asking Detective Schalk	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	minute and a half then.  MR. STARR: Okay.  MR. COYNE: A minute and a half.  MR. STARR: We are going off the record.  (Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)  BY MR. STARR:  Q. All right. Ms. Walker, are you ready?  A. Sure.  Q. Okay. At any time while there were murder charges — strike that.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Did you ever ask Detective Bogucki if he ever unduly influenced any of the identification procedures that occurred during the police investigation in this case?  A. I MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I do not recall that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Do you ever asking Detective Schalk that?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	minute and a half then.  MR. STARR: Okay.  MR. COYNE: A minute and a half.  MR. STARR: We are going off the record.  (Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)  BY MR. STARR:  Q. All right. Ms. Walker, are you ready?  A. Sure.  Q. Okay. At any time while there were murder charges — strike that.  At any time during the Willie Sorrell
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Did you ever ask Detective Bogucki if he ever unduly influenced any of the identification procedures that occurred during the police investigation in this case?  A. I MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I do not recall that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Do you ever asking Detective Schalk that? A. I do not recall that.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	minute and a half then.  MR. STARR: Okay.  MR. COYNE: A minute and a half.  MR. STARR: We are going off the record.  (Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)  BY MR. STARR:  Q. All right. Ms. Walker, are you ready?  A. Sure.  Q. Okay. At any time while there were murder charges — strike that.  At any time during the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or while there were
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Did you ever ask Detective Bogucki if he ever unduly influenced any of the identification procedures that occurred during the police investigation in this case?  A. I MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I do not recall that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Do you ever asking Detective Schalk that? A. I do not recall that. Q. When did you first become aware that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	minute and a half then.  MR. STARR: Okay.  MR. COYNE: A minute and a half.  MR. STARR: We are going off the record.  (Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)  BY MR. STARR:  Q. All right. Ms. Walker, are you ready?  A. Sure.  Q. Okay. At any time while there were murder charges — strike that.  At any time during the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or while there were murder charges pending against James Fletcher,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Did you ever ask Detective Bogucki if he ever unduly influenced any of the identification procedures that occurred during the police investigation in this case?  A. I MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I do not recall that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Do you ever asking Detective Schalk that? A. I do not recall that. Q. When did you first become aware that there were allegations that Detectives Bogucki	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	minute and a half then.  MR. STARR: Okay.  MR. COYNE: A minute and a half.  MR. STARR: We are going off the record.  (Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)  BY MR. STARR:  Q. All right. Ms. Walker, are you ready?  A. Sure.  Q. Okay. At any time while there were murder charges — strike that.  At any time during the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or while there were murder charges pending against James Fletcher, did any member of the Chicago Police Department
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Did you ever ask Detective Bogucki if he ever unduly influenced any of the identification procedures that occurred during the police investigation in this case?  A. I MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I do not recall that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Do you ever asking Detective Schalk that? A. I do not recall that. Q. When did you first become aware that there were allegations that Detectives Bogucki and Schalk had unduly influenced any of the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	minute and a half then.  MR. STARR: Okay.  MR. COYNE: A minute and a half.  MR. STARR: We are going off the record.  (Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)  BY MR. STARR:  Q. All right. Ms. Walker, are you ready?  A. Sure.  Q. Okay. At any time while there were murder charges — strike that.  At any time during the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or while there were murder charges pending against James Fletcher, did any member of the Chicago Police Department ever inform you orally or in writing or in any
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Did you ever ask Detective Bogucki if he ever unduly influenced any of the identification procedures that occurred during the police investigation in this case?  A. I MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I do not recall that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Do you ever asking Detective Schalk that? A. I do not recall that. Q. When did you first become aware that there were allegations that Detectives Bogucki and Schalk had unduly influenced any of the identifications?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	minute and a half then.  MR. STARR: Okay.  MR. COYNE: A minute and a half.  MR. STARR: We are going off the record.  (Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)  BY MR. STARR:  Q. All right. Ms. Walker, are you ready?  A. Sure.  Q. Okay. At any time while there were murder charges — strike that.  At any time during the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or while there were murder charges pending against James Fletcher, did any member of the Chicago Police Department ever inform you orally or in writing or in any way at all that either Detective Bogucki,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Did you ever ask Detective Bogucki if he ever unduly influenced any of the identification procedures that occurred during the police investigation in this case?  A. I MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I do not recall that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Do you ever asking Detective Schalk that? A. I do not recall that. Q. When did you first become aware that there were allegations that Detectives Bogucki and Schalk had unduly influenced any of the identifications?  A. When I was preparing for this	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	minute and a half then.  MR. STARR: Okay.  MR. COYNE: A minute and a half.  MR. STARR: We are going off the record.  (Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)  BY MR. STARR:  Q. All right. Ms. Walker, are you ready?  A. Sure.  Q. Okay. At any time while there were murder charges — strike that.  At any time during the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or while there were murder charges pending against James Fletcher, did any member of the Chicago Police Department ever inform you orally or in writing or in any way at all that either Detective Bogucki, Detective Schalk, Detective Noradin, or Sergeant
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Did you ever ask Detective Bogucki if he ever unduly influenced any of the identification procedures that occurred during the police investigation in this case?  A. I MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I do not recall that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Do you ever asking Detective Schalk that? A. I do not recall that. Q. When did you first become aware that there were allegations that Detectives Bogucki and Schalk had unduly influenced any of the identifications?  A. When I was preparing for this deposition.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	minute and a half then.  MR. STARR: Okay.  MR. COYNE: A minute and a half.  MR. STARR: We are going off the record.  (Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)  BY MR. STARR:  Q. All right. Ms. Walker, are you ready?  A. Sure.  Q. Okay. At any time while there were murder charges — strike that.  At any time during the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or while there were murder charges pending against James Fletcher, did any member of the Chicago Police Department ever inform you orally or in writing or in any way at all that either Detective Bogucki, Detective Schalk, Detective Noradin, or Sergeant Wojcik unduly influenced any of the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Did you ever ask Detective Bogucki if he ever unduly influenced any of the identification procedures that occurred during the police investigation in this case?  A. I MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I do not recall that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Do you ever asking Detective Schalk that? A. I do not recall that. Q. When did you first become aware that there were allegations that Detectives Bogucki and Schalk had unduly influenced any of the identifications?  A. When I was preparing for this deposition. Q. Have you ever become aware that there	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	minute and a half then.  MR. STARR: Okay.  MR. COYNE: A minute and a half.  MR. STARR: We are going off the record.  (Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)  BY MR. STARR:  Q. All right. Ms. Walker, are you ready?  A. Sure.  Q. Okay. At any time while there were murder charges — strike that.  At any time during the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or while there were murder charges pending against James Fletcher, did any member of the Chicago Police Department ever inform you orally or in writing or in any way at all that either Detective Bogucki, Detective Schalk, Detective Noradin, or Sergeant Wojcik unduly influenced any of the identification procedures in this case?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Did you ever ask Detective Bogucki if he ever unduly influenced any of the identification procedures that occurred during the police investigation in this case?  A. I MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I do not recall that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Do you ever asking Detective Schalk that? A. I do not recall that. Q. When did you first become aware that there were allegations that Detectives Bogucki and Schalk had unduly influenced any of the identifications?  A. When I was preparing for this deposition. Q. Have you ever become aware that there are other allegations by other individuals	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	minute and a half then.  MR. STARR: Okay.  MR. COYNE: A minute and a half.  MR. STARR: We are going off the record.  (Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)  BY MR. STARR:  Q. All right. Ms. Walker, are you ready?  A. Sure.  Q. Okay. At any time while there were murder charges — strike that.  At any time during the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or while there were murder charges pending against James Fletcher, did any member of the Chicago Police Department ever inform you orally or in writing or in any way at all that either Detective Bogucki, Detective Schalk, Detective Noradin, or Sergeant Wojcik unduly influenced any of the identification procedures in this case?  A. I do not recall that.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Did you ever ask Detective Bogucki if he ever unduly influenced any of the identification procedures that occurred during the police investigation in this case?  A. I MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I do not recall that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Do you ever asking Detective Schalk that? A. I do not recall that. Q. When did you first become aware that there were allegations that Detectives Bogucki and Schalk had unduly influenced any of the identifications? A. When I was preparing for this deposition. Q. Have you ever become aware that there are other allegations by other individuals regarding Detectives Bogucki and Schalk unduly	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	minute and a half then.  MR. STARR: Okay.  MR. COYNE: A minute and a half.  MR. STARR: We are going off the record.  (Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)  BY MR. STARR:  Q. All right. Ms. Walker, are you ready?  A. Sure.  Q. Okay. At any time while there were murder charges — strike that.  At any time during the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or while there were murder charges pending against James Fletcher, did any member of the Chicago Police Department ever inform you orally or in writing or in any way at all that either Detective Bogucki, Detective Schalk, Detective Noradin, or Sergeant Wojcik unduly influenced any of the identification procedures in this case?  A. I do not recall that.  Q. Does knowing that James Fletcher has
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Did you ever ask Detective Bogucki if he ever unduly influenced any of the identification procedures that occurred during the police investigation in this case?  A. I MR. COYNE: Objection, form. BY THE WITNESS: A. I do not recall that. BY MR. STARR: Q. Do you ever asking Detective Schalk that? A. I do not recall that. Q. When did you first become aware that there were allegations that Detectives Bogucki and Schalk had unduly influenced any of the identifications?  A. When I was preparing for this deposition. Q. Have you ever become aware that there are other allegations by other individuals	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	minute and a half then.  MR. STARR: Okay.  MR. COYNE: A minute and a half.  MR. STARR: We are going off the record.  (Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)  BY MR. STARR:  Q. All right. Ms. Walker, are you ready?  A. Sure.  Q. Okay. At any time while there were murder charges — strike that.  At any time during the Willie Sorrell homicide investigation or while there were murder charges pending against James Fletcher, did any member of the Chicago Police Department ever inform you orally or in writing or in any way at all that either Detective Bogucki, Detective Schalk, Detective Noradin, or Sergeant Wojcik unduly influenced any of the identification procedures in this case?  A. I do not recall that.

4	Page 265		Page 267
1	innocence affect your opinion about whether or	1	whether you have an opinion, Jennifer. But I
2	not he is guilty?	2	would instruct you not to answer if you to
3	MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation.	3	the extent you do have an opinion and as to what
4	Calls for speculation, and also if I would	4	that opinion may be.
5	instruct you not to answer any questions,	5	BY THE WITNESS:
6	including this question, Jennifer, if it would	6	
7	need or require you to offer your opinion on the	7	A. I do not have an opinion.  MR. STARR: John, I realize I want to ask her
8	evidence or your decision-making regarding this	8	
9	case and the result of this case.	9	a question about one of the exhibits that
10	MR. HOLMES: On behalf of the Office, joined	10	Allyson used.
11	<del>-</del>	11	Do you have time to spare now, or where
12	on the ground of deliberative process privilege		are you at?
	and same guidance and same instruction. BY THE WITNESS:	12	MR. COYNE: I've got about I've got
13		13	about I'm pushing this back based on your
14	A. Would you repeat the question, please?	14	representation of five questions, Sean. That
15	BY MR. STARR:	15	was an agreement we had. I recognize you as a
16	Q. Yeah.	16	man of integrity, so I will roll about five more
17	Does learning that Mr. Fletcher has	17	minutes, but then I am going to have to I'm
18	been exonerated and has received the certificate	18	going to have to take a much longer break.
19	of innocence have any bearing whatsoever on your	19	MR. STARR: Okay. All right. Let me do my
20	opinion of whether or not he is guilty or	20	best here.
21	innocent of the Willie Sorrell homicide?	21	BY MR. STARR:
22	MR. COYNE: Same objection. Same	22	Q. All right. This is I'm trying to
23	instruction.	23	share my screen.
24	MR. HOLMES: Same guidance and instruction.	24	Do you see the screen, ma'am?
	Page 266		Page 268
1	BY THE WITNESS:	1	(Walker Exhibit No. 1 published
2	A. I can't answer that.	2	to Zoom participants.)
3	BY MR. STARR:	3	BY THE WITNESS:
4	Q. Why can't you answer that?	4	A. Yes.
5	MR. HOLMES: Same guidance and answer.	5	BY MR. STARR:
6	MR. COYNE: Agreed join. Same as before	6	Q. Okay. I just wanted to ask you just a
7	given.	7	couple of questions. Allyson shared this. I
^	BY THE WITNESS:		
8	DI IIIE WIINESS.	8	believe this is marked as Exhibit No. 1.
8 9	A. It would require me to just it would	9	This is the State's Attorney's file,
		1	
9	A. It would require me to just it would require me to get into work product and	9	This is the State's Attorney's file, correct?
9 10	A. It would require me to just it would	9 10	This is the State's Attorney's file, correct?  A. It's the Felony Review folders, yes.
9 10 11	A. It would require me to just it would require me to get into work product and deliberative process, so I can't answer that. BY MR. STARR:	9 10 11	This is the State's Attorney's file, correct?  A. It's the Felony Review folders, yes. Q. Okay.
9 10 11 12	A. It would require me to just it would require me to get into work product and deliberative process, so I can't answer that.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. So are you taking your attorney's	9 10 11 12 13	This is the State's Attorney's file, correct?  A. It's the Felony Review folders, yes.  Q. Okay.  A. Or it or it looks like Felony Review
9 10 11 12 13 14	A. It would require me to just it would require me to get into work product and deliberative process, so I can't answer that.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. So are you taking your attorney's recommendation and refusing to answer my	9 10 11 12 13 14	This is the State's Attorney's file, correct?  A. It's the Felony Review folders, yes.  Q. Okay.  A. Or it or it looks like Felony Review folders. That's what it looks like.
9 10 11 12 13	A. It would require me to just it would require me to get into work product and deliberative process, so I can't answer that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. So are you taking your attorney's recommendation and refusing to answer my question?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	This is the State's Attorney's file, correct?  A. It's the Felony Review folders, yes.  Q. Okay.  A. Or it or it looks like Felony Review folders. That's what it looks like.  Q. Okay.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. It would require me to just it would require me to get into work product and deliberative process, so I can't answer that.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. So are you taking your attorney's recommendation and refusing to answer my question?  A. I am.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	This is the State's Attorney's file, correct?  A. It's the Felony Review folders, yes. Q. Okay. A. Or it or it looks like Felony Review folders. That's what it looks like. Q. Okay. A. And they say James Fletcher on them.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. It would require me to just it would require me to get into work product and deliberative process, so I can't answer that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. So are you taking your attorney's recommendation and refusing to answer my question?  A. I am. Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion about	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	This is the State's Attorney's file, correct?  A. It's the Felony Review folders, yes. Q. Okay. A. Or it or it looks like Felony Review folders. That's what it looks like. Q. Okay. A. And they say James Fletcher on them. Q. And on 3015 Bates 3015.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. It would require me to just it would require me to get into work product and deliberative process, so I can't answer that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. So are you taking your attorney's recommendation and refusing to answer my question?  A. I am. Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion about whether or not James Fletcher is guilty or	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	This is the State's Attorney's file, correct?  A. It's the Felony Review folders, yes. Q. Okay. A. Or it or it looks like Felony Review folders. That's what it looks like. Q. Okay. A. And they say James Fletcher on them. Q. And on 3015 Bates 3015. Do you see that down at the bottom,
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. It would require me to just it would require me to get into work product and deliberative process, so I can't answer that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. So are you taking your attorney's recommendation and refusing to answer my question?  A. I am.  Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion about whether or not James Fletcher is guilty or innocent of the murder of Willie Sorrell?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	This is the State's Attorney's file, correct?  A. It's the Felony Review folders, yes. Q. Okay. A. Or it or it looks like Felony Review folders. That's what it looks like. Q. Okay. A. And they say James Fletcher on them. Q. And on 3015 Bates 3015. Do you see that down at the bottom, ma'am?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. It would require me to just it would require me to get into work product and deliberative process, so I can't answer that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. So are you taking your attorney's recommendation and refusing to answer my question?  A. I am. Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion about whether or not James Fletcher is guilty or innocent of the murder of Willie Sorrell?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	This is the State's Attorney's file, correct?  A. It's the Felony Review folders, yes.  Q. Okay.  A. Or it or it looks like Felony Review folders. That's what it looks like.  Q. Okay.  A. And they say James Fletcher on them.  Q. And on 3015 Bates 3015.  Do you see that down at the bottom, ma'am?  A. Could you what's the date at the top
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. It would require me to just it would require me to get into work product and deliberative process, so I can't answer that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. So are you taking your attorney's recommendation and refusing to answer my question?  A. I am. Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion about whether or not James Fletcher is guilty or innocent of the murder of Willie Sorrell?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.  MR. HOLMES: Deliberative process objection	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	This is the State's Attorney's file, correct?  A. It's the Felony Review folders, yes. Q. Okay. A. Or it or it looks like Felony Review folders. That's what it looks like. Q. Okay. A. And they say James Fletcher on them. Q. And on 3015 Bates 3015. Do you see that down at the bottom, ma'am? A. Could you what's the date at the top of the Felony Review file, please? The 13th?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. It would require me to just it would require me to get into work product and deliberative process, so I can't answer that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. So are you taking your attorney's recommendation and refusing to answer my question?  A. I am.  Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion about whether or not James Fletcher is guilty or innocent of the murder of Willie Sorrell?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.  MR. HOLMES: Deliberative process objection on behalf of the Office.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	This is the State's Attorney's file, correct?  A. It's the Felony Review folders, yes. Q. Okay. A. Or it or it looks like Felony Review folders. That's what it looks like. Q. Okay. A. And they say James Fletcher on them. Q. And on 3015 Bates 3015. Do you see that down at the bottom, ma'am? A. Could you what's the date at the top of the Felony Review file, please? The 13th? Okay.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. It would require me to just it would require me to get into work product and deliberative process, so I can't answer that. BY MR. STARR:  Q. So are you taking your attorney's recommendation and refusing to answer my question?  A. I am. Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion about whether or not James Fletcher is guilty or innocent of the murder of Willie Sorrell?  MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.  MR. HOLMES: Deliberative process objection	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	This is the State's Attorney's file, correct?  A. It's the Felony Review folders, yes. Q. Okay. A. Or it or it looks like Felony Review folders. That's what it looks like. Q. Okay. A. And they say James Fletcher on them. Q. And on 3015 Bates 3015. Do you see that down at the bottom, ma'am? A. Could you what's the date at the top of the Felony Review file, please? The 13th?

## Page 269 Page 271 1 1 work product privilege earlier that she could Q. Okay. I'm just going to zoom in a 2 2 not answer questions regarding that indication, little bit. And this section here on the bottom 3 right-hand side, we looked at this earlier. 3 because it would require her to reveal 4 Do you recall that, ma'am? 4 privileged material. 5 5 So the same instruction as earlier A. Yes. 6 6 Q. And it says, "defendant in photo lineup given, Jennifer. 7 on 13 February '02," and then it says, "oral" --7 MR. HOLMES: I object on behalf of the 8 something crossed out -- "only - no grand jury 8 State's Attorney's Office on the grounds of 9 9 deliberative process privilege and instruct the per ASA O'Brien." 10 10 witness not to answer to the extent her answer Do you see that, ma'am? 11 A. I -- I see those words, yes. 11 would reveal deliberations of the Office in 12 Q. What is -- what does "no grand jury" 12 reference to charging decisions and grand jury 13 mean to you, ma'am? 13 presentations. 14 MS. WEST: Objection. Asked and --14 BY MR. STARR: 15 MR. COYNE: First of all, this question has 15 Q. Are you going to take your attorney's been asked and answered. And we -- it was fully 16 16 instruction and not answer my questions? 17 vetted and -- prior in the deposition, number 17 18 18 one. Q. And maybe I can short-circuit this. 19 Number two, the same instruction. The 19 Are you going to take that same 20 witness -- as you may recall, Sean, we took a 20 instruction and refuse to answer any questions I 21 break for the witness to confer with counsel, 21 might ask you about this notation of "no grand 22 22 jury"? and the witness stated that she could not answer 23 this question based upon work product and/or 23 A. Most likely. 24 deliberative process privilege. 24 Q. Okay. Let me ask you some questions Page 270 Page 272 1 1 about 3024, which I will see if I can give you So this has all been gone over. We're 2 2 just retreading material that's already been the date as well. I believe the date is 3 addressed in this -- and resolved in this 3 March 16, 2002 on this one. 4 4 deposition earlier, just for the record. A. All right. 5 5 MR. STARR: I don't know if I would agree Q. Okay. Do you see this 3024 down here? 6 6 A. Yes. I see -- I have that -that it was resolved, but I'll try to reask it. 7 BY MR. STARR: 7 Q. Okay. 8 8 A. -- in front of me. Q. Is that a -- is that a notation that 9 9 you would commonly see in a State's Attorney's Q. It's this box about the witness Emmett 10 10 Felony Review file? Wade. 11 11 A. Yes. MR. COYNE: Objection, form. 12 BY THE WITNESS: 12 Q. Okay. And do you see the "Means of ID" A. I'm not sure what you mean by "commonly 13 13 column here? see." It is -- it's a notation that could be A. I -- yes. The row? 14 14 15 seen in a Felony Review file based on my 15 Q. Yeah. And so there is several 16 experience in Felony Review review back in 2002. 16 different categories within this: "Photo Array, 17 BY MR. STARR: 17 Lineup Show-up, Knows Defendant," and one that 18 18 says, "No ID." Q. Is one of the reasons why that notation 19 might be made as a general matter of practice 19 Do you see that? 20 because the witness may not be a good witness to 20 A. Well, I see "Means of ID. Knows" -- I 21 21 see "Means of ID" -- where did I -- where was testify to the grand jury? 22 22 it? "Photo Array, Lineup, Show-up, Knows MR. COYNE: Objection; form, foundation. 23 Once again, this line of questioning has been 23 Defendant," and then I see a scribble. 24 precluded by the witness's invocation of the 24 Q. Yeah. If you look closely at it it

	Page 273		Page 275
1	says, "No ID." Or if you look in the next box	1	understand when you say it looks like another
2	down you can see that that is where "No ID"	2	interview, I don't know what that is.
3	falls, correct?	3	MR. COYNE: And let me interject.
4	A. Correct.	4	Sean, in all in all at long last,
5	Q. Do you know why there is a scribble	5	okay, there they have been multiple material
6	over "No ID" there?	6	representations of how much more time you had.
7	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.	7	You have said five more questions. It's now
8	BY THE WITNESS:	8	been more than ten. You've said five more
9	A. I do not.	9	minutes. I have allowed that.
10	BY MR. STARR:	10	Now, I have to take a break, a
11	Q. Okay. And this purports to be an	11	significant break, because I have awaited and in
12	interview with Mr. Wade that occurred on	12	good faith relied upon your representations, and
13	March 16th, 2002, correct?	13	I shouldn't have. So I'm just telling you.
14	A. Well	14	MR. STARR: John, John
15	MR. COYNE: Object, asked and answered. This	15	MR. COYNE: I have to take a break.
16	was all gone over before.	16	MR. STARR: I also didn't expect you to have
17	Go ahead. You can answer it all again.	17	to take a break for your own private practice
18	BY THE WITNESS:	18	during this deposition.
19	A. So I don't recall making this document.	19	MR. COYNE: Well, this you know, it's
20	Although, the handwriting does look like it	20	when I have to take a break of for is my
21	could be my handwriting. I don't have any	21	business. The fact is I haven't taken any
22	independent recollection of my any	22	significant break other than taking an emergency
23	conversation with Mr. Wade. I do see the words	23	phone call that lasted approximately three
24	on the page, and the document says what it says.	24	minutes.
1	BY MR. STARR:	1	Now, if you're going to make
2	Q. Okay. And so my question is, is it	2	representations, Sean, you should stick by them,
3	your understanding that this is an interview	3	because otherwise people rely on them to their
4	that took place on March 16, 2002?	4	detriment.
5	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation.	5	MR. STARR: You can admonish your client.
6	BY THE WITNESS:	6	You're not going to admonish me, John.
7	A. The the document says there was an	7	MR. COYNE: I'm not admonishing you. I'm
8	interview by ASA Walker on March 16th of 2002.	8	just saying you have made material
9	BY MR. STARR:	9	representations. Those representations have
10	Q. Okay. And then on 3030, which the date	10	been replied upon, and you have violated those
11	is April 20, 2002, there is a date at the top	11	representations
12	there.	12	MR. STARR: And just like
13	This is a this is appears to be	13	MR. COYNE: for the record.
14	another interview with Mr. Wade, correct?	14	MR. STARR: we scheduled the deposition
15	MR. COYNE: Objection, foundation. Asked and	15	for 10:00 today. We didn't anticipate you
16	answered.	16	taking a personal break to do your own work.
17	BY THE WITNESS:	17	MR. COYNE: You can go until 8:00 if you
18	A. Well, this is another document that I	18	want. What I said was I need a break, and that
	do not have an independent recollection of	19	is not unreasonable, nor is it unexpected, and
19	4' T 1 4 11 4 1 1	20	it's going to have to last a significant period
19 20	creating. I do not recollect having any		
20 21	having a conversation with Mr. Wade as I sit	21	of time. Now, I
20 21 22	having a conversation with Mr. Wade as I sit here today. The document says the words	22	MR. STARR: I will
20 21	having a conversation with Mr. Wade as I sit		

	Page 277		Page 279
1	MR. COYNE: Let me finish, Sean. I will wait	1	file, correct?
2	until 4:50. At 4:50 I'm leaving for 25 minutes.	2	A. I have no idea.
3	MR. STARR: Okay. I will be done at 4:50,	3	Q. Okay. My last question is this: Based
4	John.	4	on the documents we looked at earlier, your
5	MR. COYNE: All right.	5	initial strike that.
6	BY MR. STARR:	6	Based on the documents it appears that
7	Q. Ms. Walker, do you see this document in	7	your initial interview with Mr. Rogers took
8	front of you?	8	place on February 13, 2002.
9	A. I do.	9	Do you remember seeing that?
10	Q. Is this the page you referred to	10	A. I
11	earlier as being the page that you're not sure	11	MS. WEST: Asked and answered.
12	who wrote this page?	12	BY THE WITNESS:
13	A. Correct.	13	A. I have no independent recollection of
14	Q. Okay. And do you see you reviewed	14	any conversation I had with Mr. Rogers, and I
15	this in preparation, right?	15	don't know when that took place.
16	A. Yes.	16	BY MR. STARR:
17	Q. Okay. And do you see on this page	17	Q. Okay.
18	letter C it says, "Sheene Friend -	18	A. If I if I spoke with him, I don't
19	inconsistent/17 years old at the time"?	19	know when that happened.
20	A. I see those words, yes.	20	Q. If I represent to you that Mr. Rogers's
21	Q. Do you know who wrote this, ma'am?	21	handwritten statement was taken on May 8th,
22	A. I have no idea.	22	2002, would you believe that representation?
23	Q. Do you have any recollection of	23	MR. COYNE: Objection. Foundation,
24	thinking that Sheene Friend's identification of	24	speculation, and form.
	thinking that sheere I field 3 identification of		speculation, and form.
	Page 278		Page 280
1	Mr. Fletcher was inconsistent?	1	BY THE WITNESS:
2	MS. WEST: Objection, foundation.	2	A. I I'm not trying to fight with you
3	MR. COYNE: Objection. The same instruction	3	that that wasn't the date, but I don't have an
4	as work product given earlier.	4	independent recollection that it was.
5	MR. HOLMES: Same instruction and same	5	BY MR. STARR:
6	guidance as to deliberative process privilege.	6	Q. Do you know why three months elapsed
7	BY MR. STARR:	7	between the initial interview of Mr. Rogers and
8	Q. Are you going to refuse to answer my	8	his handwritten statements?
9	question, ma'am?	9	MR. COYNE: Objection; foundation,
10	A. Yes.	10	speculation.
11	Q. Okay. Does this document indicate to	11	BY THE WITNESS:
12	you that somebody in the State's Attorney's	12	A. I do not.
13	Office thought that Ms. Friend's identification	13	BY MR. STARR:
14	of Mr. Fletcher was inconsistent?	14	Q. Do you recall looking at that
15	MS. WEST: Objection.	15	handwritten statement earlier?
16	MR. COYNE: Objection; foundation,	16	A. Prior to prepare for today? Yes.
17	speculation. Same instruction.	17	Q. And then we looked at during the course
18	MS. WEST: Same. Sorry. It also misstates	18	of the deposition, correct?
19	or interprets a document that speaks for itself.	19	A. Yes.
20	BY THE WITNESS:	20	Q. Okay. Last I'm just going to show
	A. I have no idea who created that	21	it to you.
21			Th
22	document or where it came from.	22	Do you see this document on your
	document or where it came from.  BY MR. STARR:  Q. But it's part of the State's Attorney's	22 23 24	Do you see this document on your screen?

	Page 281	Page 283
	-	
1	(Walker Exhibit No. 4 published	1 (Deposition concluded at
2	to Zoom participants.)	2 4:48 p.m.)
3	BY THE WITNESS:	3
4	A. Yes.	4
5	BY MR. STARR:	5
6	Q. And I think we marked this as Exhibit	6
7	No. 4.	7
8	Ma'am, do you know why Detective	8
9	Bogucki's name and Detective Schalk's name are	9
10	on this document, but then they are crossed out?	10
11	A. I don't recall, and I would have to	11
12	speculate to answer.	12
13	Q. Do you know if Detective Bogucki and	13
14	Detective Schalk sat in on this statement that	14
15	Terry Rogers purportedly gave in May 8th, 2002?	15
16	MR. COYNE: Objection, form and foundation.	16
17	BY THE WITNESS:	17
18	A. I don't have I don't have an	18
19	independent recollection of the of	19
20	conversations with Mr. Rogers or taking	20
21	Mr. Rogers's statement, so I can't answer	21
22	without speculating.	22
23	MR. STARR: Okay. Okay. I'm for the	23
24	record, I'm just going to state that I'm going	24
2 1	record, Thi just going to state that Thi going	
	Page 282	
1	to end my questions now understanding, John,	
2	that you have something to take care of.	
3	If Ms. West attempts to bring back this witness,	
4	I will have additional questions, but for the	
5	purposes of what we discussed on the record and	
6	not to belabor the point, I will I have no	
7	further questions.	
8	MR. COYNE: All right.	
9	MS. WEST: I don't have anything else.	
10	MR. COYNE: Jennifer, you have the right to	
11	review your transcript. I would reserve that	
12	right. You may want to I would suggest so	
13	the witness reserves signature, and thank you,	
14	everyone.	
15	MR. STARR: Thank you.	
16	MS. WEST: Thank you, Ms. Walker.	
17	THE COURT REPORTER: Do you need to order the	
18	transcript, Ms. West?	
19	MS. WEST: Yes, please.	
20		
	THE COURT REPORTER: Any copy orders?	
21	MR. COYNE: No, none here.	
22	MR. STARR: None.	
23	MR. HOLMES: I don't, Kari. Thank you.	
24		

```
Page 284
 1
            IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
              NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
 2
                     EASTERN DIVISION
 3
    JAMES FLETCHER JR.,
           Plaintiff,
 5
                                ) No. 20 CV 4786
     VS.
 6
    JEROME BOGUCKI, ANTHONY
     NORADIN, RAYMOND SCHALK,
 7
    ANTHONY WOJCIK, UNKNOWN
     CITY OF CHICAGO POLICE
 8
     OFFICERS, and the CITY
     OF CHICAGO,
 9
            Defendants.
10
            I, JENNIFER WALKER, being first duly
11
12
     sworn, on oath say that I am the deponent in the
13
     aforesaid deposition taken on February 14, 2024;
14
    that I have read the foregoing transcript of my
15
    deposition, and affix my signature to same.
16
                           JENNIFER WALKER
17
18
     Subscribed and sworn to
    before me this __ day
    of , 2024.
19
20
2.1
     Notary Public
22
23
24
```

	Page 285
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
3	EASTERN DIVISION
4	I, Kari Wiedenhaupt, Certified
5	Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that on
6	February 14, 2024, the deposition of the
7	witness, JENNIFER WALKER, called by the
8	Defendant, was taken before me, reported
9	stenographically, and was thereafter reduced to
10	typewriting under my direction.
11	The said deposition was taken via
12	videoconference, and there were present counsel
13	as previously set forth.
14	The said witness, JENNIFER WALKER, was
15	first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole
16	truth, and nothing but the truth, and was then
17	examined upon oral interrogatories.
18	I further certify that the foregoing
19	is a true, accurate, and complete record of the
20	questions asked of and answers made by the said
21	witness, JENNIFER WALKER, on the date and time
22	hereinabove referred to.
23	The signature of the witness, JENNIFER
24	WALKER, was reserved by agreement of counsel.

```
Page 286
 1
               The undersigned is not interested in
     the within case, nor of kin or counsel to any of
 2
 3
     the parties.
 4
               Witness my signature as a Certified
 5
     Shorthand Reporter in the State of Illinois, on
 6
     March 5th, 2024.
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
                 Wiedenhaupt, CSR
            161 North Clark Street
16
            Suite 3050
            Chicago, Illinois 60601
17
            Phone: 312.361.8851
     CSR No. 084-003582
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

				1 agc 207
A	acting 141:10	aforesaid	alleged 82:5	153:20 154:7
<u>A</u>	193:1	284:13	257:2,5	155:17 156:15
<b>a.m</b> 1:19	action 21:2,22	afternoon	allegedly 28:23	158:4 160:4,8
<b>A5</b> 115:21,23	24:22 44:10	159:12	227:5,10 228:2	167:9,14,17,17
<b>ABA</b> 179:13			· ·	167:19,14,17,17
abbreviation	87:12,16,22	<b>agencies</b> 193:6	alleviated	
23:6 59:16	121:9	193:20	130:16	170:8,9 173:7
abbreviations	actions 22:2	agency 199:2	allowed 118:3	174:17 178:3,4
58:7,23 59:6,9	actual 33:19	<b>ago</b> 48:6 177:20	169:14 171:11	178:6 180:15
Aberdeen 2:3	add 107:22,24	190:22 191:8	171:22 172:12	181:17 185:3
abided 55:9	109:16 153:12	202:1	173:16 175:3	189:9 192:16
ability 255:4	addition 29:9	agree 17:15	175:21 275:9	199:13,15
able 18:22 27:17	164:16	56:21 63:4	<b>Allyson</b> 2:13	200:13 209:1
27:18 60:8,20	additional 89:7	127:20 128:16	5:14 17:11	210:22 211:18
94:18 137:3	97:20 99:24	139:14 261:15	53:9 57:9	211:22 212:3
158:4 174:4	107:22 282:4	270:5	60:14 106:9	231:3,5,11,16
214:5,9 217:4	address 46:24	agreed 140:12	114:13 134:4	233:7,21,23
226:10 227:10	134:6 212:10	216:4,9 266:6	153:17 157:4	237:14,16
229:14 248:3	214:7,17 216:5	agreement	215:14 216:3	238:7 239:11
absent 133:3	216:14	267:15 285:24	267:9 268:7	240:4 241:10
153:17 156:1	addressed 270:3	<b>Ah</b> 135:18	alternately	242:8,23
absolutely 8:18	adequate 261:20	ahead 15:20	29:10	244:18 246:9,9
23:22 131:22	<b>adhere</b> 189:14	32:17 37:5	amend 210:22	246:21 248:2,3
196:13	190:6	42:13,17 56:12	amounted 198:4	248:17 251:20
abstentia 59:17	adhered 191:19	59:13 79:22	analogues	252:16 253:7
access 48:7,9	admissible	83:23 99:9	189:18	254:19 255:18
161:23	181:1	113:2 119:22	and/or 33:2	255:19 256:17
accuracy 101:14	<b>admit</b> 189:6	127:14 140:6	269:23	256:17 260:22
102:7	admonish	143:13 144:9	answer 22:22,23	263:17 265:5
accurate 195:4,8	237:24 276:5,6	161:9 166:21	23:4,9,11	266:2,4,5,11
195:9,14,21	admonishing	170:24 173:21	24:13,14 26:7	266:14,23,24
196:3,8 285:19	276:7	176:4 177:10	26:9,13 27:5	267:2 269:22
accused 190:2	admonition	178:17,20	29:10 31:9,12	271:2,10,10,16
acknowledged	55:20 113:3	182:24 187:6	46:20 50:5,10	271:20 273:17
153:17	131:11 133:8	188:20 192:2	51:2,10 52:13	278:8 281:12
act 130:11,12	155:18 208:22	209:2 211:19	54:2 57:17	281:21
132:16 133:7	247:5	245:15 251:1	66:4 70:7	answered 14:13
141:8,20	advise 59:17	273:17	78:13 81:15	49:11 90:23
141:8,20	69:13	alcohol 80:8	86:10,23 90:16	102:11 150:19
153:19 155:19	advised 69:7	alike 8:17	91:2 101:11	210:18 217:15
153:19 155:19 155:20 156:6	71:10 85:18	allegations	112:19,24	217:23 250:24
	affect 134:20	219:15,23	113:6 114:9	269:16 273:15
158:1 174:20	265:1	221:4,15	120:10 132:20	274:16 279:11
261:19	<b>affidavit</b> 143:24	223:11,18	133:4 141:5	answering 22:19
acted 81:19 87:2	234:24	225:11,18	142:20 143:15	158:18 209:20
120:13	affix 284:15	262:16,22	151:10 153:10	236:9
	a111A 407.1J	202.10,22	151.10 155.10	230.7

				Page 288
answers 257:6	102.5	226.11 12	141:22 149:7	247:2 253:1
285:20	183:5	226:11,13		
	appreciate	227:11,19	150:19 160:11	assumed 210:19
Anthony 1:6,7	17:12 188:19	236:13,19,21	161:7,7 217:15	210:20
14:8 222:20	approach 257:7	237:2,3,20	217:23 218:18	assuming
223:2 224:17	appropriate	238:14 242:1	250:21,23	161:18 210:18
284:6,7	131:1 153:18	244:23 245:8	251:8,24 252:6	ate 215:6
anticipate	156:2	272:16,22	252:24 269:14	ATIA 59:16
230:10 276:15	approve 22:2	arrest 8:9 87:23	269:16 273:15	attached 67:1
anybody 255:13	approved 87:23	88:1,5,18 90:1	274:15 279:11	attachment 7:11
anyway 66:15	88:2 91:17,19	90:2,5,21,22	285:20	203:6
anyways 257:9	121:7,11	91:7,8,11,16	asking 32:18	attempt 165:19
<b>AOR</b> 84:3 85:15	approximately	91:19 102:22	115:10 153:10	attempts 282:3
85:16,17,19	134:9,13 215:4	103:8,9,12,17	154:8 164:10	attention 19:23
121:23	275:23	arrested 102:24	166:18 176:22	36:13 96:7
apart 20:17 43:7	Apr 115:21	103:16	188:12,15,18	137:6 144:24
166:17 196:12	<b>April</b> 102:19,24	arresting 90:12	195:19,23,23	261:20
197:5	103:7,24 104:7	arrow 110:12	198:10 202:12	attorney 8:24
apologize 123:6	104:14 106:23	<b>ASA</b> 11:7 22:6	202:14 238:22	9:24 11:3
appeal 255:9,21	107:6 108:8,20	27:15 29:22	262:12	12:16 69:7,14
<b>appear</b> 41:16	115:24 117:8	36:18 37:11,24	assigned 11:3	80:2 82:1
44:13 65:9	274:11	38:20 39:3,3,5	15:16 39:24	98:12 104:24
75:15 84:19	area 10:20 68:22	40:21 48:19,22	160:12 161:4	115:22,23
101:6 102:23	118:10,20	52:3,8 55:11	161:16 163:13	117:10,12,14
110:17 124:11	119:15 127:1	62:24 63:13	165:11,12,18	117:19 118:11
129:14 146:1	162:12 224:9	68:1,10 70:13	165:21 184:11	128:24 132:24
157:13	argumentative	84:1 88:21	184:21 186:24	137:18 138:9
APPEARAN	238:18 239:23	89:4 96:14	191:24 194:14	139:14 140:12
2:1 3:1	242:21 246:18	97:10 100:5	207:23 209:24	143:3 146:11
appeared 8:7	253:5	101:1 104:7	218:11	146:22 147:13
<b>appears</b> 7:12,15	arguments	105:12 110:7	assignment 9:10	150:16 151:1,7
7:18,21 18:17	231:2	116:4 121:17	32:22 165:9,21	151:22 156:14
23:5 36:7	<b>armed</b> 21:17	121:18 124:15	183:22	159:20 160:10
63:13 76:14	28:19,22 78:10	146:14 180:12	assistance	165:18,24
83:4,22 97:8	<b>Arnold</b> 122:12	181:22 183:15	175:10	166:1 168:6
97:13 99:6	123:2	185:10 186:20	assistant 9:23	169:7,15
110:7 111:23	arrangements	187:15 188:7	11:3 12:16	170:21 171:10
116:22 123:8	11:12	189:14 269:9	69:7,13 80:1	171:22 172:4
126:11,13	array 54:8 61:14	274:8,23	81:24 98:12	172:11 173:16
178:5 274:13	61:17,19 62:2	<b>ASA's</b> 12:7	104:24 128:24	175:21 179:21
279:6	62:4,5 65:1,14	<b>ASAs</b> 21:24	143:2 146:10	181:7 182:18
application	65:15 94:11,22	105:5	146:21 147:12	182:20 184:9
156:5	94:23 95:5	asked 14:13	174:4	184:21 185:7
applies 189:6	114:6,21 115:2	49:11 90:23	assume 55:6	187:17,22
apply 26:12	124:21 145:6	102:11 131:18	238:15 239:19	188:22 190:8
181:5,6 182:17	147:21 148:14	134:18 138:6	242:18 246:16	191:4,20 192:9
			l	

252:10 253:14	55:16 56:15	122:20 135:10	169:13 174:17	belated 86:6
252:10 253:14	55:16 56:15	122:20 135:10	169:13 174:17	belated 86:6
253:23 254:8	78:9 132:15	137:19 138:7,8	197:14 207:21	245:12
attorney's 3:2,5	151:8,15,22	177:14 206:23	231:3,12 254:6	believe 5:21
9:5,14 12:6	152:24 170:20	208:4 214:5	267:13 269:23	9:21 22:3
23:17 26:21	174:18 185:16	215:15,16	270:15 279:3,6	25:19 59:16
29:17 31:15	186:17,23	255:23 267:13	basically 23:2	72:17 82:9
43:13 51:15	189:17 190:14	270:16 282:3	<b>basis</b> 241:18	84:2 89:6
59:10 92:4	191:22 192:4,6	<b>bad</b> 49:14 83:9	247:12 249:3	95:24 99:3,4
101:17,18	192:11,16	106:5 108:23	Bates 20:11,13	100:6 114:19
152:3 156:10	200:5 206:11	113:22 115:5	42:1 58:5	114:19 116:2
167:21 169:22	218:22,24	140:1,8,13,19	71:18,21 75:8	119:20 145:10
172:17 173:23	227:4 228:1	140:23 141:12	76:5 79:16	145:19,19,20
175:11 176:6	234:1,8,10,13	142:3 143:8	82:19 83:1,13	146:20,24
177:3 180:13	235:4,11,15	based 19:1	87:7 88:5	151:20 154:17
190:12 193:5	236:11,24	35:14 36:7	129:24 137:9	164:1 189:5
193:19 194:3	240:7 243:12	38:20 39:8	139:5 157:2	190:13 191:18
194:11 197:15	243:15,20,22	40:8,20 53:2,5	268:17	199:3 202:5,6
199:11 231:16	244:21 245:6	54:7 63:12	Bates-stamped	207:19 209:15
232:18 237:6	245:10,17	64:21,23 65:1	41:10 144:1	220:8 222:2,3
241:4 244:13	250:12,18	68:18 69:2,11	bearing 265:19	222:15,22
246:3 247:22	251:3 253:10	69:11 77:4	becoming	223:1 224:7
251:15 255:13	253:19 257:16	78:22 79:7	185:16	233:21 235:3
255:16 260:17	257:18 258:1,5	80:22 82:4	beginning 34:24	268:8 272:2
266:13 268:9	258:10,12,17	84:18 89:9	142:6 213:24	279:22
270:9 271:8,15	258:19,22,23	93:1,18 94:21	begins 99:3	believed 195:7
278:12,24	259:3,7,14,18	94:22 96:23	<b>behalf</b> 2:6,11,16	best 134:14
attorney-client	259:22 260:3,5	98:11,14 99:13	2:22 3:5 12:5	159:16 267:20
151:12	260:9,11,12	100:13 101:5	23:17 26:21	<b>better</b> 44:7 67:9
attorneys 6:23	262:15,21	102:22 103:11	27:11 29:16	81:12 95:19
31:8 55:10	263:4	104:9 108:5	31:15 50:24	107:10 109:18
118:2 150:3	awareness 257:1	110:16 111:21	51:14 54:21	185:8
183:20 184:1	Awesome 57:11	116:7,21,21	55:18 89:12	<b>big</b> 97:18 204:24
196:11 250:13	awest@halem	124:19 125:2	113:3 131:12	bigger 25:5
260:15	2:15	125:21 126:20	133:8 156:10	35:22 36:1
audition 227:8	awful 209:13	127:17 128:19	158:15 167:21	48:14 60:15,23
August 9:9		129:4 132:17	172:17 173:23	62:22 92:11
August 9.9	В			v=:==

				1 age 270
<b>bit</b> 60:15 62:22	116:15,17	143:4 193:7,21	capturing 207:7	209:24 214:15
92:12 144:20	121:9 272:9	194:13,22	capturing 207.7	217:12,13
159:15,18	273:1 274:24	194.13,22	carbon 18:20	217.12,13
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				*
189:7 192:19	boxes 45:15	BS 71:15,17	care 146:8 282:2	220:1 221:1,16
269:2	47:12	bunch 73:15	career 9:13	222:4 229:5
black 48:17	Brady 180:1,4,8	BURNS 2:7,7	191:3 219:22	231:1 232:2
Blagg 150:17,22	180:17 182:13	134:22 159:3	223:20 225:21	235:8 237:20
bleeding 74:23	182:20 183:16	162:19 163:1	case 12:15,23,24	238:3 245:4
<b>blue</b> 8:12,19,20	183:19,24	business 122:11	13:13,19 14:2	253:11,17,20
<b>body</b> 69:4	184:4 188:23	122:23 275:21	14:5,12,21	254:4 255:14
Bogucki 1:6	189:6,7,15,19	<b>buy</b> 74:20	15:16,23,24	256:11 257:2,3
14:3,17,20	190:11,15,20		16:2,9,10 20:2	258:7,14,21
68:10 81:6	191:1,2,6,11	<u>C</u>	22:1 26:8,11	262:6 264:21
84:2,11,20	191:18 205:21	C 2:18,18 99:4	27:14,19 28:5	265:9,9 286:2
85:11 86:16	207:14 233:12	277:18	28:16,24 29:5	cases 10:4 16:14
90:13 103:9	<b>bread</b> 12:24	<b>C/I</b> 116:2	29:13 33:9	162:10,13
113:15,20	72:20,21 73:17	Cabrini 122:5	39:24 46:9	193:7,21
119:3,16	73:19,21 74:1	California 55:13	55:2,22 56:8	194:13 220:9
121:19 217:20	217:13	call 7:12 15:24	56:14 81:7,15	224:8,10,10
218:22,24	break 6:1,2	18:11 42:22	84:22 99:15	263:1,11
219:16,24	50:15 57:10	142:12 154:1	104:21 109:13	categories
220:4 222:2,5	106:2,16	185:23 211:14	111:20 119:14	272:16
227:6,12 228:2	120:19 133:23	211:15,23	130:17 132:19	cause 59:15 91:8
228:16 229:4	134:5 135:11	213:17,18,19	146:24 150:10	91:10 92:2
240:10,18	159:8 212:10	213:22,23	150:12,14,17	188:6 198:4,17
250:3 262:1,3	213:7,10,20	275:23	156:4 160:7,12	198:22 199:7
262:16,23	214:10 215:1,2	called 1:12	161:5,18,20,22	199:19 200:6
263:9 264:18	215:3,7,11	109:14,15	162:2,3 163:14	201:16
281:13 284:6	216:1,2,4,6,8,9	138:5 147:6	163:15 165:18	caution 12:8
<b>Bogucki's</b> 281:9	216:12,21	162:17 208:12	167:16 170:4,7	130:12,21
boils 217:12	263:19 267:18	285:7	170:11 173:8	131:4 133:2,4
<b>bond</b> 59:10	269:21 275:10	calling 11:2,7	175:24 177:4	cautioning
bore 5:23	275:11,15,17	calls 40:3 69:15	178:10 180:4	132:22
<b>bottom</b> 20:11	275:20,22	75:17 81:11	181:16 183:22	caveat 118:9
76:10 83:1	276:16,18	113:23 125:24	184:10,22	128:4
90:11 98:5	breaks 212:8,24	142:20 265:4	186:24 187:2	CCSAO-CUI
105:10 128:18	214:14	capture 185:8	187:15,16,21	135:24
129:11 268:18	bring 53:9	185:13 186:12	188:8,9,11	CCSAO Conf
269:2	180:18 215:15	187:3,23	191:23 192:8	17:19
Boulevard 2:13	215:15 282:3	206:16 237:5	197:22 199:7	Center 3:3
2:19	<b>broad</b> 176:17	<b>captured</b> 207:9	199:20 200:9	Center 5:3 Central 72:18
<b>box</b> 27:23 28:1,3	178:3 183:4	207:11 236:2	200:11,14,23	74:22 122:3
,	brother 202:10	241:3 244:12	, ,	
35:12 36:6,10 36:14 87:14		246:3 247:21	201:3,17,24 204:19 207:24	certain 18:21
	202:16	251:14 252:9		22:13
93:9,17 96:12	brought 10:5	231.17 232.7	208:8,23	certainly 108:13
L	-	-	-	

				rage 291
122.16 105.12	202.10 16	City IE 141	aamma 10.10	202.24
133:16 185:12 190:13 193:16	202:10,16	City-JF-161 125:13	<b>comma</b> 19:19 58:17 60:2	203:24 confer 50:15
	218:13,18			
204:12 238:21	219:16 220:4	civil 1:15 196:14	commencing	269:21
certainty 138:1	220:16 221:22	229:4 235:5	1:19	conference
certificate	223:12,19	253:11,17,20	committed	50:12
151:16,24	224:2,16 226:4	254:3 257:3	221:5	confidential
264:24 265:18	241:22 249:8	claim 257:4	committing	29:12 78:16
Certified 1:18	257:21 258:6	claiming 137:16	219:16,24	confines 220:1
285:4 286:4	258:13,20,23	clarification	223:11,18	confirm 56:22
certify 285:5,18	259:8,19 260:3	18:24	225:13,20	confirmation
Chamberlain	260:9 264:16	clarify 170:1	<b>common</b> 47:22	133:3
202:6	284:7,8 286:16	<b>Clark</b> 286:15	commonly	conflict 215:20
chance 127:5	Chicken 72:16	<b>CLE</b> 191:14	270:9,13	215:21
131:16	74:16	<b>clear</b> 33:5 37:13	communicatio	conflicts 214:23
<b>change</b> 134:23	chronology	56:17 85:4	29:19 78:16	consequences
147:14	107:10	102:4 104:18	151:12 223:5	261:20
changed 147:3	<b>Church's</b> 72:16	132:14 146:16	225:5 255:19	considered 93:9
197:19	74:16	147:1,7 192:23	256:18	93:17
character 24:6	CI 21:22 22:3	203:13	complaining	considering
characterize	44:10	click 186:3	212:20	90:17 126:10
165:6,16	cigarettes 80:6	client 276:5	complete 27:18	consist 10:2
charge 12:4	circle 22:6,16	CLIFTON 3:2	163:11 183:13	114:6
29:24 30:1	24:6 48:19,20	close 90:19	195:4 237:14	consistent 24:14
177:4 198:22	48:23 49:3,7	120:20	285:19	constitutes 23:3
199:7,19,24	49:10 61:14	closed 6:19 28:8	completely	constrain 55:10
234:2	62:2,5,24	122:10	166:9	consulted
<b>charged</b> 78:10	63:10 64:13	closely 272:24	complex 9:11	201:15
charges 10:5	96:14,19,21	clothes 74:17	compliment	CONT 122:10
11:18 19:2	101:1 109:4	coercion 258:6	35:4	122:23
21:14,16 121:7	111:14	coffee 80:5	comply 176:20	CONT'D 3:1
121:9 143:4	circled 61:18	colleagues 12:10	computer 6:11	contacted
175:22,23	62:8,19 64:9	collection 8:6	6:12 186:3	255:11
176:16 178:13	65:14 111:12	column 87:14	concealing	contained 77:18
249:7 264:12	112:5,6	272:13	260:9	contents 254:3
264:15	circumstance	come 35:1 134:2	concept 202:23	contents 234.3
charging 10:9	161:4 187:19	135:10 137:19	concept 202.23	81:12 136:8
12:2,9 27:1,19	206:10	138:7,7	29:24	224:23 261:5
29:21 271:12	circumstances	comes 58:20	concerns 231:19	continue 115:14
	165:23 210:13	168:16		
chase 74:12			232:10 246:23	continued 27:14
check 152:10	211:8 213:12	comfort 132:8	concluded 283:1	158:16,17
Chicago 1:8,8	city 1:7,8 2:11	133:18	conduct 99:4	continuing 22:3
2:4,9,11,14,20	66:24 284:7,8	comfortable	189:18,22	109:19,20
3:3 162:16	City-JF 70:12	152:20 236:9	197:3	122:9 191:10
197:6,16 198:3	City-JF-000040	coming 11:9	conducted 97:10	continuous
198:16 199:1	66:21	122:10,23	103:24 119:1	216:9
	ı	ı	ı	

				Page 292
	140.6 224.6 9	171.0 170.16		65.16.24.66.16
conversation	149:6 234:6,8	171:9 179:16	couple 18:8	65:16,24 66:16
13:6 16:4,11	234:10,13	182:15,16	88:23 116:8	69:17 70:4
16:16 52:24	235:1,4,10,11	183:22,23	138:5 168:16	71:15,17,20
85:2,6 101:11	235:17,22	184:2,3,6,7,12	212:8 224:7,8	75:19 77:21
128:10 131:6	236:11,16,19	184:23 187:17	224:12 268:7	78:13 81:9
141:4 150:24	236:22 237:2,3	189:19 190:17	course 81:23	82:6 83:7
167:3 175:15	238:14 239:1	190:18 191:20	171:23 183:21	86:19 89:21
177:19,19	239:17 240:7	191:21 193:24	201:9,13	91:20 99:18
201:5 204:13	240:19 241:1	196:14 198:5	214:24 280:17	100:16 101:19
210:23 219:11	241:14,20,21	198:18 201:10	<b>court</b> 1:1 34:16	103:13 105:3
241:19 245:3	242:17 243:2,6	203:2,3 204:8	34:23 50:7	106:9,17
247:13 250:5	<b>Cooper's</b> 145:23	207:15 217:14	55:4 59:10	107:12 108:9
255:7 273:23	240:12	218:23 220:17	91:19 130:16	109:8 110:19
274:21 279:14	copied 109:4	227:6,13,20	131:2,7 132:18	112:1,18 113:9
conversations	copies 7:13	228:4 229:20	135:16,20	114:1,8,12
12:1,10 13:8	copy 18:17 19:6	235:2 236:23	142:5 147:16	116:24 117:20
81:14 150:16	25:21 43:15	246:24 249:8	152:23 154:14	118:5,16 119:4
201:6 220:24	49:14 53:24	250:10 268:10	154:16 156:3	120:6 123:11
223:5 225:5	60:9,11,12	273:3,4,13	192:12 197:10	130:9 132:11
243:5 281:20	63:6 66:23	274:14 277:13	276:23 282:17	134:4,16,19,24
<b>convict</b> 179:15	67:9 83:9 98:3	279:1 280:18	282:20 284:1	135:5,7 138:16
243:13	108:22 126:12	correctly 110:13	285:1	139:15 140:4
conviction 151:9	127:22 282:20	corroborate	<b>Courts</b> 1:16	140:24 141:14
151:17	corner 19:18	228:9,18 229:5	coverage 217:3	142:4,9 143:9
Cook 3:2 9:5	73:23	230:20	Coyne 2:18,18	148:8 151:10
165:24 168:5	correct 8:24	corroborated	15:19 17:11	151:18 153:13
172:11 173:15	10:13 11:20	230:11	22:17 23:15	154:11 155:11
175:20 177:2	18:16 29:1	counsel 50:12,15	24:12,14 26:5	157:4,18
179:20 184:20	33:9,10 39:10	58:19 66:15	26:19 27:12	158:15 159:6
192:8 193:4,18	39:20 45:24	132:11 169:9	29:7 30:24	161:9 163:17
194:3,11 195:1	57:2 59:4	170:2,22 171:7	31:3 32:8	163:22 166:3
195:11 196:24	71:19 76:24	256:20 257:11	33:14 34:15,18	166:19 167:7
197:2 198:1,14	77:6 80:24	269:21 285:12	34:21 35:3,18	168:12 169:17
232:12 242:3	84:9 90:2 93:3	285:24 286:2	37:4 38:11,23	170:1,23
	04.9 90.2 93.3			1/(/,1,4,)
Cooper 22:12	02.14.21.04.12		,	,
Cooper 33:12	93:14,21 94:12	counsel's 167:14	39:11 40:12,23	171:12 172:1
34:5,10,12,13	94:22 96:20	<b>counsel's</b> 167:14 <b>County</b> 3:2 9:5	39:11 40:12,23 42:12,16 46:11	171:12 172:1 172:14 173:2,6
34:5,10,12,13 35:11,16 36:9	94:22 96:20 97:7 102:13	<b>counsel's</b> 167:14 <b>County</b> 3:2 9:5 165:24 168:5	39:11 40:12,23 42:12,16 46:11 47:4 48:3	171:12 172:1 172:14 173:2,6 173:19 174:11
34:5,10,12,13 35:11,16 36:9 38:22 39:2,6,9	94:22 96:20 97:7 102:13 111:5,24 117:3	<b>counsel's</b> 167:14 <b>County</b> 3:2 9:5 165:24 168:5 172:11 173:15	39:11 40:12,23 42:12,16 46:11 47:4 48:3 49:19,23 50:9	171:12 172:1 172:14 173:2,6 173:19 174:11 175:1,6 176:1
34:5,10,12,13 35:11,16 36:9 38:22 39:2,6,9 40:10,11,18,21	94:22 96:20 97:7 102:13 111:5,24 117:3 123:10 135:20	counsel's 167:14 County 3:2 9:5 165:24 168:5 172:11 173:15 175:21 177:2	39:11 40:12,23 42:12,16 46:11 47:4 48:3 49:19,23 50:9 50:11,14,24	171:12 172:1 172:14 173:2,6 173:19 174:11 175:1,6 176:1 177:7 178:4,8
34:5,10,12,13 35:11,16 36:9 38:22 39:2,6,9 40:10,11,18,21 44:18 100:6,15	94:22 96:20 97:7 102:13 111:5,24 117:3 123:10 135:20 141:12 145:7	counsel's 167:14 County 3:2 9:5 165:24 168:5 172:11 173:15 175:21 177:2 179:20 184:21	39:11 40:12,23 42:12,16 46:11 47:4 48:3 49:19,23 50:9 50:11,14,24 51:9,22 52:9	171:12 172:1 172:14 173:2,6 173:19 174:11 175:1,6 176:1 177:7 178:4,8 178:16,19
34:5,10,12,13 35:11,16 36:9 38:22 39:2,6,9 40:10,11,18,21 44:18 100:6,15 100:23 101:6	94:22 96:20 97:7 102:13 111:5,24 117:3 123:10 135:20 141:12 145:7 145:16 147:21	counsel's 167:14 County 3:2 9:5 165:24 168:5 172:11 173:15 175:21 177:2 179:20 184:21 192:8 193:4,18	39:11 40:12,23 42:12,16 46:11 47:4 48:3 49:19,23 50:9 50:11,14,24 51:9,22 52:9 52:18 53:7,15	171:12 172:1 172:14 173:2,6 173:19 174:11 175:1,6 176:1 177:7 178:4,8 178:16,19 181:10 182:21
34:5,10,12,13 35:11,16 36:9 38:22 39:2,6,9 40:10,11,18,21 44:18 100:6,15 100:23 101:6 103:23,24	94:22 96:20 97:7 102:13 111:5,24 117:3 123:10 135:20 141:12 145:7 145:16 147:21 148:15 155:2	counsel's 167:14 County 3:2 9:5 165:24 168:5 172:11 173:15 175:21 177:2 179:20 184:21 192:8 193:4,18 194:3,11 195:2	39:11 40:12,23 42:12,16 46:11 47:4 48:3 49:19,23 50:9 50:11,14,24 51:9,22 52:9 52:18 53:7,15 55:17 56:1,24	171:12 172:1 172:14 173:2,6 173:19 174:11 175:1,6 176:1 177:7 178:4,8 178:16,19 181:10 182:21 184:13,24
34:5,10,12,13 35:11,16 36:9 38:22 39:2,6,9 40:10,11,18,21 44:18 100:6,15 100:23 101:6 103:23,24 104:5,11	94:22 96:20 97:7 102:13 111:5,24 117:3 123:10 135:20 141:12 145:7 145:16 147:21 148:15 155:2 159:23 160:20	counsel's 167:14 County 3:2 9:5 165:24 168:5 172:11 173:15 175:21 177:2 179:20 184:21 192:8 193:4,18 194:3,11 195:2 195:11 197:1,2	39:11 40:12,23 42:12,16 46:11 47:4 48:3 49:19,23 50:9 50:11,14,24 51:9,22 52:9 52:18 53:7,15 55:17 56:1,24 57:3,8 59:7	171:12 172:1 172:14 173:2,6 173:19 174:11 175:1,6 176:1 177:7 178:4,8 178:16,19 181:10 182:21 184:13,24 185:18 187:4
34:5,10,12,13 35:11,16 36:9 38:22 39:2,6,9 40:10,11,18,21 44:18 100:6,15 100:23 101:6 103:23,24 104:5,11 105:21 143:24	94:22 96:20 97:7 102:13 111:5,24 117:3 123:10 135:20 141:12 145:7 145:16 147:21 148:15 155:2 159:23 160:20 160:21 165:6	counsel's 167:14 County 3:2 9:5 165:24 168:5 172:11 173:15 175:21 177:2 179:20 184:21 192:8 193:4,18 194:3,11 195:2 195:11 197:1,2 198:1,14	39:11 40:12,23 42:12,16 46:11 47:4 48:3 49:19,23 50:9 50:11,14,24 51:9,22 52:9 52:18 53:7,15 55:17 56:1,24 57:3,8 59:7 61:20 62:13	171:12 172:1 172:14 173:2,6 173:19 174:11 175:1,6 176:1 177:7 178:4,8 178:16,19 181:10 182:21 184:13,24 185:18 187:4 188:1,13 189:2
34:5,10,12,13 35:11,16 36:9 38:22 39:2,6,9 40:10,11,18,21 44:18 100:6,15 100:23 101:6 103:23,24 104:5,11	94:22 96:20 97:7 102:13 111:5,24 117:3 123:10 135:20 141:12 145:7 145:16 147:21 148:15 155:2 159:23 160:20	counsel's 167:14 County 3:2 9:5 165:24 168:5 172:11 173:15 175:21 177:2 179:20 184:21 192:8 193:4,18 194:3,11 195:2 195:11 197:1,2	39:11 40:12,23 42:12,16 46:11 47:4 48:3 49:19,23 50:9 50:11,14,24 51:9,22 52:9 52:18 53:7,15 55:17 56:1,24 57:3,8 59:7	171:12 172:1 172:14 173:2,6 173:19 174:11 175:1,6 176:1 177:7 178:4,8 178:16,19 181:10 182:21 184:13,24 185:18 187:4

				rage 233
193:8 194:15	264:4 265:3,22	166:16 225:9	87:5 102:19	declarations
195:5,15 196:4	266:6,20,23	229:20 257:20	106:22 107:17	250:7
196:15 197:7	267:12 269:15	crimes 193:6,20	108:20 109:3,5	defendant 1:13
197:11,17	270:11,22	194:4 196:11	121:7 149:20	2:11 13:3,7
198:6,19 199:8	273:7,15 274:5	criminal 55:4	268:20 272:2,2	27:23 36:17
200:20 204:4	274:15 275:3	130:16 131:2,7	274:10,11	38:10 45:16,23
205:6,14,22	275:15,19	132:18 151:9	280:3 285:21	46:7 53:5
206:17 207:4	276:7,13,17	152:22 156:3	date's 44:7	59:18 84:21
207:16 208:1	277:1,5 278:3	175:22,23	dated 41:17 42:3	85:19,20 88:2
208:19 210:7	278:16 279:23	176:16 177:4	dating 105:16	93:20 94:11
210:15 211:6	280:9 281:16	178:14 193:2	105:21	95:5 102:23
211:10,13,18	282:8,10,21	225:7 226:20	dating,' 95:23	103:10 122:17
211:20 212:6	Coyne's 6:23	234:11 248:22	day 42:9 72:12	166:24 168:17
212:20,23	213:7	249:6 254:23	159:16 284:18	204:1,8,14
213:9,15,22	CPD 7:21 8:4	259:13 261:2	days 13:1 109:7	209:10 269:6
215:19,24	created 43:4	crossed 44:19	109:15 137:19	272:17,23
217:1,8 219:18	58:21 278:21	72:11 73:13,20	138:5 240:9	285:8
220:5 221:7,17	creating 19:5,7	73:23 74:22	dburns@reite	defendant's
221:24 223:14	20:7 21:11	80:5,8 115:14	2:10	117:8 257:5
223:21 224:3	22:11 24:5	269:8 281:10	<b>DDT</b> 59:17	defendants 1:9
225:15,22	28:2 36:5	CSR 286:15,18	deal 224:6	2:16 10:7 88:6
226:6 227:14	37:15,17 40:16	current 9:10	dealing 214:14	168:19,20
227:21 228:12	42:20 43:2	183:11	214:15	257:3 284:9
228:21,23	46:19 53:18	currently 6:8	deals 249:13	defense 169:9
229:9,21,23	58:16 61:23	9:4 28:6	December 7:22	170:22 171:7
230:13,21	62:15 65:7	<b>cursor</b> 72:1 73:2	28:20 78:11	181:2 183:14
231:22 232:15	67:22 68:6	73:7 87:17	79:10 122:2,5	185:12 189:24
233:3,15 236:3	69:20 70:19	<b>custody</b> 28:5,15	155:9 157:16	259:13 260:15
237:7,22	71:1 76:18	28:15 44:20	decision 12:9	definite 38:18
238:16 239:6	85:1 93:5 97:3	<b>cut</b> 19:13 126:12	27:1,1,19	definition
239:21 241:5	98:19 99:20	126:16	29:21 200:6,17	261:15
242:4,19	101:10 103:4	CV 1:5 284:5	decision-maki	degree 78:10
244:14 246:4	103:18 104:4		29:20 51:4	delay 216:16
246:17 247:1	104:17 108:11	D	112:22 167:13	263:19
247:23 248:11	109:11,17	<b>D</b> 4:1 44:19	181:15 185:2	deliberate
248:24 249:9	110:24 116:11	Daley 3:3	208:23 212:4	167:22 172:3
249:16 250:23	123:14 124:8	DANIEL 2:7	238:2 265:8	176:5 183:1
251:16 252:11	124:17,17	<b>Darren</b> 202:5,9	decisions 10:9	deliberation
253:3 254:12	126:7 274:20	202:15	12:2 23:2 51:5	231:13
255:22 257:12	credible 231:21	date 21:1 38:22	51:7 271:12	deliberations
257:23 258:8	232:12	39:10 42:21	declaration 7:23	29:24 255:20
258:15 259:2,9	crime 29:6	43:9,20 44:1,3	135:22 234:24	256:18 271:11
259:20 260:18	30:18,23 32:2	44:8 51:20	243:16,23	deliberative
261:7,23 262:8	79:9 160:23	59:21,23 60:5	244:3 250:19	12:11 23:18
263:2,12,18,24	161:6 164:18	82:21,22 83:20	251:3	26:22 29:17,18
		l	l	<u> </u>

_				Page 294
21 16 51 12 16	20 12 42 12	112 15 15 16	1.66 1.60	205.2
31:16 51:12,16	29:13 43:12	113:15,15,16	differ 16:8	285:2
52:21 172:16	57:7 66:11,17	115:4 119:15	different 43:8	division 1:2 11:4
173:22 181:24	66:20 78:17	120:4 125:22	108:20 109:3	179:22 284:2
199:10,14	88:7 89:16	126:12,13	150:11 189:11	285:3
231:8 232:5,18	125:14 130:2,8	139:24 163:4	245:8 272:16	divulge 26:10
238:8 247:9	131:21 133:1	163:15 218:24	difficult 25:7	29:11 78:14
255:17 256:15	134:21 136:1	219:15,23	35:24 48:18	167:10 170:5
265:11 266:11	136:20 144:2	220:4,16,18,24	83:5 92:12	divulging
266:21 269:24	145:3,4 150:2	221:5,16,22	137:24 174:17	112:20 113:1
271:9 278:6	150:6,15 151:3	222:2,4,15,17	178:3	185:2
delta 25:16	155:2 156:19	222:18,19	direct 19:22	<b>Dixon</b> 122:12
27:24 28:5,6	157:9 158:18	223:5,11,18	36:13 60:23	123:2
37:7 44:19	159:2 179:2	224:16 225:12	61:1 96:7	doctrine 23:10
45:21,23 48:20	201:10,14,14	225:20 226:3	120:24 137:6	26:7 181:19
53:21,22 84:2	203:5,8 213:24	229:4 262:1,3	144:11,24	231:4 247:7
84:3,3 92:20	227:9,17 228:6	262:12 264:18	directed 207:10	document 7:22
92:22 115:20	230:5 235:5,9	264:19,19	direction 285:10	8:8 18:9,14
121:23,23,24	261:14 262:20	281:8,9,13,14	directly 46:8	19:1,21 20:12
122:1,4,24,24	269:17 270:4	detectives 13:3,7	186:7	21:8,9,12,13
delta's 115:22	275:18 276:14	13:13,15,18	disagree 63:19	22:12 24:5
demands 59:18	280:18 283:1	14:1,4 16:3	disbarment	26:15 28:3
department	284:13,15	32:23 33:2,8	190:16	31:19 32:12
162:17 196:12	285:6,11	47:17 81:6	discipline	36:5,6,10
197:6,16 199:1	deposition-rel	86:1 103:9	190:16	37:15,18 40:2
202:11,16	216:7	112:9,10	disclose 29:23	40:15 41:11
241:23 264:16	depositions 1:16	113:20 119:3	151:11 167:15	42:1,21,24
departments	132:5	119:16 137:17	169:21 182:14	44:2 46:20
194:13	described 103:2	138:12 139:13	184:23 212:4	53:10,18,19
departs 160:4	168:9	164:6 217:20	248:9	54:3,12 55:12
departure 160:8	description	227:6,12 228:2	disclosed 158:23	57:23 58:4,5
depend 15:22	121:15	228:16 240:9	184:11	58:11,16,22,24
185:20,20	desk 8:15	240:18 250:3,8	disclosure	61:16,23,24
186:21,22	destruction	262:16,23	158:19 189:24	62:16 65:8
208:7 209:15	184:6	263:9	discovered	66:24 67:13,22
210:17 212:2	<b>DET</b> 84:1,2	determination	184:22	68:6,8,13,22
depended 164:3	121:18,19	11:18 91:24	discovery 153:1	68:23 69:2,5
<b>depends</b> 134:17	details 99:22	199:18,24	180:22	69:11,21,21,22
142:13	detective 14:3,3	determine 39:9	discussed 70:21	70:20,21 71:2
deponent 2:22	14:8,10,17,20	161:15 198:17	71:3 200:9	71:3,5,9,14,22
284:12	14:23 15:2,4,7	determining	282:5	75:5 76:19
deposed 5:17,20	15:13 16:17	199:6 201:16	discussion 50:1	77:1,4,9,10
150:18 151:5	68:10,11 81:22	detriment 276:4	152:13 264:6	79:5,16,20
deposition 1:12	84:11,11,20,20	developed	dispute 63:18	80:22 81:3
4:13 6:21,24	85:10,10 86:15	212:11 214:7	<b>District</b> 1:1,1,15	82:19 83:14
17:1 28:22	90:12 112:15	diagonal 73:24	284:1,1 285:1	84:23 88:11,15
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Tage 255
88:16 89:14,15	246:11 252:20	231:6	easier 8:15	275:22
90:10,15 91:13	documentations	door 6:19 73:18	20:16 108:4	Emmett 7:23
92:9 93:5,7,13	52:4	dope 122:5,11	209:23	25:18 31:22,23
93:15,24 94:15	documented	122:23	EASTERN 1:2	32:6 96:10,12
95:10 96:10,11	46:6 51:20	double-check	284:2 285:3	97:2 110:3,5
97:4,8,13	63:5,19 65:22	44:18	eat 80:5	116:15 135:23
98:20 99:21	83:18 85:15	doubt 101:13	Ed 238:14 239:1	136:8 141:4
101:10 103:3,4	99:13 101:15	102:1,6 254:10	239:17 240:7	249:22 250:2,7
103:5,15,16,19	102:9,22	drink 80:6	240:12,19	250:18 251:23
104:4,6,14	103:23 107:9	<b>Drive</b> 2:8	241:1,14,21	252:4 272:9
107:18,19	111:4 116:23	driver 12:24	242:17 243:2	employed 9:4
108:12 109:11	128:13 136:10	217:14	education	ended 197:20
111:1,7,21	147:12 233:2	driving 217:20	191:11	ended 197.20 enforcement
116:11 117:3	236:1 238:12	drugs 80:7	Edward 25:20	192:22 194:22
123:9,14 124:8	239:16 241:3	due 53:23	33:12 34:5,10	engage 196:11
124:13,14,18	242:16 244:12	duly 5:1,4	34:12,13 35:11	engaged 208:13
124:19,23	246:2 247:21	284:11 285:15	35:16 36:9	257:21 258:6
125:5,8,19,21	251:14 252:9	duties 10:1	39:2 40:18	enter 153:21
126:3,7,9,20	260:16 263:11	duty 179:14	44:18 72:20,22	entire 176:9
126:21,24	documenting	180:18 248:9	72:24 73:1,1,5	190:12 219:22
127:3,23 128:5	28:14		73:9,12,13	entirety 144:14
128:7,8,17,22	documents 6:20	E	74:1,2,5,6,10	entitled 7:22
129:4,7,16	6:22 7:2,5,8	<b>E</b> 4:1,11	74:12 100:6	entries 149:16
130:7 136:6,16	8:14 13:11,16	e-mail 2:5,10,15	103:22 143:24	entry 44:1 53:2
137:8 139:17	17:13 20:5,8	2:21 3:4	145:5 234:6	105:20 107:17
139:22 144:6,8	43:7,12 55:1,5	152:10	235:1,4,17,22	109:7 121:1
144:10,14	78:23 79:7,11	earlier 11:16	236:11,16,19	149:18
148:19 187:23	90:6 107:4	29:8 30:12	effect 111:6,8	equal 44:19 45:1
200:4,15,18	116:16,19	31:12 33:15	efficiently	establish 92:1
201:1 202:20	130:7 132:2	37:14 43:6	134:15 159:17	established
205:21 206:15	145:11,13,20	74:20 91:16	<b>effort</b> 161:14	67:11
207:15 233:12	145:21 146:9	121:13 130:11	either 33:8 60:9	estimate 106:10
234:16 237:5	147:24 149:14	131:6 136:9	102:2 110:24	ET 98:10,12,15
239:4 242:3	149:24 152:7	148:3 152:16	116:1 118:21	ethical 81:17
243:4 248:23	156:3 202:5	153:16 170:3	119:16 178:12	87:1 120:12
252:18 273:19	203:4 228:5	203:1 214:2	186:8 201:13	142:23 143:1
273:24 274:7	230:4 234:21	215:3 218:21	224:14 238:23	174:19 176:20
274:18,22	279:4,6	222:16 230:23	242:16 246:12	179:5 188:23
277:7 278:11	<b>doing</b> 23:12	238:1 250:7	252:22 260:14	189:14 233:11
278:19,22	37:20 80:14	251:4 269:3	260:15 264:18	238:5 240:3
280:22 281:10	82:2,4 122:2	270:4 271:1,5	elaborate 29:17	241:12 242:11
documentation	161:20 167:6	277:11 278:4	elapsed 280:6	248:9
111:22 206:11	167:18 171:8	279:4 280:15	elicit 26:24	ethically 179:23
235:17,22	178:2 197:9	early 9:22 160:1	181:22	event 37:24
236:18 244:5	209:1 215:8,9	160:1	emergency	86:13

				rage 290
events 103:2	174:9 175:4,23	264:24 265:18	84:8,10 217:21	116:3 124:12
109:12 116:13	177:5 249:14	expanded 25:12	220:22	124:15 268:23
everybody	exclamation	expanded 25.12 expect 134:19	fact 132:17	269:7 279:8
60:24 67:4	124:2	134:22 196:1,7	150:17 152:18	284:13 285:6
106:7,15	exculpatory	204:1 207:9	152:20 154:24	fed 258:24
evidence 23:1	183:20 184:10	230:8,10,19	158:2 164:23	Federal 1:14
98:15,18	184:22 186:12	275:16	193:11 206:13	23:9 181:18
112:21 119:21	186:24 187:20	expectation	216:18 238:13	208:24 231:4
130:14 155:15	188:10 191:23	195:12	275:21	247:6
155:23 167:12	192:7 205:20	<b>expected</b> 195:20	factors 209:6	feel 106:5
180:19,20,24	207:15 243:3	experience	212:3	152:20 189:8
182:14 183:10	248:21 254:22	98:11,14	facts 92:1	229:15
183:21 184:1,6	260:10	117:22 163:3	119:21 198:4	fell 106:21
184:10,12,22	excuse 15:3	169:13 171:21	198:16 247:2	fellow 51:5
184:23 185:3	138:2	176:9 181:6	258:24 259:18	felony 7:12,13
185:13,17	exhibit 4:13	194:10 208:11	fair 102:10	7:24 9:15,17
186:12,24	16:23 17:2	230:9 270:16	154:10 193:3	9:20,24 10:3,5
187:3,20,23	18:1 66:7,12	expert 180:14	193:17 194:10	11:22,24 12:16
188:6,8 190:1	67:12 72:11,24	181:22 193:12	206:9	14:9 15:17
191:23 192:8	73:5,13,15,19	196:22	faith 275:12	16:22 18:1,11
195:13 197:15	75:23 76:6,23	explain 107:8	fall 9:21,22	18:13,18,19
207:15 230:12	77:5 78:5	199:5	160:1	20:4 21:24
231:1 232:1,23	82:13,15 88:4	express 133:6	falls 273:3	25:5 26:4 29:1
247:2,16 260:4	88:8 125:10,15	extending	false 233:1,14	29:2,5 36:8
260:10 265:8	127:18,22,22	197:22	247:19 259:18	40:2 43:24
ex- 183:8	128:1 129:21	extent 181:14,21	falsely 248:8	44:4,5,23 47:7
exact- 200:24	130:3 133:11	192:20 255:18	familiar 15:18	49:2,6 51:21
exactly 74:8	135:14 136:2	256:17 267:3	58:12 88:14,17	52:4 58:14,14
76:2 109:5	143:23 144:3	271:10	127:11 128:4	78:22 81:24
174:15 224:13	154:6,6,14,17	extra 48:16	162:16 179:12	91:14,24 92:7
examination	154:19 155:3	147:7	179:24 180:3	93:1 98:11
1:13 4:4,5 5:7	156:18,20,24	eye 45:12	191:18 202:22	99:23 101:15
159:10	157:11,20	eyes 132:9	220:16	104:23 105:5
examined 5:4	158:11,12	eyewitness	far 100:14	106:21 107:5
285:17	203:2,12 235:2	45:10,14	154:23 170:2	117:22 120:17
example 32:5	250:6 251:4		174:6 181:6	136:10 138:18
183:14	268:1,8 281:1	<u> </u>	214:11	143:2 145:5,10
Excellent	281:6	F 98:4,7	Feb 36:17 48:20	145:13 146:20
162:15 179:19	exhibits 66:17	fabrication	48:21 83:20	146:22 147:2
exception	66:20 153:3		92:19 116:4	147:18 148:12
132:15 138:21	154:4 158:11		February 1:19	149:5,8,13
152:6 166:17	267:8		21:2 40:11,22	159:20,22
168:9	exist 161:15		41:17,19 42:4	160:10,13
exchange	existence 56:18		43:18 53:6	163:6 165:7,17
172:13 173:17	exonerated	facility 13:5	84:19 94:11	165:24 167:1
			<u> </u>	
exception 132:15 138:21 152:6 166:17 168:9 exchange	66:20 153:3 154:4 158:11 267:8 exist 161:15 existence 56:18	260:3 face 130:22 133:6 155:19 facilitate 10:14 10:17 11:1 facility 13:5	92:19 116:4 <b>February</b> 1:19 21:2 40:11,22 41:17,19 42:4 43:18 53:6	147:18 148:12 149:5,8,13 159:20,22 160:10,13 163:6 165:7,17

				Tage 257
169:7 171:10	<b>filed</b> 54:14 255:9	five 8:5 212:7,24	251:8 252:6,7	<b>follow</b> 17:17
174:4 176:9,13	256:11	263:23 264:1	251:8 252:0,7	74:14 190:10
177:20,21	files 7:13 116:3	267:14,16	255:5,9,21	following 75:11
179:21,21,22	131:24	275:7,8	256:7,11	88:24 119:17
181:7 182:18	filing 10:4	five-minute	257:20 258:5	139:2 151:17
182:19 183:15	filled 36:9	133:23	257:20 238:3	190:15
184:8 185:6	filling 43:23	flagging 215:18	260:2,8 261:2	follows 5:5
186:20 187:15	44:5 47:11,11	Fletcher 1:3	264:15,23	food 80:4
189:13 190:7	107:20	5:16 8:9 13:14	265:17 266:18	foregoing
190:21 195:2	final 200:5	19:3,19 28:11	268:16 278:1	284:14 285:18
197:3,20,23	find 8:15 25:16	30:1 54:7	278:14 284:3	form 11:5 29:7
198:2,15 200:8	25:18 30:12	58:17 60:2	Fletcher's 12:15	35:19 39:13
200:9,11,19	31:22 32:5,6	78:6,9 84:8,14	14:2,12,21	40:3 46:10
200.9,11,19	60:12 87:8	84:21 85:8	20:2 81:7	47:3 48:2
202:3,18,19,19	130:22 131:19		104:20 117:14	
202:3,18,19,19	166:6	86:16 90:2,22 102:23 103:10	150:17 151:8	58:20 63:22,23 65:3,5 66:9
202:23,24 203:6,23,24	finding 59:15	119:14 122:13	151:23 234:11	69:15 70:4
1 1	fine 15:12 19:14			
204:15 207:23	20:21 36:2	123:3,10,16 127:19 128:2	240:21 241:1	75:17 77:7,21 81:1,9 82:6
208:12 209:8,9			245:9 255:12	· /
210:1 218:11	50:13 122:19	128:14 129:24	257:3,11	86:6 88:18
219:14 220:10	154:12 217:1	144:1 145:6,15	Floor 2:3	93:22 94:13
221:3 223:13	<b>finish</b> 108:19,19	147:20 148:5	focus 131:21	95:7 97:11
224:12 225:14	134:1 181:11	148:14,23	folder 16:23	99:17 101:21
230:9 232:13	217:4 277:1	149:6 150:4,14	18:2,11,13,18	104:1 105:3
234:2 250:15	finished 137:13	151:16 154:21	18:19,22 19:4	107:12 109:8
263:8 268:11	144:16,18,22	154:21 155:8	19:6,7,10,16	112:18 113:23
268:13,21	fire 74:4,6 fired 74:8	157:2,14	20:5 25:5	117:20 118:5
270:10,15,16		159:14 197:14	35:14 39:16,20	118:14 119:4
female 138:8	<b>firm</b> 150:7	199:7,20	39:21 40:2	119:20 120:7
fight 280:2	214:16 216:15	217:12 218:6	41:3,4 43:24	125:24 138:16
file 20:17 109:16	first 5:4 15:16	223:8 225:8	44:8,9 51:21	140:24 141:1
185:9 186:13	19:15 20:1,6	226:20,23	52:4 58:15	141:13,14
188:4 200:11	22:17 34:9	227:5,11 228:3	60:1 92:7 93:1	142:9 143:9
201:4,18 202:3	41:13 42:22	228:10,19	101:15 106:21	145:8 147:22
202:19,19	51:2 58:10	229:6,19	107:5,21 109:7	148:8 149:11
203:1,6 204:22	69:6 70:12	231:20 232:11	115:15 117:2	166:19 169:17
205:1,4 206:16	78:10 113:8	232:24 233:14	136:10 148:13	170:23 171:12
207:10 236:2	137:10 154:19	234:3,14	149:5,8,13	172:1,14
237:6 241:4	160:12 190:20	235:19 239:18	200:19 201:9	174:11 176:1
242:15 244:13	209:7 219:1	241:17,24	201:13 203:23	177:7 178:16
246:3 247:22	220:19 222:21	243:13,17	204:3,14	178:18,19
251:15 252:10	262:15 269:15	244:24 245:21	<b>folders</b> 36:8	181:12 182:22
260:17 268:9	284:11 285:15	246:1,15,24	44:6,6 107:22	184:13 185:18
268:21 270:10	first-degree	247:19 248:8	145:5 268:11	187:6 189:3
270:15 279:1	21:17 90:6	248:22 250:21	268:14	193:8 194:15
	-	•	•	-

				1 age 270
195:15 196:4	30:24 31:10	184:13 185:18	68:16 69:13	general 12:18,20
196:15 197:11	33:14 34:15,17	187:4 189:2	76:10 77:4,16	13:9 33:4
198:6,19	34:18 35:18	192:2,14 193:8	80:23 93:14,16	98:14 159:19
200:20 204:4	37:4 38:11,23	194:15 195:15	95:3,6,16 96:3	160:3,5 162:17
206:17 208:19	39:11 40:3,12	196:4,15	105:20 147:19	163:18,19
209:3 210:7	40:23 42:12,16	197:17 198:6	148:3 152:8	164:5,7,14
211:10 219:18	46:11 47:3	198:19 199:8	157:14 243:9	165:17 170:3,9
220:5 221:7,17	48:2 49:19	200:20 204:4	243:11,15	171:18 180:7
221:24 223:14	51:22 52:9	205:6,22	244:5,10,21	191:17 204:22
223:21 225:15	53:7 59:7	206:17 208:1	245:3,6,19,23	208:11 218:13
225:22 226:6	61:20 62:13	208:19 209:3	246:13 247:14	219:7 270:19
229:9 230:13	63:23 65:3,4,5	210:7 211:11	248:6,7,20	generalities
231:22 233:3	65:16 69:15	220:5 221:24	249:4,6,14	189:8
233:15 236:3	70:4 75:17	223:14 224:3	277:18	generally 11:21
237:7,22	77:7 81:1,9	226:5,6 227:14	Friend's 76:21	26:8 32:18
238:16 239:6	82:6 83:7 86:7	228:12,22,23	156:17 157:1,8	33:17 38:13
239:21 241:5	86:19 89:21	229:9,21	157:13 243:12	39:1,23 45:13
242:4,19	91:20 93:22	230:13 231:22	243:22 246:23	46:1,1,22 47:6
244:14 246:4	94:13 95:7	233:3,15 236:3	247:18 277:24	52:2,23 58:13
246:17 247:3	97:11 99:17	237:7,22	278:13	59:14 62:3,5
247:23 248:11	100:16 101:19	238:16 239:6	front 16:21 18:1	62:17 63:10
249:16 250:23	103:13 104:1	239:21 241:5	19:1,16 21:5	64:14 71:7,11
251:16 252:11	105:3 107:12	242:4,19	40:9 53:11	75:13 77:24
253:3 254:12	108:9 109:8	244:14 246:4	60:9 63:19	80:18,19,21
257:12,23	110:19 112:1	246:17 247:23	64:23 67:9,12	85:17 86:2,11
258:8,15 259:2	112:18 113:23	248:11,24	68:18 74:23	88:1,15,17
259:9,20	116:24 118:5	249:9 251:16	78:4 88:12	91:6,9,23
260:15,18	118:14 119:4	252:11 253:3	93:2 109:3	96:21 99:22
261:7,23 262:8	119:20 120:6,7	254:12 257:12	121:4 125:19	107:8,19,23
263:2,12 265:3	123:11 125:24	259:9 260:18	136:6 144:7	109:13 111:16
270:11,22	139:15 140:4	261:7 263:2,12	155:16 272:8	111:19 117:23
279:24 281:16	140:24 141:1	265:3 266:20	277:8	125:6 154:4
<b>formal</b> 163:8	141:13,14	270:22 273:7	<b>FT</b> 98:1	161:1 174:2
<b>format</b> 164:14	142:9 143:9	274:5,15 278:2	full 5:10 7:11	180:4,6,17
187:3	145:8 147:22	278:16 279:23	121:15 137:10	182:9,12 201:2
forth 122:21	149:11 161:9	280:9 281:16	fully 233:21	getting 11:12
180:18 285:13	162:19 163:1	<b>FPC</b> 59:15	269:16	120:20 127:9
forward 26:16	163:17 166:3	free 261:19	further 27:15	144:9 199:14
31:9 60:4	166:19 169:17	freely 129:9	148:22 237:24	224:21 227:8
113:12 133:22	170:23 171:12	Friend 7:19,20	282:7 285:18	238:7
216:23	172:1,14	25:16 30:13		gist 137:22
<b>found</b> 268:24,24	173:19 174:11	32:5 61:6,9,18	G	139:21,21
foundation	176:1 177:7	62:11 63:14,21	G 59:15	230:5
15:19 22:18	178:16,18,19	64:20,24 66:8	gather 165:12	give 11:4 22:20
24:12 29:7	181:12 182:21	67:16,19 68:9	gathering 74:19	31:8 87:11
<u></u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

-				rage 299
100.6 144.12	245.15.251.1	217.21 220.21	152.6 9 0 21	72.24 72.5 <i>6</i>
108:6 144:13	245:15 251:1	217:21 220:21	152:6,8,9,21	guy 72:24 73:5,6
149:19 161:1	273:17 276:17	231:15 256:3	153:4,14,18	73:8,12,15,18
216:22 217:8	goal 165:12	257:8 261:14	154:19,24	73:19 113:22
237:14 261:14	goals 27:17	264:5 267:17	155:6,16,18,24	115:5 140:1,13
264:1 272:1	goes 121:21	267:18 269:1	156:4,5,18	140:19,23
given 12:6,7	going 8:22 11:1	271:15,19	157:1,8 158:1	141:12 142:3
25:6 52:19	13:2 15:10	276:1,6,20	269:8,12	143:8 147:3
80:4 133:5	16:20 17:5	278:8 280:20	270:21 271:12	242:1
152:18 157:19	19:22 22:20	281:24,24	271:21	guys 57:6 72:20
161:5 162:3,6	26:16 28:10	<b>golden</b> 179:7,11	granted 59:16	72:21 73:16,21
163:14 164:18	31:9 41:9,13	<b>gonna</b> 133:22	granting 152:23	74:4,7,9,11,13
215:1 230:8	45:15 50:17	Gonzalez 146:12	great 21:7 34:23	152:24 157:23
247:5 253:18	60:23,24 61:10	146:13 147:9	35:3 60:22	
257:6 266:7	66:7,16,19	147:10	63:6 66:14	<u>H</u>
271:6 278:4	69:4,9 71:12	Gonzalez's 8:1	87:10 88:14	H 4:11
giving 54:6 80:3	71:24 72:6	good 110:13	98:3 121:6	Hale 2:12 3:8
80:9 81:12	73:10,10 75:1	116:1 127:16	greatest 25:22	half 134:13
129:6,9	75:3,4,7 76:4	135:8,9 136:8	Green 122:6	264:2,4
<b>GJ</b> 100:9,10,11	82:18 84:7,10	136:11 138:6	ground 5:24	hand 72:15
<b>go</b> 15:20 16:2,2	84:16,17 85:9	157:23 159:12	167:22 265:11	187:16
19:24 32:17	87:6,6 88:3	168:20 169:1	grounds 23:18	<b>handed</b> 187:21
37:5 41:13,20	92:6,8 97:16	182:2 270:20	26:22 31:16	handgun 72:14
42:13,17 54:10	102:15 106:3,4	275:12	51:15 169:19	handing 185:11
56:12 59:13	106:8,13,15	<b>gotten</b> 32:13	172:2 176:5	handling 188:8
67:24 69:4	109:14,20	47:13,14	181:24 199:9	188:9
79:22 82:12	119:13 120:24	120:21,22	231:8 232:4	hands 160:19
83:23 92:6	121:1 125:9,11	GPR 162:21,24	255:16 256:14	handwriting
99:9 100:9,22	129:20 133:24	162:24 163:3	271:8	19:8,9,10,11
113:2 119:22	134:6,10,12	GPRs 163:16	group 8:6 74:19	19:17,20 21:10
127:14 128:15	135:13,15	grabbed 72:12	grouped 43:20	21:12,13 37:16
134:1 135:13	138:2,4,19,23	72:13	guess 22:13	37:19,21,23
140:6 143:13	143:22 153:9	grand 7:17,20	172:20	38:8 40:16
146:6 152:10	153:10 155:12	7:24 10:15,22	guessing 126:14	53:19,20 61:24
153:2 154:8,18	155:13 158:7,9	10:24 11:2,8	guidance 23:16	62:1,16 65:8
159:4 161:9	159:14 160:7	11:11 48:22	26:20 27:10	67:18,21 68:3
166:21 170:24	162:7,9 170:17	49:18 50:23	156:9 167:20	68:5 89:5,6
173:21 176:4	171:19 176:23	51:6,12 54:14	168:13 231:7	93:6 97:4,5
177:10 178:16	180:11,12	54:23 55:1,15	265:12,24	103:5 108:12
178:20 182:24	181:8 186:19	55:22 56:20	266:5 278:6	115:10,12
187:6 188:20	212:7,9,9,12	57:4 100:10,11	guilt 180:19	123:15 126:5
192:2 208:5,5	212:13,24	129:23 130:11	182:15 190:2	128:7 203:9,17
209:2 211:19	213:3,3 214:5	130:15,24	guilty 265:2,20	273:20,21
212:11 213:1	213.3,3 214.3	130.13,24	266:18	handwritten
213:19 214:3	214:8 213:12	135:16,19	gun 73:1,9,12	7:15,16,19
		,	74:3	62:8,12,17,18
214:11 216:23	216:19 217:6	146:2,7,9	/4.3	02.0,12,17,10

				Tage 300
62:18 66:7	heard 131:8	232:3,17	184:14 187:5	94:2,10,16,21
		· ·		95:5,10 104:10
68:15 69:20	151:13 175:15	233:18 237:10	196:5,16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
70:1 71:5,9	196:21 221:12	247:8 248:14	206:18 208:2	111:18,24
77:18 78:1	221:14 223:17	255:15 256:12	208:20 210:8	124:21,24
80:15 125:3,6	224:20,23	256:14 261:8	211:11 230:14	125:16 130:4
125:11,22	225:2,2,19	265:10,24	233:4,16 236:5	136:3 143:21
128:12 148:2,5	hearing 151:24	266:5,21 271:7	237:8,23	144:4 147:20
148:21 163:6,7	help 6:5 20:20	278:5 282:23	238:17 239:7	156:21 206:13
279:21 280:8	25:10 168:21	home 138:11,15	239:12,22	231:20 232:11
280:15	176:24	235:7 250:3,20	241:6 242:5,20	232:24 233:13
hang 31:3,3,4	<b>helped</b> 243:13	251:7 253:15	244:15 246:5	234:14 243:17
181:10 211:18	helpful 48:15	homi- 228:10	246:18 247:24	244:7,10
212:23,23	helps 102:4	homicide 218:5	248:12 251:17	245:20,24
<b>happen</b> 95:13	hereinabove	223:6 225:7	252:12 253:4	246:15,24
100:1 109:22	285:22	226:19 228:4	254:13 257:8	247:19 261:6
117:23,24	Hi 211:20	228:11,20	260:19 263:13	261:17 262:5
214:24	hide 186:5	229:7 243:3,18	hypotheticals	262:24 263:10
happened 29:6	history 47:24	244:1 246:1	209:14	264:21 277:24
39:17 59:23	48:10	248:21 250:14		278:13
86:14 94:4,24	hold 20:20	251:10 252:8	I	identifications
102:1 111:2	109:16 211:20	253:1 257:19	I-D-'-S 92:22	262:18
117:5 119:11	holding 73:9,15	258:4 259:6,16	i.e 158:19	identified 76:5
120:3 126:9	hole 109:1	260:1,7 264:14	<b>ID</b> 4:12 36:16	78:5 96:9
130:20 197:23	Holmes 3:2 12:5	265:21	37:7 38:9,14	145:6,14 148:4
200:14 243:14	23:16 26:20	honestly 215:12	61:11 64:9,13	148:13,22
279:19	27:10 29:16	hopefully	64:15 65:22	149:6 155:7
happening	30:6 31:14	176:23	92:19 104:14	157:14 227:5
11:13 120:1	42:13 51:11,14	hopes 6:5	111:11,15	234:2 242:1
253:24 256:22	52:20 54:21	hostile 124:2,6	112:5,6 252:24	244:23 245:7
happens 174:5	113:3 131:11	hour 106:14	272:12,18,20	248:8
hard 17:16 20:8	133:8 156:9,14		272:21 273:1,2	identifies 76:22
		134:13,13	273:6	
36:23,24 52:13	157:22 167:20	hours 212:7,17	ID'd 93:20	77:5 127:18
62:23 75:23	168:13 169:19	212:24	ID's 92:19,21	identify 65:23
76:2 216:23	172:2,16 173:1	house 137:17	idea 22:15 54:18	79:8 205:3,12
hate 211:14	173:22 176:5	240:11 253:12	57:24 89:19	208:15 210:4
head 202:4	180:11 181:8	houses 138:19	180:17 277:22	227:11 229:19
224:21	181:20 183:1	husband's 147:5		235:8,13,18,23
heads-up 11:4	187:7 188:2	HW 125:5	278:21 279:2	239:2,18
hear 34:21	189:1 193:9,22	hypothetical	identical 67:8	243:24 250:22
72:23 114:8,13	194:5,17	51:23 141:15	identification	251:9 252:1,7
114:14 142:4,5	196:18 199:9	142:10 143:10	17:3 38:14,17	255:4
219:15,22	199:21 205:24	171:13 172:15	38:18 39:10	identifying
221:4 223:10	206:19 207:5	174:12 176:2	53:4 54:7	143:5
225:11 229:3	207:17 213:6	177:8 181:10	64:20 65:1	identity 130:13
255:22,24	040 44 004 =	404 40 400 00	1 66.17 00.0	100.00
255.22,2.	213:11 231:7	181:13 182:22	66:13 88:9	130:23

				rage 301
<b>IDO-</b> 28:7	205:0 12 16	67:21 68:5,14	70:2 79:11	32:7
IDO- 28:7 IDOC 8:7 13:3,5	205:9,13,16 206:7	68:20 77:10,15	85:23 86:5	32:7 induced 261:18
28:8,10 47:24	included 7:5	78:7,19 79:3,6	93:24 95:9	influence 80:7
48:10 84:8,10		79:12 81:13		
84:17 85:3,10	18:13 191:1	84:15,24 85:1	97:6 98:16 99:14,24 103:7	261:5,16,18 influenced
217:21 220:21	including 23:5 265:6		· ·	237:20 238:14
227:20		85:5,7 86:12	103:15 104:6	
	incomplete	90:18 91:1	115:5 119:13	239:2,18
ILCS 54:22	51:22 141:15	93:4 94:3,5,17	132:2 147:24	245:20,24
illegal 130:13	142:10 143:10	95:1,11 97:1,3	149:14,15	246:14 262:4
Illinois 1:1 2:4,9	171:13 172:15	97:14 98:19,20	160:6,7 200:5	262:17 263:10
2:14,20 3:3	174:12 176:2	100:19 101:9	200:16 201:18	264:20
91:10 189:17	177:8 181:10	101:24 102:8	238:12 242:15	influencing
189:21 190:11	181:12 182:22	103:1,3,18	278:11	262:24
284:1 285:2	184:14 187:4	104:3,16,19	indicated 80:23	inform 185:15
286:5,16	196:5,16	105:7 109:10	105:20 145:14	264:17
immune 196:13	206:18 208:2	109:23 112:4	145:20 150:3	information
immunity	208:20 210:8	113:14,19	163:20 170:3	18:21 26:24
173:17 174:3	211:11 230:14	116:10,12	201:15 205:2	46:5,7,22 47:1
178:12 196:22	233:4,16 236:4	117:4 118:18	205:11 234:19	47:8,14,18
impeach 184:2	237:8,23	118:24 119:1,9	246:12 250:8	55:14 77:17,19
implicate	238:17 239:7	123:13 124:7,9	indicates 68:22	78:2,15 96:3
258:24	239:22 241:6	124:16 125:1	68:23 93:7	165:1,13
implicating 51:3	242:5,20	126:6 129:1	117:2,3 124:13	183:13 185:8
implicitly 55:6	244:15 246:5	138:10 146:4,6	124:14,23	190:1 194:12
imposes 180:9	246:18 247:24	166:2 193:6,20	125:3,5 127:21	194:21 195:3
impressions	248:12 251:17	197:4 200:2,12	129:5 239:16	195:13,20
23:3,7 26:10	252:12 253:4	201:22,24	indicating 91:18	196:2,3 207:8
51:4 113:2	254:13 260:19	217:11 218:3	149:17	233:12 238:9
167:11,15	263:13	219:4 220:22	indication 108:6	247:21 260:14
170:6 230:24	inconsistent	223:3 225:3	201:12 271:2	informed 13:17
232:1	278:1,14	226:17,22	indictment 8:2	initial 164:8
improper	inconsistent/17	227:1 234:5	individual 2:16	279:5,7 280:7
133:18 142:2	277:19	241:18 243:6,7	5:15 22:10	initially 163:13
in-person 32:21	inconveniencing	243:10 245:2,5	30:15 33:7	initials 89:19
104:20 162:3	153:22	247:12 249:3	34:5 47:1 48:1	innocence
inability 255:4	increase 34:22	249:21 255:1,6	61:5 65:14,23	151:16 152:1
incident 35:15	independent	257:6 273:22	76:23 77:5	265:1,19
36:6,10 82:20	12:14 13:12	274:19 279:13	78:11 80:15	innocent 265:21
83:4 92:10	19:24 28:14,17	280:4 281:19	85:24 88:6	266:19
93:19 95:24	30:14 31:2	independently	113:22 127:18	inside 18:19
105:17 115:8	33:6 34:11	166:15 194:4	136:11 139:24	24:6 25:4
115:13 116:17	35:10 36:4	228:8,17	143:7 146:10	48:24 73:2
124:17 166:23	41:7 43:1 54:5	indicate 24:7,18	individuals 32:4	109:12
include 47:16	61:22 63:15	24:19 27:21	262:22	instance 10:18
167:11 205:4,8	64:1,19 65:10	40:17 59:22	individuals'	49:1 52:6
L		1	<u> </u>	1

				rage 302
60.24 101.22	intorpotions	149:19 164:17	33:2 38:21	235:18 240:21
69:24 191:22 192:6	<b>interactions</b> 219:5 220:23	249:7 274:23	33:2 38:21 47:17 60:24	241:1,16,23
instances 112:13	223:4 225:4	interviewing 10:20 143:3	90:18 104:19	243:13,17 244:23 245:9
112:17	interest 261:13		105:1,6 113:16	
instruct 12:8	interested 286:1	168:7,17	155:8 157:15	245:21 246:1
22:17,22 23:8	interests 130:21	170:21 171:6	192:21 200:1	246:15,24
26:13 29:9,22	interject 130:10	183:6 226:18	217:13 219:9	247:19 248:8
51:10 154:7	275:3	interviews	involvement	248:22 251:8
155:12 167:16	internet 48:7,9	171:23	30:17 32:2	252:6,7,23
169:23 170:8	interpretation	introduce 181:2	197:21,21	254:24 256:7
181:17 231:2	23:5	INV 36:18 37:10	255:8 256:6	256:11 257:10
255:17 256:16	interprets	38:20 44:18,21	261:1	257:20 258:4
265:5 267:2	278:19	44:24	involving 7:17	259:7,17 260:2
271:9	interrogatories	investigate	12:24 255:21	260:8 261:2
instructed 153:9	285:17	44:22 193:5,19	issue 152:6	264:15,23
153:15,16,20	interrupt	194:4	216:2	266:18 268:16
instructing	211:14	investigating	issued 91:12	284:3
167:8	interrupted	19:3 160:23	92:3,3 115:20	jcc@johnccoy
instruction	134:7	161:6 163:15	131:9 132:19	2:21
22:20 23:13,17	interruptions	199:2	133:4 156:1	Jennifer 1:12
24:15 26:5,16	22:21	investigation	items 44:13	4:3 5:3,12 8:1
26:21 27:10	interview 10:6	15:18 22:4	58:18	26:6 29:9 31:4
29:8 31:13,14	13:3 25:15,17	27:15 97:20	J	51:1 52:19
33:15 51:1,12	25:19 38:22	98:17 142:15		55:17,21 68:1
51:14 52:18	39:6 44:24	161:19 165:20	J 2:7 3:3 38:1	68:10 69:7
55:19 151:18	49:8 63:9,11	177:6 192:22	84:1	78:13 80:2
155:16,22	63:20 86:17	193:2 197:4	J-E-N-N-I-F	88:21 89:5
156:7,10,13	96:20,22 97:7	198:14 200:7	5:12	112:19 129:1
157:18 167:21	97:9 100:5	207:23 218:5	jacket 72:12,14	130:10 132:12
168:12,13	101:1 103:23	223:7 225:7,7	Jackson 2:13,19	146:11,13,17
182:23 185:1	104:5,7 110:24	243:4 250:14	James 1:3 5:16	146:23 147:13
230:23 231:7	113:17 124:14	254:22 257:19	8:9 12:15	150:16,21
231:23 232:2,3	124:15 166:1	258:3 259:6,16	13:14 19:19	151:10 155:12
232:15 238:1	166:15 169:8,9	260:1,7 262:6	58:17 60:2	157:20 167:7
265:12,23,24	204:1,2,17	264:14	90:2,22 103:10	170:1 173:6
269:19 271:5	256:9 273:12	investigations	122:13 123:3	181:14 185:1
271:16,20	274:3,8,14	192:24 196:11	123:16 127:19	188:14 208:22
278:3,5,17	275:2 279:7	investigators	197:14 199:7	230:22 265:6
instructions	280:7	193:2	199:19 218:6	267:1 271:6
29:14	interviewed	invocation	223:7 225:8	282:10 284:11
integrity 267:16	39:2 63:13	270:24	226:20 227:5	284:16 285:7
interacted	96:24 101:7	involved 11:12	228:10 229:6	285:14,21,23
224:11	105:12 110:7	12:22 13:1,13	229:19 231:20	jeopardy 130:23
interaction	110:17 124:12	13:19 14:1,12	232:11,24	132:17 133:5
222:13 226:9	149:9,10,15,17	20:1,6 28:24	234:2,11,14	155:19 158:21
		l	l	

Jerome 1:6	jumped 73:19	126:12 159:24	146:13 151:1	241:19 247:12
218:22 284:6	jumped 73.17 jumping 123:4	171:15 172:24	152:16,24	249:3 257:4
<b>JG</b> 100:9	159:15	174:15 172:24	158:8,13 160:5	known 128:14
Jim 178:7	jury 7:17,20,24	180:8	161:19 163:10	190:1
	10:15,22,24	kinds 180:23	165:7 166:11	knows 67:4
John 2:18,18 6:23 42:13	f f			128:1 272:17
	11:2,8,11	knew 109:20	168:22 171:20	
49:21 113:8	48:22 49:18	122:3,17,24	174:2,18 175:9	272:20,22
135:3 152:16	50:23 51:6	208:16 224:11	175:14,16,18	L
153:5,12 158:8	54:15,24 55:15	228:3,9,18	175:20 176:18	$\frac{\mathbf{L}}{\mathbf{L}}$ 2:13
158:13 211:20	55:22 56:20	229:6,20	177:1 178:10	lack 44:7 109:17
212:16 214:22	57:5 100:10,12	know 6:1,4 7:9	185:10 186:15	185:7
217:3 263:22	129:23 130:11	8:12,16 11:9	187:14 190:15	
267:7 275:14	130:15 131:1	13:15 14:9	190:22 191:9	lady 140:12 lasted 85:13
275:14 276:6	132:15 133:7	20:9 22:10,13	191:15,15	
277:4 282:1	135:16,19	24:16 26:14	196:24 197:19	275:23
John's 136:21	146:2,7,9	27:3 30:11	201:20 202:9	late 9:22 56:15
join 34:20 35:19	152:6,8,9,21	32:13 34:23	202:14,15,17	160:1
40:13 41:1	153:4,14,19	35:1 37:19	203:11,11,14	laundry 74:17
42:18 46:13	154:20,24	39:4,5 41:3,3,4	206:24 208:15	74:18,21
47:4 48:3	155:6,16,18,24	41:5,6,11	212:7,15,17	law 2:18 91:10
52:10 53:13	156:4,6,18	42:21 43:3,19	214:23,24	150:7 191:7
55:18,19 66:1	157:1,8 158:1	45:2 54:1	215:14,24	192:22 194:22
69:17 75:19	269:8,12	56:14 58:1,1	216:11 217:3	214:16 216:15
77:22 86:21	270:21 271:12	58:10 59:5	218:9,12 220:9	lawsuit 5:16
91:21 99:18	271:22	62:1,4 64:3,3	220:11,12,18	lawyer 69:8,9,14
100:17 101:21	jury-related	65:19 68:21	222:5,6,8,8,17	191:14
110:20 114:1	55:1	70:7 78:6	222:18,18,21	laying 74:23
118:6,16 119:7	justice 179:14	81:15 84:4	222:22,22	lead 188:13,14
120:8 141:17		87:7 89:3,14	223:1 224:19	188:17 190:16
143:12 157:22	K	89:23 90:16	224:20,23	learn 33:3
178:21 194:16	<b>Kari</b> 1:17 34:21	91:3 94:16	226:10,11,13	165:10,19
195:17 198:7	255:23 282:23	96:18 100:20	226:14 227:23	183:21 188:6
198:20 210:9	285:4 286:15	105:8 106:12	228:7,14,16	191:6 206:13
211:12 229:11	keep 31:12	108:1,3 109:14	229:1,13,14	learned 39:24
229:22 230:1	33:14 73:10	109:22 113:10	234:17 240:13	46:8 165:8
230:15 238:19	99:10 106:2,4	120:20 121:13	249:13,19	184:9 187:20
239:24 241:8	106:8,15 108:4	122:1,1 126:10	250:1 256:2	188:7 233:13
266:6	121:20 140:2	128:3 130:19	270:5 273:5	234:22 238:15
joined 56:14	153:10 163:22	131:5,9,16,21	275:2,19	239:19 242:16
247:8 265:10	kids 128:14	132:21 133:22	277:21 279:15	242:18 246:16
JR 1:3 284:3	kin 286:2	134:8,9,14	279:19 280:6	247:16,18
judge 55:4,12,13	kind 8:12,17	135:1 137:12	281:8,13	248:5 252:21
92:3 131:7,8	36:21 43:14	137:24 141:6	knowing 126:10	253:2 263:8
156:2,3	53:23 98:5	142:14,17	264:23	learning 33:7
jump 74:1	108:22,23	144:15 146:10	knowledge 56:9	186:7 265:17
J	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

leaving 193:1	122:21 123:6	159:15,18	224:22 235:1	<b>M/S</b> 59:18
277:2	181:21 226:11	163:8,9 185:24	250:6 269:3	ma'am 257:10
left 7:7 19:18	270:23	192:19 213:13	279:4 280:17	267:24 268:19
53:21 74:15	lines 36:22	269:2	looking 7:7,8	269:4,10,13
122:9 144:20	lineup 25:20	live 25:20 33:12	19:4,5,15 20:4	277:21 278:9
left-hand 35:15	33:12,13,18,19	33:13,18,21	60:13 122:20	281:8
44:9 48:23	33:22 36:17	115:24 116:22	126:9 149:23	machine 43:15
61:11 70:11				
	37:9 48:21	117:14 118:3	202:4 280:14	Madam 142:5
82:20 83:3,14	53:5,22 64:21	LLC 2:12	looks 8:1 19:8	Madison 72:18
87:13 88:19	92:20,22 93:20	Lo 3:7	19:19 21:1,13	73:22,23 122:3
89:17 97:19	104:10,14,20	local 190:11	21:17 22:5	maintained
102:21 115:7	105:1,2 115:24	207:14	36:18 37:18,23	101:16
116:18 121:10	116:23 117:15	locate 60:20	38:1,7 40:16	making 11:17
legal 23:1	117:19 118:3,4	located 6:8	53:19 54:13,15	51:6 64:20
112:22 147:5	118:11,13,19	60:21 92:23	57:22 58:17	86:16 128:9
189:15 231:2	118:24 119:3	<b>Loevy</b> 2:2,2	61:13,24 62:7	129:2 163:5
233:11	119:10,11,17	150:8,8,10,10	62:16,23 63:7	273:19
length 134:20	145:15 240:8	150:14,14	64:8 65:9	man 72:10,12,13
201:9	240:20,22	Loevy's 57:1,4	67:20 68:4	74:23 267:16
let's 16:22 32:19	241:2,15,17,22	long 9:2,7 78:14	70:13,22 75:24	manner 153:15
41:11 54:10	269:6 272:17	106:10 135:3	76:9,16 88:23	Mar 63:1 92:21
60:4 83:12	272:22	170:10 185:1	90:11 93:6	92:23 96:15
96:5 98:23	lineups 99:7,14	191:8 212:11	96:13 97:24	116:4 274:23
100:22 106:20	99:24 105:6	214:4 275:4	98:4 100:24	March 60:5
134:4,4 135:13	115:21	longer 134:5	108:19,24	63:14 68:24
135:23 154:2	liquor 74:20,23	188:4 216:4	109:2 110:11	82:23 83:16
154:18 156:17	list 26:3 27:16	267:18	115:13,17	87:6 91:15
206:23 213:19	listed 25:2 30:20	look 8:17 17:5	121:6,14	96:6,24 97:7
216:23 217:1,8	30:20,21 32:4	18:23 20:10	123:15 124:1	99:16 101:2,7
letter 98:8,8	34:6,14 35:11	38:5 44:13	124:20 126:5	110:8,18 116:3
277:18	35:16 45:8,10	57:10,12,14	126:14,15	116:5 149:16
letters 21:22	46:23 61:6	60:4 67:7	128:8 129:12	149:18 272:3
88:24 89:8	68:8,10 90:12	71:12 89:5	129:17 268:13	273:13 274:4,8
level 81:5 82:4	93:8,9,13,16	92:8 96:5 97:4	268:14 275:1	274:23 286:6
132:8 133:19	94:8 110:3	103:6 106:20	lot 48:7,16 74:16	mark 16:20,22
174:6	202:2 260:13	110:13 116:1	122:8 142:18	66:6,24 88:4
licensed 8:24 9:2	listen 168:21	131:16,18,23	168:24 209:5,6	125:10 129:21
life 25:4	listening 168:23	133:24 136:12	209:13 222:12	135:14 143:23
light 64:16	litigation 132:3	203:15,17	209.13 222.12	153.14 143.23
83:10 99:5	little 20:23	272:24 273:1	loud 72:8	156:17
155:18		273:20		marked 4:12
	36:21,23 48:17		lunch 213:6,10	
Likewise 232:17	60:15 62:22	looked 8:23	215:6,8 216:1	17:2,24 66:12
line 53:22 64:6,7	92:12 95:15	13:23 41:14	216:12,13	66:18 67:11
64:7 98:6	98:6 134:5	42:10 91:13	M	88:8 125:15
99:24 105:13	144:20 153:8	202:24 203:12		130:3 135:17
	•	•	•	•

				rage 303
126.2 144.2	215,22 261.6	120.11 141.7	174:24 175:4	222.20.21
136:2 144:3 156:20 158:11	215:22 261:6 269:13 270:13	120:11 141:7 141:19 142:21	174:24 175:4 178:12	222:20,21
268:8 281:6		141:19 142:21		224:17,20,24 225:2 243:8
marks 8:19,20	<b>Meaning</b> 38:16 150:6	177:23 179:2	money 73:15 122:10,23	249:22 281:9,9
	means 39:5 45:5	238:5 239:3,10	Monico 2:12 3:8	named 7:23
married 147:2,3		240:2 241:11	months 280:6	named 7:23 250:21
<b>Maryland</b> 180:1	59:15,17,17	240:2 241:11		
180:4,8 <b>mat</b> 74:17	61:11 63:9	mine 40:17	morning 160:16 motion 59:18	names 13:20,22
	96:19,21 173:14 186:14		151:24	32:7,13 33:3,7
material 184:6 270:2 271:4		62:17 65:9		224:10 narcotics 9:12
	272:12,20,21	93:7 103:6	motions 58:7,23	
275:5 276:8	meant 44:24	108:12 123:16	mouth 74:24	nature 12:6
materials 56:20	meet 16:2	126:5 174:6	move 83:12	necessarily 12:3
57:5 131:3	meeting 85:8,13	203:10,15	99:12 113:11	102:6 164:2
132:17 152:21	97:2 138:11	minimal 58:15	138:2	185:23 186:18
180:23	141:3	minute 264:2,4	moving 60:3	186:19,20
matter 159:14	member 264:16	minutes 135:5	87:5	204:20,24
164:5 178:14	memo 186:21	159:5,5 212:12	multiple 44:6	205:4 210:24
216:7 235:5	memory 13:9	213:4 214:10	47:7,13,15	230:17 233:2
270:19	20:5	215:4 216:17	109:7 115:2	237:4 242:2
matters 155:24	men 8:6,7	216:20 263:20	151:4 201:6	246:2
212:10 214:6	mental 23:3,7	267:17 275:9	275:5	necessary
214:15 216:13	26:10 51:3	275:24 277:2	murder 19:11	158:23
mean 10:16	112:22 113:1	mis- 257:5	21:17 78:11	need 5:24 22:24
21:23 24:11	167:10,15	mischaracteri	79:2 90:7	23:7 26:10
33:13,18 38:10	170:5 230:24	119:21 166:20	197:4 198:13	34:22 100:9
38:13,21 39:1	232:1	229:23 247:1	200:7 218:6	106:2 107:22
45:13,14 49:7	mentally 186:17	misconduct 81:6	223:8 264:12	120:19 127:15
49:18 50:23	mentioned	81:23 82:5	264:15 266:19	133:19 134:6
52:17,23 56:11	10:10 155:13	119:2 219:16	N	134:10 151:11
57:1,13 59:6	160:17 213:23	219:24 221:5		153:6 157:20
61:18 63:11	214:2	221:15,15	N 4:1 24:6	158:8 159:5
64:12,15 85:16		223:12,19	name 5:10,11,14	167:10,14
85:17 86:12	messed 43:14	225:13,21	34:7 38:6,6	170:5 181:15
87:24 88:1	met 16:13 84:20	257:2,5,21	46:23 67:19	186:3 206:21
89:8 91:23	mid-2000s	missed 122:14	76:10 88:21,22	206:21 211:13
111:17 131:19	219:13	122:18 123:5	88:24 89:9,12	212:9,12 213:3
152:15 171:16	middle 71:24	missing 36:22	89:20 93:8,15	214:6,9,17,18
171:18 172:7	79:17,18 87:14	misstates 229:11	96:11 122:12	215:11 216:13
174:21,24	137:10	245:11,14	122:13 123:1,3	216:20 217:3
178:6 179:4	mind 17:5 27:6	254:15 278:18	146:11,17,22	229:14 231:24
180:20 186:11	31:12 33:15	mitigates 190:3	147:3,5,5,9,14	263:18,18
186:13,18	67:3 103:16	<b>Mm-hmm</b> 8:3	147:15,16	265:7 276:18
212:11,15	106:14 188:11	moment 31:7	159:12 208:16	282:17
213:12,16,17	<b>mindful</b> 81:16	49:24	210:5 219:1	needed 44:4,6
214:22 215:2	86:24,24	monetary	220:17,19	100:1
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Tage 500
needs 91:11	note-ish 163:9	158:13,16	172:14,17	254:11,12,15
106:16	noted 39:20	158:13,16	172:14,17	254:11,12,13
			173.1,19,23	-
negate 180:19 182:15 190:2	84:21 91:18 104:9 121:7	176:5 180:12 199:9 210:7	174:11 176:1	257:12,23
never 10:23	104:9 121:7	250:23 255:15	177:7178:16	258:8,15 259:2 259:9,20
				/
16:12 103:16	147:13 201:4	271:7 273:15	181:12,20,23	260:18 261:7,8
147:3 166:8,15	notes 36:14	<b>objection</b> 11:5	181:24 182:21	261:23 262:8
216:8 238:15	48:13 49:9	15:19 22:18	183:1 184:13	263:2,12 265:3
239:19 242:18	52:3 62:21	27:12 29:7,18	185:18 187:4,8	265:22 266:20
246:16 253:2	63:12 64:8,23	30:6,24 31:5	188:1,2 189:1	266:21 269:14
new 109:17	78:23 83:4	31:10,10,14	189:2 192:2,10	270:11,22
noise 49:14	93:2,24 94:9	32:8,9 33:14	192:13 193:8,9	273:7 274:5,15
53:24	94:22,23 95:4	34:15,16,18,24	194:15 195:5	278:2,3,15,16
noncharging	95:9,16 96:13	35:18 37:4	195:15 196:4	279:23 280:9
27:1 29:21	96:23 100:13	38:11,23 39:11	196:15 197:7	281:16
Norad- 126:14	100:24 105:11	39:13 40:12,23	197:10,17	objections 65:24
Noradin 1:7	110:6 111:22	42:12,13,16	198:6,19 199:8	145:17 148:7
14:8,8,10 15:6	124:11 125:2	46:10,11 47:3	199:21 200:20	148:16,24
15:7,14 113:16	136:13 145:2	49:19 51:22	204:4 205:6,14	163:18 170:19
126:14 222:16	147:19 163:5	52:9 53:7,13	205:22,24	173:2 175:1,6
222:17,19,20	164:13 202:20	59:7 61:20	206:17,19	182:3 184:24
223:1,2,6,11	202:23	62:13 63:22	207:5,17 208:1	193:22 194:5
223:18 264:19	notice 1:14	65:2,16 70:4	208:19 209:3	194:18 196:18
284:6	noticed 74:18	75:4 77:21	219:18 220:5	207:4,16
Noradiv 126:15	notific- 186:4	79:20 81:9	221:7,17,24	210:15 211:6
normal 212:16	notification 24:7	82:6 83:7 86:6	223:14,21	211:10
normally 160:9	24:10,19	86:19 89:21	224:3 225:15	obligated
160:12	notified 24:18	91:20 100:16	225:22 226:5,6	179:23 206:15
north 2:3 74:12	201:21,23	101:19 103:13	227:14,21	207:14
74:13 286:15	notify 186:7	105:3 107:12	228:12,21,22	obligation
northeast 73:23	November 9:3	108:9 109:8	229:8,9,21	130:17 180:23
NORTHERN	number 4:12	110:19 112:1	230:13,21	185:12,15
1:1 284:1	20:14 33:11	112:18 117:20	231:22 232:3	187:2,22
285:2	46:24 55:1	118:5 119:4	232:15 233:3	188:23 233:11
Nos 25:2	99:8 108:3	123:11 140:4	233:15,18	237:5 248:9
<b>Notary</b> 284:21	116:14 158:22	140:24 141:14	236:3 237:7,10	obligations
notated 204:22	158:22 269:17	142:9 143:9	237:22 238:16	81:17,18 87:1
notation 52:16	269:19	148:8 155:11	239:6,21 241:5	87:1 120:12,14
200:10 270:8		155:11 157:18	242:4,19	141:7,8,11,20
270:14,18	0	158:14 161:9	244:14 245:12	141:21 142:1
271:21	<b>O'Brien</b> 22:7	162:19 163:1	246:4,17 247:1	142:21,23
note 21:14 38:20	48:22 202:6,9	163:17 166:3	247:23 248:11	143:1,18,18,20
40:9,20 55:7	202:15 269:9	166:19 167:7	248:14 249:9	174:19,21
91:14,15 97:6	oath 284:12	169:17 170:23	249:16 251:16	176:19,21
101:5 110:16	object 31:8	171:12 172:1,2	252:11 253:3	177:24 178:1
	<u> </u>	, <del>-</del>		

				Page 307
170.2 5 100.1	57.1 4 50.10	okov 5.10 22	102.4.21	218.16.21
179:3,5 180:1 180:9 182:12	57:1,4 59:10	okay 5:19,23	102:4,21 103:11 105:19	218:16,21
180:9 182:12	92:4 101:16,17 101:18 106:11	6:13 7:1,4,10		219:2 220:3,20
		8:10,21 9:20	106:4,7,7,15	221:3,21
190:15 212:16	113:4 131:12	9:23 10:10,14	106:18 107:8	222:20,24
238:6 239:4,10	132:3 133:9,24	14:7 17:14,23	108:5 109:6	230:8 231:19
240:3 241:12	136:21 151:23	18:4,8,17,24	110:1,6,11,16	232:23 234:23
242:11	152:3 156:11	19:1,12,22	111:16,21	243:20 256:24
obstruct 257:10	167:22 169:16	20:18 21:5,7,7	120:19,24	257:16 262:1
obtain 47:1	169:22 172:4	23:15 25:14	121:6 123:24	264:3,11
obtained 32:6	172:18 173:24	28:4,9,13 29:4	124:5 125:9,21	266:17 267:19
91:11	175:11 176:6	32:1,15 33:1,5	126:18,20	268:6,12,15,22
obviously	176:10 177:3	34:5,9 35:3	127:4,9 128:23	269:1 271:24
131:15 134:8	180:13 182:1	36:3 37:22	133:15 134:16	272:5,7,12
153:24	183:2 190:12	38:9,19 39:8	134:24 135:3,6	273:11 274:2
occasion 163:16	193:5,10,19	39:19 40:20	135:8,18,19,21	274:10 275:5
169:7	194:11 196:19	41:15,20,24	136:8,15,23	277:3,14,17
occurred 85:3	197:16 199:11	42:6 43:22	137:6 138:2	278:11 279:3
94:18 95:6	206:1 231:8	44:12,17 45:15	139:10,19,23	279:17 280:20
165:20 262:5	232:4,18	45:19,23 46:2	140:11,17	281:23,23
273:12	233:19 237:11	46:5 49:17	144:11,17,20	old 191:13
occurring 119:2	247:8 248:15	50:21 51:18	144:23 145:23	277:19
119:19	255:14,16,20	54:5 56:1,2,5	146:15,19	<b>older</b> 74:22
offender 79:1,8	256:16,18	56:10,17,22,23	147:18 151:15	once 74:11
111:24 120:5	261:9 265:10	57:8,15 58:10	152:5 153:11	165:11,21
140:18,22	266:22 271:8	59:3,20 60:8	154:13 155:4	167:9 177:20
141:12 142:2	271:11 278:13	60:22,22 61:5	160:2,17	208:13 270:23
143:5,6 145:15	<b>Office's</b> 29:23	61:10,13,17	161:14 162:7	276:24
147:20 148:4	<b>officer</b> 16:11,16	62:7,11,21	162:11,13,14	open 35:21
148:14,23	163:5 219:17	63:4,8,12	163:22 164:12	opened 73:18
155:8	220:4 221:23	66:14 67:3,5	165:14,23	opine 255:14
offenders 76:23	223:12,19	67:10,15,18,24	166:14 169:6	opinion 220:3
77:6 157:15	224:2 226:4	68:3,8 70:17	172:9 173:12	221:21 224:1
offense 90:6	officers 1:8 5:15	72:6 75:15	173:15 179:19	226:3 230:24
190:3	11:10 47:17	76:9 80:12,22	183:18 184:8	265:1,7,20
offer 54:22	90:12 138:5	82:24 83:2	185:22 186:9	266:17 267:1,3
230:23 265:7	167:2 235:6,13	84:6,13,18	186:23 187:19	267:4,6
office 3:2,6 6:9	284:8	85:4,15,19,23	189:17 190:6	opinions 22:24
6:18,23 9:6,8	OFFICES 2:18	87:10,21,24	190:10,14,19	112:21 167:11
9:14 12:6,12	<b>oh</b> 20:17 57:3	88:14,19,23	191:6 192:19	185:2 231:1
23:18 26:22	58:9 73:3,6	89:18 90:1,5	199:17 202:18	opportunity
27:11 29:16	87:19 103:15	90:11,16 93:10	202:24 203:20	31:8 55:3 87:8
31:15 43:13	114:12 115:22	93:18 95:21	203:22 204:11	121:3 136:23
51:15 52:20	121:6 122:18	96:18 97:9,24	204:15 207:21	144:13 157:7
54:22 55:8	156:23 200:24	98:10,22 100:4	208:11 217:7	157:10
56:10,19,24	213:15	100:7 101:5	217:19 218:8	<b>opposed</b> 109:16
	l			l -

				rage 300
oral 48:21 52:17	43:21 44:2	paralegal 3:7	264:15	<b>photo</b> 36:17
52:23 83:20	54:10,11,11,13	131:18 152:11	<b>people</b> 8:11	48:21 53:5,22
269:7 285:17	57:22 58:3,4,5	paren 28:6,8	10:14 33:19,20	54:8 61:14,17
orally 264:17	58:6,11 60:20	122:10,10	74:19 122:6	61:19 62:2,4,5
order 22:12,12	60:21 61:1	parking 74:16	147:4 151:4	64:21 65:1,13
22:23 23:4,6	70:12 71:13,17	Parkside 73:24	201:20 276:3	65:15 92:20,22
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		276:24	· ·
26:9 43:8,10	71:21,24 75:8	74:12,13		93:20 94:11,22
55:3,7,12 56:8	76:11 79:15,15	part 11:17 29:19	percent 5:22	94:23 95:5
56:19 57:10	82:19 83:13,15	58:19 115:11	99:5 236:8	114:6,7,20,21
81:11 91:6	83:18 87:13	115:12 122:15	period 36:18	115:2 124:21
92:18,21 98:1	88:20 92:8,9	122:16 126:15	38:1 166:10	145:6 147:21
98:8,10 130:15	93:12 96:8	127:23 167:2	215:6 276:20	148:14 226:11
131:1,7,9,17	97:19 100:23	175:12,14	permit 132:20	226:13 227:11
132:10,19,23	103:7,22 107:2	203:12 217:17	permitted 173:6	227:19 236:12
133:1,3,13,15	107:6,18 108:7	217:19 255:23	person 16:1,13	236:13,19,21
133:19,19	108:15 110:3	278:24	22:14 78:8	237:2,3,20
152:22 153:18	115:7 116:14	participants	115:5 168:21	238:14 240:11
153:18 156:1	116:15,18	82:16 268:2	209:9 210:6	240:21 241:1
158:2,20 167:9	120:17 121:1,4	281:2	250:2 252:1	241:16,23
167:13 203:21	123:18,18	particular 26:11	253:13 254:7	242:1 244:22
282:17	128:18 129:11	51:20 58:11	261:18	245:8 250:20
orders 282:20	137:8,10 139:2	59:23 77:20	person's 210:5	251:8,24 252:1
orient 87:11	139:3,4 203:6	109:6 170:11	personal 15:24	252:6,23
ought 131:9	268:24 273:24	181:16 208:23	16:1 104:15	253:21 269:6
132:21 155:17	277:10,11,12	parties 158:23	149:24 161:19	272:16,22
158:21	277:17	286:3	162:10 276:16	photocopied
<b>outline</b> 134:15	pages 41:21	partners 222:3	personally	76:1
outset 32:20	43:13,17,19	passenger 73:18	48:11 214:13	photocopies
outside 18:18,22	107:24 108:1	<b>patting</b> 73:5,13	214:17 216:14	8:16
58:14 72:19	painful 153:8	pause 31:6,7	personnel 168:8	photograph
74:19 168:7	paper 25:6 60:9	payment 174:9	pertaining 1:16	75:10,16 76:1
219:2,24	60:11,12 66:9	174:16,22,24	8:9 55:15	78:4 113:21,22
overturned	90:3 116:16	174:24 175:4,9	155:23,24	115:4 139:12
151:9	123:5 203:14	175:18 178:12	170:7,10	140:1,22 143:6
	papers 18:23	178:13	214:16	143:8 245:9
P	parade 214:3	pendency	petition 255:13	253:13
P-U-T 115:23	parade 214.3	204:18 221:1	256:10	photographs
P-V-T 115:22	79:17,18	225:6 255:12	phone 2:4,9,15	33:20 67:6
p.m 283:2	137:10,11,15	256:10 259:5	2:20 3:4 6:11	98:17
packet 7:5 43:18	137:21 138:3	259:15,24	15:23 16:8,10	photos 98:1,9,11
page 4:2 19:15	139:1,8,11	260:6	16:12,14 46:24	98:13 115:2
20:11 21:9	144:16,24	pending 50:16	101:1,7 161:22	227:19,20
34:1 41:10,13	145:24			, and the second
41:18,21 42:1		175:22 177:4	162:2,13 186:6	phrase 112:6
42:6,9,22	paragraphs	178:13 211:14	211:14 275:23	187:12
72.0,7,22	144:12	234:2 249:6	286:17	phrased 165:14
	•	•	•	•

				rage 309
-h:1052 02	100,610417	104.12.105.4	152.10	mmofor: 16:22
physical 253:23	182:6 184:17	194:13 195:4	152:19	prefer 16:22
254:8	187:10 193:14	195:13 196:2	<b>positive</b> 38:16	67:7 154:3
physically	209:17 211:21	196:12 197:6	53:4 54:6	preliminary
161:24	218:9 232:7	197:16 198:3	64:15,20,24	18:8
pick 186:6	261:11 265:14	198:16 199:1	65:22 94:1,2	prep 20:16
226:12	268:21 282:19	202:10,16	94:10,15 95:4	preparation
picked 92:18,20	<b>plus</b> 64:8,14	206:5,6 207:22	95:9 104:10	6:21 29:12
picture 8:5	104:13	208:6 210:2	124:21,24	130:8 133:12
222:11 224:21	<b>point</b> 5:24 6:3	218:14,18	147:19	151:2 155:1
pictured 72:11	9:13 33:11	219:17 220:4	positively 65:23	201:14 203:5
pictures 8:6	61:19 75:11	220:16 221:22	145:5,14 148:4	227:16 277:15
67:1	100:14 124:2	223:12,19	148:13 149:6	prepare 28:21
piece 19:6 25:6	160:4 183:10	224:2,16 226:4	155:7	78:17 126:3
90:3 203:14	187:16 188:24	227:7 230:11	possessed	230:4 280:16
230:11	191:3 207:21	235:6,16,21	158:22	preparing 43:11
<b>pivot</b> 192:19	207:22 218:8	236:12,17,22	possible 24:5	89:13,15
place 18:20,20	221:12,14	237:1,14,19	52:12,14	136:19 228:5
28:20,23 52:24	225:21 257:16	238:13 239:2	108:13 134:15	262:19
79:9 118:19	257:18 258:5	239:17 240:9	141:12 143:6	preprinted
128:15 177:2	282:6	240:15,16,20	159:17 165:8	58:20,22,24
274:4 279:8,15	pointed 236:13	240:13,10,20	212:19 263:20	59:6
placed 62:2	236:19,22	241:16,22	post 17:11	
1 -	237:2 245:8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	presence 139:13
Plaintiff 1:4 2:6		242:17 243:23	postconviction	168:8 170:22
159:13 284:4	<b>pointing</b> 72:24	244:4,9,22	255:12 256:6	171:6
plaintiff's 132:3	73:9	245:8,20,24	256:10	present 3:7 6:16
planning 134:10	Polaroid 75:16	246:13,14	postpone 186:3	68:1,9 70:3
135:1 203:18	police 1:8 10:6	249:8 250:19	potential 132:16	71:6 112:9,11
platform 6:10	10:20 11:10	251:6,12,24	168:19	119:15 125:22
play 90:20 209:6	16:3 28:21	252:4,5,22,23	potentially	162:10 166:6
pleasant 222:6	32:21 33:8	253:12,21	22:21 205:20	169:9 253:15
224:6	47:19 48:8	254:1,9,22	practice 159:19	285:12
<b>please</b> 5:9,11 6:1	80:1,17,24	257:1,5,21	160:3 163:19	presentations
6:4 23:23	128:21,24	258:6,13,20,24	164:6,19,22	271:13
26:19 27:7	160:18,22,24	259:8,19 260:3	165:17 170:3	presented
30:3 31:12	161:5,6,15,20	260:9 261:5	194:3 195:1,24	130:14,24
33:15 35:5	161:24 162:2,4	262:6 264:16	204:12,17	158:2 195:14
44:17 46:15	162:16 163:14	284:7	214:16 216:15	presiding 156:2
49:22 72:7	164:13,13,16	policies 169:15	270:19 275:17	pretty 62:23
73:11 79:18	164:17,21,23	poorly 165:14	practices 160:5	97:17 178:3
86:10 92:17	164:24 165:8	popped 186:2	169:15	prevent 184:5
99:2 100:3	166:2,6,7,12	portion 76:22	pre 58:20	preventing
105:15 115:9	166:17,18	82:14 105:12	precluded	177:3
120:18 121:21	167:1 168:8	121:14	208:24 270:24	previously
160:7 168:2,11	186:16,18	position 55:8	predicate	17:24 24:15
170:15 177:13	193:6,20	151:23 152:2	158:18	26:5 32:19
1/0.13 1//.13	173.0,20	131.23 132.2	150.10	20.3 32.17

				Page 310
<b>72</b> 10 <b>62</b> 0	260 24 271 1 0	222 5 10 220 2	172 12 16	54.00
52:18 63:8	269:24 271:1,9	232:5,18 238:2	173:13,16	54:23
66:18 84:6	278:6	247:9 255:17	175:22 178:11	provide 26:15
105:19,23,24	privileged 271:4	256:15 265:11	249:14 258:13	154:5 181:2
145:3 154:17	<b>pro</b> 175:8	266:11,21	promising 177:3	198:3,16
155:13 157:19	probable 59:15	269:24 271:9	properly 156:1	235:16 236:17
217:11 220:15	91:7,10 92:1	278:6	propose 153:23	244:4
240:11 247:5	198:4,17,17,22	processes	proposition	provided 24:15
285:13	199:6,19 200:6	181:16 238:8	191:17	26:6 57:6
principal 190:7	201:16	produce 56:19	prosecuting	78:24 112:20
principles	probably 15:10	180:23	192:9	112:24 136:18
191:19	106:13 169:2	produced 55:2	prosecution	151:11 195:3
<b>printed</b> 7:6 8:5	191:9 216:17	57:4,14 132:3	197:14 218:5	235:21 240:17
8:7,14 17:13	216:18 222:10	153:1 180:21	223:7 225:8	proximity
17:16 43:15	234:23	product 23:10	226:20 248:22	253:23 254:8
97:23	problem 256:3	26:7,12 31:13	254:24 257:17	<b>Public</b> 284:21
prior 13:11	Procedure 1:15	51:11 52:19	257:20 258:4	published 82:15
14:18,24 15:7	procedures	169:20,21	259:6,17 260:2	268:1 281:1
57:6 116:19	261:6,17 262:5	170:2,4,10	260:8 261:2	pull 58:3 60:8
127:6 136:19	262:24 263:10	212:5 231:4,12	263:7	66:15 91:14
136:24 143:4,4	264:21	232:16 238:8	prosecutor 23:8	121:3
145:4 150:2,6	proceed 23:12	247:6 266:10	51:7 69:8	pulled 17:21
150:15 157:9	26:19 29:15	269:23 271:1	81:17,18 87:2	95:19
157:19 165:9	154:11 167:19	278:4	112:23 120:12	punch 109:1
165:11,20	231:6	professional	141:7,20	punishments
208:21 228:3		-	141.7,20	190:17
	proceeding 156:1	189:18,21		
228:10,19		220:7 222:6	167:13 170:7	purportedly
229:6,20	proceedings	224:6	174:19 176:19	281:15
240:21 241:1	54:24 55:15	progress 162:17	177:24 179:3,6	purports 8:8
241:17 269:17	255:21 256:7	163:19 164:7	179:7,9 182:13	273:11
280:16	256:19	164:14 218:13	189:23 190:1	purpose 26:1
prison 122:11	proceeds 139:2	prohibition	192:7 238:6	84:14,17
123:1	process 11:17	171:3	239:4,11 240:3	162:24
private 117:10	12:11,23 16:15	prohibitions	241:12 242:12	purposes 55:23
117:11,18	23:18 26:22	170:20 177:2	263:8	134:10 135:1
118:11 275:17	29:18,18,20	promise 171:16	prosecutorial	216:14 282:5
privilege 12:11	31:16 36:8	171:16 174:8	196:22	pursuant 1:13
23:19 26:12,23	51:13,16 52:21	175:3 176:15	prosecutors	1:14 12:11
29:19 31:16	81:14 154:8	205:17	51:5 179:13	23:9 181:18
51:16 52:21	167:22 172:3	promised	180:9,18 184:5	push 214:5
167:8,23 172:3	172:16 173:22	106:12	189:6 192:24	216:18
172:16 173:22	176:6 181:24	promises 80:3	193:4,18 257:4	<b>pushing</b> 267:13
199:10 231:9	183:1 197:20	129:5,8 171:11	prosecutory	put 35:3,4,22
232:5,19 247:7	199:10,15	171:23 172:7	193:1	41:12 43:7,9
247:9 255:17	200:13 208:18	172:12,21,21	<b>protect</b> 132:16	43:16,17
256:15 265:11	212:5 231:9	172:24 173:5	protects 29:19	115:22 118:8,9
			-	ĺ

				rage 311
158:7,8,14	232:7 233:7,22	quickly 212:19	127:15 133:11	132:13 167:5
207:10 214:20	236:9 237:17	quid 175:8	136:24 137:3,4	168:5,16
putting 132:9	238:7 239:12	quite 56:15	137:11,14,16	254:10
158:21	239:12 240:4	171:15 215:11	137:24 138:4	reasons 168:16
<b>PVT</b> 117:9,11	242:9,23	222:7	138:24 139:8	169:3 270:18
	244:18 246:9	quo 175:8	139:21 140:11	rec- 11:9
Q	246:21 248:2	quotes 95:23	142:7 144:9,10	recall 7:4 14:4
qualified 189:8	248:17 252:16		144:14 155:3	30:17 31:18
question 6:4	255:23 257:8	R	160:18,18	34:9,10,13
12:7 15:6,11	261:11 265:6	rain 214:3	162:6 177:14	46:2 84:13
22:23,23 23:9	265:14 266:15	Ramanujam 3:7	177:15 189:7	105:22,23,24
23:11,21 26:9	266:24,24	ran 73:22	206:23 207:2	124:5 136:13
26:13,23 27:3	267:8 269:15	range 79:16	227:7,16 228:5	136:14 164:10
30:2,3 31:7	269:23 274:2	137:9	230:3 250:11	166:23 169:10
35:6 46:14	278:9 279:3	Raymond 1:7	284:14	171:3,6,8
50:16,17 51:2	questioning	220:17 284:6	reading 13:11	176:14 190:19
51:3,10 52:13	234:19 270:23	reach 29:20	13:20 26:15	191:2 197:9
54:2 57:18,19	questions 6:6	read 6:22 7:3	27:6 36:6 54:3	199:23 217:13
77:3 90:17	18:9 22:19	13:16 23:22	75:2 99:10	217:17,20
91:2 93:10	23:4,11 26:7	24:1 25:2,3,8	100:5 105:22	218:9,15,20
99:11 107:15	29:10 55:11	25:19,22 27:8	105:23,24	219:20 220:2
112:19 113:1,6	67:6 78:14	28:4,21 30:2,4	110:12 116:16	220:20 221:9,9
113:11 114:9	116:8 127:12	35:7,22 36:19	121:20 136:13	221:19 223:15
114:16,19	127:14 132:20	36:20 37:2,8	137:1,20	223:23 225:10
120:10 137:13	133:5 134:18	44:17 45:19	139:20 144:16	225:17,24
141:5,22 142:4	134:20,23	46:16 48:13	144:18	226:1 228:14
142:19 149:7	153:11,13	50:3,19 53:23	ready 16:5	229:1 232:21
151:11 153:20	155:14,17,22	55:3 59:23	227:8 264:9	234:4 235:20
154:9 156:15	158:6,19,20	62:23 63:5	real 25:4 41:6	238:21 240:23
158:4 160:14	159:3,19	64:17 67:9	94:19 122:13	243:5,19 244:2
162:8 165:15	161:17 162:9	69:12 72:7,7	123:2	244:8 245:22
167:9,14,17,18	163:19,19	76:22 79:4,17	realize 267:7	247:11,11
168:2 169:23	168:22 170:4,9	82:13 83:5,6	really 17:21	249:2,11,18
170:15 172:9	171:20 181:21	83:21,23 87:21	41:12 152:12	250:6 251:11
172:20 173:7	189:9 203:19	91:16 92:16	173:10 191:8	252:3 256:5,8
176:16 177:12	257:1 263:23	93:19 95:21	192:23 209:15	256:22 257:14
178:2 181:17	264:1 265:5	98:23 99:1	217:2 226:13	262:10,14
182:5 183:4	267:14 268:7	100:3 105:13	236:16	264:22 269:4
184:16 185:3	271:2,16,20,24	105:19 114:10	reask 270:6	269:20 273:19
187:10 189:12	275:7 282:1,4	114:16 115:8	reason 24:23	280:14 281:11
193:14 199:14	282:7 285:20	115:16 116:7	26:4 30:12,21	recanted 234:14
200:15 209:1	quibble 216:10	117:8 121:13	30:21 31:21	recanting
209:15,17	quick 17:21	121:16 122:4,7	32:5 44:12	243:16
211:15 212:3	41:13 99:11	122:16 127:5,7	63:18 65:13,21	receive 16:14
231:3,5,12,17	152:12 217:2	127:10,13,15	101:13 122:6	163:16 164:1,7
	152.12 217.2		101.15 122.0	103.10 101.1,7

				raye 312
164:12 218:19	110:23 112:4	127:11 129:21	210:14 211:4,8	remember
received 78:2,15	113:14,19	131:6 135:22	refresh 20:5	10:23 11:7,12
175:10 190:23	115:6 116:11	135:23 142:7	refreshed 13:18	12:22,24 13:2
218:12 264:24	116:12 117:4	143:23 152:10	refuse 231:16	13:2,4,6,8,22
265:18	117:13,16	152:14 153:2,6	271:20 278:8	14:6,19,22
receiving 43:12	118:19,24	154:19 156:24	refusing 146:1,6	15:1,5,8,11,15
96:2 190:19	119:2,10,18,24	158:8,14 159:4	266:14	19:5,7,20 20:7
recognition	123:14 124:8,9	177:15 178:4,5	regard 26:8 53:2	21:11 22:11
13:10	124:16 125:1	183:7 207:2	63:20 100:23	24:5 26:14
recognize 13:22	126:6 128:5	212:22 213:13	255:20 256:19	27:5 28:2
54:24 67:13	129:2 138:10	213:14,15,16	regarding 26:24	31:11,19 32:11
126:4 203:10	140:17,20	213:19 214:13	40:21 46:6	37:20 40:16
222:10 267:15	146:1,3,4,6	214:20 229:11	50:16 155:15	42:20 43:4
recollect 274:20	150:1 161:11	238:11 239:16	155:22 173:17	46:19 48:10
recollection	175:12 200:2	242:15 245:14	174:9 175:22	53:17 58:16
12:14,18,19,20	200:12,23	246:12 252:21	178:12 179:13	62:15 65:7
13:12,18,23	201:22,24	254:15 264:5,7	202:18 203:23	70:19,20 71:1
14:11 20:1	217:12 218:4	270:4 276:13	206:12 247:6	71:2 75:21,22
28:10,14,18	218:17 219:4	281:24 282:5	262:23 265:8	76:17,18 82:2
29:4 30:9,14	220:23 223:4	285:19	271:2	82:4,10 84:10
31:2,22 32:1	225:4 226:18	records 152:23	regards 90:21	85:9,12,12,13
33:6 34:12	226:23 227:2	Reddick 55:13	REITER 2:7	89:13 91:3
35:11 36:5	234:6 243:6,8	131:8 156:2	reject 22:2	99:20,21
37:15,17 41:7	243:11 245:2,5	redepose 158:10	related 6:24	108:11,14
43:2 54:6	249:22 250:4	reduced 177:4	45:16 46:6	114:17 128:9
61:23 63:16	254:7 255:2,7	285:9	77:19	129:16,19
64:2,19 65:11	257:7 273:22	reduction	relative 19:3	138:19 141:3
66:3 67:22	274:19 277:23	178:13	40:9 104:20	144:8 150:13
68:6,14,21	279:13 280:4	refer 125:6	108:7 153:4	150:21 160:14
69:19 77:11,15	281:19	147:4	154:5	164:15 169:10
78:7,20 79:4,6	recollections	reference 83:16	release 55:4,14	169:12 171:2
79:12 81:13	219:8	271:12	152:23	177:18,22
84:7,16,24	recommendat	referenced	released 132:14	178:2 190:24
85:2,5,7 86:13	11:18,23	256:19	132:18 156:5	191:13,16
90:18 91:2	231:16 266:14	referred 116:20	158:3	197:21 202:8
93:5 94:3,5,17	record 5:10	179:2 277:10	releasing 131:2	217:22 218:1
95:2,11 96:2	17:18 24:1	285:22	133:13 153:18	219:1,1,9,10
97:1,3,14	27:8 30:4 35:5	referring 98:13	relied 194:12,21	219:11 222:5
98:19,20	35:7 44:21	116:18 179:17	195:8 275:12	224:9,13 226:8
100:20 101:9	46:16 49:23	234:24 261:17	relocation	241:24 279:9
101:24 102:8	50:2,3,14,19	refers 59:18	175:10,14,16	remind 208:21
103:2,4,18	54:11 56:5,7	98:15	rely 194:20	247:4
104:4,16,19	66:21 75:4	reflect 255:19	195:3 198:3,15	repeat 6:5 27:3
105:7 109:11	88:5 114:10	refrain 167:6	276:3	29:8 46:14
109:23 110:22	125:11,13	168:6 184:5	remain 185:9	57:19 86:9
		•		

				raye 313
157 20 160 2	122.04.054.10	252 4 0 254 12		106 00 107 17
157:20 168:2	132:24 254:10	253:4,8 254:13	review 6:20 7:1	186:20 187:15
170:15 187:10	267:14 279:22	260:19,23	7:13 9:15,17	189:14 190:8
193:14 209:17	representations	263:13,16	9:20,24 10:3,4	190:21 195:2
230:22 232:7	275:6,12 276:2	requisite 158:19	10:6 11:22,24	197:3,20,23
261:11 265:14	276:9,9,11	reserve 158:9	12:16,23 14:9	198:2,15 200:9
repeated 50:17	representing	282:11	15:17 16:5,6	200:11,19
rephrase 6:5	130:21	reserved 285:24	16:15,15,23	201:4,8,18
170:17 176:23	reproduction	reserves 282:13	18:2,11,13,18	202:3,18,19,20
198:12 209:22	158:17 159:1	residences	18:19 20:2,4	202:23 203:1,6
<b>replied</b> 276:10	request 213:7	138:20	21:24 25:5	203:23,24
report 7:21 8:4	requested 24:2	resolved 270:3,6	26:4 29:1,2,5	204:16 207:23
47:19 128:20	27:9 30:5 35:8	resource 51:6	32:21 35:14	208:4,12 209:8
162:17,18	46:17 50:4,9	respect 26:6,11	36:8 40:2	209:10 210:1
163:4,10	50:11,15,20	51:5 167:15	43:24 44:5,5	218:11 219:14
164:14 186:16	114:11 142:8	170:6	44:23 47:7	220:10 221:3
186:18 206:5,6	177:16 207:3	Respectfully	48:6 49:2,6	223:13 224:13
227:7	require 29:11	231:18	51:21 52:4	225:14 230:9
reported 81:8	141:22 143:16	respond 15:24	58:14 78:22,23	232:13 234:20
81:22 86:17	169:20 176:17	29:22 147:6	81:7,14,24	240:2 242:10
120:5 285:8	236:8 237:13	responding	91:14,24 92:7	250:15 263:8
<b>Reporter</b> 1:18	237:15 240:4	169:22	93:1 98:12,21	268:11,13,21
34:16,23 50:7	241:11 265:7	response 12:7	99:23 101:15	270:10,15,16
135:16,20	266:9,10 271:3	158:9	104:23 105:5	270:16,13,16
142:5 154:14	required 97:20	responses 55:11	104.23 103.3	reviewed 107:4
154:16 192:12	120:11 130:15	responses 33.11 responsibilities	111:20 117:22	126:3 127:23
197:10 276:23	180:21 183:24	10:1	120:17 136:10	130:7 145:3,4
	191:14 205:21	-	138:18 143:2	148:3 201:8
282:17,20 285:5 286:5	requires 70:5	responsibility 189:23		277:14
	-	rest 139:1 164:8	145:5,11,13	
reporters 147:16	141:15 143:10		146:20,22	reviewing 22:1
	169:18 174:12	restate 30:3 35:6	147:2,19	33:1 152:22
reports 10:6	176:2 177:8	result 265:9	148:12 149:5,8	203:4
16:5,14 28:21	183:19 184:4	resume 213:5	149:13 154:24	Richard 3:3
32:21 33:1,8	187:5 195:16	214:11,19	155:5 157:8,10	ride 85:12
160:18,24	196:16 204:5	215:13	157:12 159:20	riding 85:11
161:2,5,7,8,15	206:18 230:14	resumed 216:3	159:22 160:10	219:2 220:21
161:24 162:2,4	233:4,16 236:4	retreading	160:13 163:6	right 10:12
162:6,21 163:8	237:8,23	270:2	165:7,17,24	11:19 17:20
163:14 164:7,9	238:17 239:7	return 137:18	167:1 169:7	26:19 28:11
164:16 218:13	239:13,22	reveal 12:9	171:10 174:4	29:3 32:23
218:18 230:4	241:6 242:5,8	22:24 23:7	176:9,13	36:12 37:16
259:8,19	242:9,20,24	130:13,23	177:20,22	38:17 41:9
represent 5:15	244:15,19	181:15 208:22	179:21,22	45:11 50:24
55:23 150:4,12	246:5 247:24	231:24 256:17	181:7 182:18	51:9 59:1,24
159:13 279:20	248:12 251:17	271:3,11	182:20 183:15	60:3,4,13,22
representation	251:20 252:12	revealing 238:2	184:8 185:6	61:5 63:9,14

				rage 314
66:14 67:10	robbery 21:18	rules 1:14 5:24	48:19 53:22	281:14 284:6
68:24 72:2,8	28:19,23 36:11	81:20 87:3	54:14 61:11	Schalk's 221:22
74:1 75:1 78:5	78:10 79:2	189:18 190:10	62:24 64:17	281:9
82:18 83:12	217:14	190:11 207:15	67:16,24 68:13	scheduled
85:24 87:5,10	Roger's 155:6	rulings 58:8,24	69:22 70:13	276:14
87:12,17,17	231:20	rumors 219:15	76:16 77:10	school 191:7
88:3 92:6,8,9	Rogers 7:16,18	219:23 221:4	81:3 83:20,22	screen 6:14
95:6 96:5,10	45:7 46:3,9	221:14 223:10	83:24 84:1,23	16:21 17:10,12
102:15,18	53:3,4 54:6	223:17 225:10	85:20 87:21,22	17:17 18:5,15
105:10 106:20	93:3,8,8,20	225:17 225:12	90:3 92:16	20:18 54:17
114:22 116:23	94:1,8,10	run 25:20 33:12	94:15 95:10,21	123:5 144:19
120:16 121:2,2	122:17,24	47:24 74:11	95:22 96:13	267:23,24
123:20 125:4,9	123:21 124:5	115:21	97:19,24	280:23
125:12,18	124:10,12,22	running 33:13	100:24 103:16	scribble 48:21
129:20 131:13	125:3,12,23	33:17,18,19	107:2 108:18	92:23 272:23
132:13 135:22	126:8 128:10	48:10 74:5,10	110:7 115:9,14	273:5
136:5 143:23	128:12,20		116:2 117:7	scroll 70:10 75:7
144:23 154:18	129:4 135:19	S	121:12,16	76:4 95:17
155:4,4 156:17	148:13,22	<b>S</b> 4:11	122:12,17,22	138:23 144:17
158:9 161:3	152:9 154:20	safe 118:23	124:1 125:8	Sean 2:2 56:7
165:3 169:4	155:1,7 227:2	136:15 238:15	127:24 128:17	131:15 134:9
178:8 179:1,8	227:4,10 228:1	239:19 242:17	139:17 140:9	159:13 181:8
179:9,12 198:1	228:9,18 229:6	246:15 253:1	140:12,13	181:21 212:6
209:21 211:22	229:18 234:1	<b>safety</b> 168:17	149:19 183:9	214:2 267:14
212:6 213:9,11	279:7,14 280:7	sat 281:14	183:12 269:6,7	269:20 275:4
213:17,22	281:15,20	saw 72:14,19	272:18 273:1	276:2 277:1
215:18,19	<b>Rogers's</b> 127:17	73:1,14 74:1,2	273:24,24	sean@loevy.c
216:7 217:8	128:6 129:22	74:4,6,7,9,11	274:7,22	2:5
250:12 257:11	232:11,24	74:12,22 133:2	277:18	second 13:21
263:24 264:9	233:13 279:20	202:5,6 220:13	<b>Schalk</b> 1:7 14:3	31:3,4 56:6
267:19,22	281:21	250:11	14:23 15:2,4	69:10 87:11,14
272:4 277:5,15		saying 36:24	68:11 81:6	99:6 105:13
282:8,10,12	193:1 199:5	56:13 133:15	84:1,11,20	131:14 211:21
right-hand 34:1	255:14	139:11,23	85:10 86:15	Secondly 22:18
45:6 61:1	roll 267:16	212:21 213:2	90:13 103:9	secrecy 54:23
93:11 94:7	roller-stating	216:13,17,21	113:15,20	130:11 132:15
96:7 103:21	128:15	276:8	119:3,16	133:7 153:19
110:2 116:14	room 6:16 48:9	says 19:11 21:17	121:18 217:21	155:19 156:6
121:22 122:9	row 272:14	22:6 25:15 28:4 31:21	220:17,18,24	158:1
122:22 124:1	rule 23:10	33:11 35:15	221:5,16 227:6	section 269:2
269:3	138:21 179:7	36:16 37:3,24	227:12 228:2	see 10:4 17:9,23
rights 85:18	179:11 181:18	38:19 41:4,4	228:17 240:10	18:6,23 19:7,8
road 215:17	182:23 189:22	41:18,21 42:6	240:18 250:3	21:3,19,20
robbers 110:14	208:24 231:4	45:16,19 48:14	262:12,17,23	22:8 24:24
116:2 136:12	238:1 247:6	75.10,15 70.17	263:9 264:19	27:22 28:1,3
		•	•	·

				rage 313
24.2.7.25.24	270.0 14 272 1	269.7	-l4l 0.6 1	00.17.02.11
34:3,7 35:24	270:9,14 272:1	268:7	shortly 96:1	89:17 93:11
36:23 37:1,7,9	272:5,6,12,19	Sheene 61:6,8	105:17	94:7 96:7
37:9,10 38:3	272:20,21,23	67:16,19 72:2	shot 78:11 217:8	97:19 100:23
41:22 42:3,7	273:2,23 277:7	72:8,10,13,15	252:1	102:21 103:21
44:10,15,16	277:14,17,20	72:19,22 73:1	shots 74:8	110:2 115:8
45:4,7,12,17	280:22	73:6,8,14,17	shove 120:4	116:14,18
48:18 54:16,17	seeing 20:23	73:24 74:2,3,6	shoved 119:16	118:3,11
57:10 58:6	96:1 105:17	74:7,9,11,15	show 41:9 66:6	121:10,22
60:6 61:3,7,8,8	244:2 279:9	74:21 76:10	72:1 79:14	122:10,22
61:10,15,16	seek 26:23 91:6	79:19,24 80:4	82:12 88:3	124:1 269:3
62:9,10 63:2,3	165:4 176:20	80:6,9 93:14	115:4 117:19	sign 44:19 64:8
64:10,11 67:15	179:9,14,14	93:16 243:8,11	120:16 125:10	64:14 104:13
68:1,2,12,13	181:22	243:15,22	129:20 135:13	signature 70:11
70:10,15,16	seen 89:9,10,15	244:5,9,21	143:22 146:9	70:14,17,22,23
72:4,23 75:23	115:3 131:7	245:6,19,23	152:7 153:3	76:9,15,17,20
76:7,12,13	132:23 133:13	246:13,23	215:17 280:20	89:17 129:14
79:5 85:21	133:15 136:16	247:18 248:5,7	<b>Show-up</b> 272:17	129:15,18
87:15,19 88:20	136:20,21	248:20 249:6	272:22	282:13 284:15
88:22 89:1	162:21 206:4	249:14 277:18	showed 42:23	285:23 286:4
90:8,9,14,15	222:7 240:11	277:24	115:23 227:12	signatures 89:9
92:12,14 95:15	270:15	<b>Sheeree</b> 7:19,20	236:12,18,21	129:12
95:18,19 96:16	selling 122:5	25:16 30:13	240:20 241:16	signed 89:6
96:17 97:18,21	semantics	32:5	241:23 244:22	243:16 250:19
97:22,22 98:2	216:10	sheet 7:24 40:2	250:20 251:7	significance
98:5,8,9 101:3	sense 33:4 108:1	43:24 101:15	251:24 252:5	49:17 50:22
101:4 102:19	153:8 171:19	sheets 18:20	252:23 253:12	significant
104:12,13,13	261:21	25:4	253:21	275:11,22
106:22 107:1,5	sent 6:22	<b>shoot</b> 74:10	<b>showing</b> 113:20	276:20
108:21,22	<b>sentence</b> 69:6,12	shooter 243:24	117:14 158:3	signing 89:8
110:4,5,9,10	129:13	251:9 252:7,24	254:9	129:16,19
110:15,15	sentences 92:13	shooting 155:9	shown 61:19	similar 51:11
111:13,14	163:11	157:15 235:14	62:4 114:5,20	105:19 129:17
121:8,10	separate 109:17	235:24 244:7	115:2 139:12	155:21
123:22 124:3,4	166:16 196:12	244:11 250:22	222:11 226:11	similar-looking
126:23 127:2	197:5 202:21	254:23 255:5	234:16 237:2	43:19
133:14,19	sergeant 224:17	short 135:11	240:10 241:1	single 143:6
137:17 140:3,8	225:12 226:4,9	159:8 203:21	shrunk 25:7	250:20 251:8
140:15,16	226:12 264:19	212:8,24	sic 7:19 126:15	251:24 252:5
144:6,8 152:11	serious 82:4	213:20 216:8	158:11	252:23 253:13
155:6 201:12	set 108:2,15	short-circuit	side 34:1 35:16	253:21
202:2 216:23	109:17 285:13	271:18	44:9 45:6	singled 140:21
240:8 253:24	sets 116:19	shorthand 1:18	48:23 61:1,11	sit 14:15 28:13
254:9 261:15	<b>share</b> 16:21	22:16 44:22	70:11 72:17	56:15 190:24
267:24 268:18	267:23	45:2 285:5	82:20 83:3,15	218:16 274:21
269:10,11	shared 165:2,3	286:5	87:13 88:19,20	situation 169:11
			<u> </u>	

				Page 316
175 12 200 12	200.10	150.27.151.2	100.22.224.0	147.22 140 11
175:13 209:12	266:19	150:3,7 151:2	190:23 224:9	147:22 149:11
situations	Sorrell's 251:7	166:5,8,11	specifics 13:4	169:18 173:20
142:18 153:7	sorry 19:12	speaking 10:21	speculate 70:8	174:18 177:9
skip 133:22	20:13,20 23:20	11:21 32:12	120:11 141:16	187:5 195:16
skipped 122:20	27:2,21 32:17	33:2,17 39:1	141:23 143:11	204:5 205:23
122:21	34:16 56:12,13	39:23 46:2	143:16 174:13	206:18 229:10
slash 25:17	58:3 59:13	47:6,16,19	176:3,18	229:15 230:14
44:20 45:1	64:7 67:3	52:2 58:13	196:17 233:5	238:17 239:22
slender 138:8	70:18 71:16	62:3,17 63:10	233:17 236:4,8	242:20 247:24
250:9	72:21 73:3,6	64:14 71:7,11	237:9,13,16,24	253:4 254:14
slightly 170:18	80:11 82:21,21	75:13 77:24	238:22 239:8	254:16 265:4
small 25:9 97:17	83:10,15 86:6	80:18 85:17	239:13 240:5	278:17 279:24
<b>smoke</b> 80:6	86:9 87:11,20	86:11 88:17	241:7,11 242:6	280:10
soap 74:21	99:9 100:9	91:6,9,23	242:9,24	spell 5:10
socially 147:4	101:17 102:17	99:22 104:23	244:16,19	147:15
software 186:4	103:22 111:9	107:23 109:13	246:6,8,20	spoke 40:10,17
solve 256:3	111:10,22	111:16,19	248:13 251:18	85:24 112:11
some- 169:1	114:8,13	117:23 123:16	251:21 252:13	136:9 166:24
205:5	115:12 118:8	124:9 126:7	252:15 253:8	201:3 279:18
somebody 70:1	122:18 123:4	146:4,5 150:13	254:18 260:20	spoken 40:1,6
89:8,11 100:4	135:14 139:3	150:21 174:2	260:23 263:14	51:19 52:3,8
102:17 108:24	144:20 156:24	180:5,6,17	263:16 281:12	100:15 101:6
202:7 239:3	157:16 173:4	182:9 255:2	speculating	150:9,11 151:5
278:12	180:11 186:1,4	276:24	46:20 86:23	164:20,23
somebody's	192:12 202:12	speaks 75:5	101:12 233:8	<b>spots</b> 99:6
89:12	204:7 206:21	79:21 278:19	233:22 248:3	squeeze 215:7
Sorrell 79:2	211:10 217:2	special 189:23	248:18 281:22	squiggle 53:20
155:9 157:16	245:11,13	specific 12:19	speculation	53:23
197:5 198:13	276:23 278:18	13:8 26:8	31:11 32:9	stage 29:5
200:6 218:4	sort 130:22	28:16 69:19	34:19 39:4,12	142:15 250:15
223:6 225:6	sought 90:21	110:22,23	40:4,24 46:12	stamp 42:2
226:19 228:4	151:16 175:16	143:8 144:12	48:2 49:20	54:14,17 57:20
228:11,19	<b>Sounds</b> 127:16	152:21 153:3	53:8 63:23	57:24 71:18,21
229:7 235:14	135:8,9	161:11 167:16	64:4 65:5,17	stamped 57:23
235:24 243:3	source 78:16	170:4,7 171:3	69:16 70:5	stand 44:22 49:5
243:18 244:1,7	south 2:8 72:17	171:19 175:12	75:18 77:7	100:10,11
244:11 246:1	span 109:7	189:9 200:22	81:1 82:7 86:3	117:10,11
248:21 250:14	spare 163:18	218:7,9 219:6	86:7,20 93:22	standard 179:13
250:22 251:10	267:10	219:10,12	94:13 95:7	195:20
252:2,8 253:1	speak 10:7,8,11	256:24	97:11 99:17	standing 73:1
254:23 255:5	28:10 32:22	specifically 7:4	101:20 104:1	181:9,20 182:2
257:19 258:4	40:22 84:8,14	21:9 84:13	113:24 118:15	187:7,7
259:5,16 260:1	84:16 112:8,14	137:7 145:1	120:6,7 126:1	stands 22:3,16
260:7 263:6	113:8 133:20	147:14 160:22	141:1 142:13	45:23
264:13 265:21	138:20,20	164:10 172:10	142:20 145:8	star 95:16 116:2
2013 203.21	150.20,20	1010 1/2.10	1.2.20113.0	2 70.10 110.2

				rage 317
121.10	177.14.170.6.0	251.5.22	101.16.17	279.24
121:18	177:14 178:6,9	251:5,22	101:16,17	278:24
Starr 2:2 4:5	178:24 181:4	252:17 253:9	104:24 128:24	stated 28:2
11:5 14:13	182:2,7 183:17	254:20 256:1,4	137:18 138:9	53:17 84:3
32:9 34:20	184:18 185:14	256:23 257:15	139:13 143:2	121:24 122:2,4
35:19 39:13	185:21 187:11	258:2,11,18	146:11,21	122:17,24,24
40:3,13 41:1	188:21 189:10	259:4,12,23	147:12 151:22	145:11,21
42:18 46:10,13	192:5,18	260:24 261:12	152:3 156:10	244:22 245:7
47:3 48:2	193:15 194:1,9	261:24 262:11	159:20 160:10	269:22
49:11 52:10	194:24 195:10	263:5,22 264:3	165:17,24	statement 7:16
53:13 56:9,11	195:22 196:9	264:5,8 265:15	166:1 167:21	7:19 27:22
56:13,21 57:9	196:23 197:12	266:3,12 267:7	168:6 169:7,15	62:7,12 66:8
57:13 60:14,17	197:24 198:11	267:19,21	169:22 170:21	67:8,16 68:9
63:23 65:3,5	198:23 199:16	268:5 270:5,7	171:10,21	68:15,18,19,21
66:1 69:15	200:3 201:7	270:17 271:14	172:3,11,17	69:20 70:2,21
75:3,17 77:7	204:9 205:10	273:10 274:1,9	173:15,23	71:3,5,6,9
77:22 79:20	205:18 206:8	275:14,16	175:11,21	75:10,12,22,23
81:1 86:3,6,21	206:23 207:12	276:5,12,14,22	176:6 177:2	76:18,21 77:15
90:23 91:21	207:20 208:10	277:3,6 278:7	179:20 180:13	77:18 80:3,9
93:22 94:13	209:18 210:12	278:23 279:16	181:7 182:18	80:15 83:14,19
95:7 97:11	211:2,7 212:15	280:5,13 281:5	182:20 183:20	83:24 121:13
99:17 100:17	212:22 213:12	281:23 282:15	184:1,9,21	121:17 123:10
101:21 102:11	213:18 214:22	282:22	185:7 187:21	123:17 125:4,7
104:1 110:20	215:20 216:22	start 74:17	188:22 190:8	125:12,23
113:23 118:6	217:6,9,18	107:17 108:18	190:12 191:3	126:21 127:6
118:14 119:7	218:2 219:21	started 74:18	191:20 192:8	127:17,21,24
119:20 120:7	220:14 221:11	216:6	192:21 193:4	128:6,13,19
125:24 131:18	221:20 222:14	starting 71:13	193:18 194:3	129:2,6,9
131:23 134:12	223:16,24	72:8 92:16	194:11 195:2	145:24 148:3,5
134:17 135:9	224:15 225:18	105:14 115:16	195:12 196:10	148:10,22
139:3,6 141:1	226:2,15	starts 27:23 72:1	197:1,2,15	149:3 173:18
141:13,17	227:18,24	79:18 92:13	198:2,14	174:10 175:4
143:12 145:8	228:15 229:2	115:13 121:17	199:10 203:24	175:24 177:5
145:17 147:22	229:17 230:7	state 5:9 23:20	204:16 210:1	210:5,14,21,24
148:7,16,24	230:18 231:14	59:19 91:10	218:11 230:9	211:4,9 249:15
149:11 150:19	232:8,22 233:9	180:9 182:13	232:13,14,17	279:21 280:15
159:4,7,11,13	233:24 236:10	184:2 281:24	237:6 241:4	281:14,21
161:13 162:22	237:18 238:10	286:5	242:3 244:13	statements 57:5
163:12,21	238:24 239:14	state's 3:2,5 9:5	246:3 247:22	78:1 172:13
164:4 166:13	240:6 241:13	9:14,24 11:3	250:10,13	183:10 280:8
167:4 168:3	242:13 243:1	12:5,16 23:17	251:14 252:10	states 1:1,15
169:5 170:16	244:20 245:18	26:21 29:17	253:14,23	72:2,8,10,13
171:4,17 172:8	246:10,22	31:15 51:15	254:8 255:13	72:15,22 73:6
172:23 173:11	247:15 248:4	59:10 69:7,14	255:16 260:16	73:8,14,17,24
174:7,23 175:2	248:19 249:5	80:1 81:24	268:9 270:9	74:2,3,6,7,9,11
175:19 176:11	249:12,20	92:4 98:12	271:8 278:12	74:15 79:19,24
1/3.17 1/0.11	277.12,2U	72.7 70.12	2/1.0 2/0.12	77.13 77.17,27

				rage 310
00.4600611	a4i	24.20 105 16	200,15 210 4	150.0 164.13
80:4,6,9 96:11	strictures 55:9	24:20 185:16	208:15 210:4	159:9 164:13
126:24 127:3	strike 21:14	185:24 186:6,8	235:14 236:14	213:21 214:14
128:1,22,23	26:1 39:22	200:10 201:3,6	236:20,22	216:3 275:21
129:7 243:23	47:23 51:18	201:16	237:3	279:21 284:13
284:1 285:1	69:3 149:7	supervisors 12:1	<b>suspect's</b> 208:16	285:8,11
Stateville 45:22	162:8 182:10	12:10 201:19	suspects 164:18	talk 33:21 49:21
stating 206:12	183:18 186:9	201:20,21	168:7	131:14 133:16
250:19	197:1 199:4	202:2	suspension	159:18 192:20
station 10:21	221:13 226:16	support 94:9	190:16	203:7 208:7,8
16:4 48:8	228:7 234:9	95:4	sworn 5:2,4	208:12 209:16
161:20 162:12	240:15,17	sure 5:12,22	284:12,18	211:23
208:6 210:2	243:20 247:17	10:16 20:15	285:15	talked 30:11
240:9,20	248:6 252:18	25:17,21 27:4		41:5 96:18
241:16	257:17 262:1	27:6 28:6 37:2	T	130:10 203:8
statute 54:23	264:12 279:5	37:20 43:6	T 4:11 98:9	209:8,10,11
55:9	<b>stuff</b> 109:18	47:20 49:13,15	taint 143:20	216:1
stay 215:10,22	Subscribed	49:23 53:12	take 6:1,1 10:19	<b>talking</b> 33:18,21
stenographica	284:18	57:21 60:12,16	20:10 41:24	58:19 72:4,24
285:9	subsequent	60:16 63:17	55:8 57:11,14	87:15 142:13
stepping 31:9	107:23,24	64:18 68:7	60:4 70:1 71:4	142:15,17
steps 102:9	substance 12:9	72:9 74:9	71:12 75:10	166:10 168:18
208:17	151:6	82:10,23 84:5	96:5 97:16	168:22 174:16
stick 212:17	<b>sued</b> 5:16	92:20 94:7	102:16 106:16	175:8,18 176:8
276:2	sufficient 92:1	98:6,7 100:8	106:20 118:19	176:12 192:24
sticking 122:6	suggest 282:12	106:9,12,17	120:19 123:20	231:23
stipulation	suggesting	107:14,17	131:18 133:23	tall 138:8 250:9
153:21,24	133:17	108:13,14	146:8 178:6	technician 98:15
155:14	suggestion	109:2 113:7,9	183:8 191:10	98:18
stone 134:8	188:16,17,19	120:21 126:19	210:5,24	tell 11:10 12:20
stood 72:19	<b>Suite</b> 2:8,14,19	128:11 139:4	211:13,15	19:12 35:16
stop 56:6 69:9	286:16	149:20 150:12	213:17,18,18	40:10,20 49:9
75:1 80:11	suits 196:14	159:6 174:15	213:22 214:1	49:13 53:3
92:18,21 106:5	summarize	174:21 182:8	215:1 216:4	60:19 69:2
131:13 188:23	204:17	184:19 188:5	231:15 263:18	106:10 109:5
stopped 96:1	summary 8:13	198:9 204:7	267:18 271:15	110:12 120:18
105:17	84:3 92:10	209:20 256:13	271:19 275:10	141:11 142:2
store 74:20,23	115:13 121:24	264:10 270:13	275:15,17,20	143:7 149:9
strategies 23:1	123:8,9 204:2	277:11	282:2	151:4 200:23
112:21 167:12	204:13,22,24	surprise 229:3	taken 1:13,17	200:24 201:2
231:1	summer 9:22	surprises 214:21	11:11 62:18	236:17 240:16
street 2:3 6:9	160:1	surrounding	68:19,22,24	240:19 241:14
103:8 131:8	sup 7:21 8:4,4	109:12	98:17 125:7	243:2 244:4
140:2 225:1	163:9,10	<b>suspect</b> 166:16	126:22 127:1	245:19 248:20
286:15	supervisor 9:11	183:11,11	129:23 135:12	251:6,23
strictly 180:21	11:23 12:4	205:3,12	152:4 154:20	254:21 255:3
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

				Tage 313
205.15	225.12 226.12	116.6 120.21	154,22 160.6	204.12.15
285:15	235:12 236:12	116:6 120:21	154:23 160:6	204:12,15
telling 8:22 11:8	240:8,14	120:22 121:5	160:17 169:3	205:9,13,17
12:3 113:21	253:11,20,22	122:14 123:7	176:17 178:7	207:1 211:1,5
140:18 202:13	254:5,6	123:24 134:24	182:14 188:19	215:6 216:23
213:1 275:13	testify 10:22	135:1,8,18	199:13,15	218:11 220:12
ten 135:5 263:20	11:2 126:8	137:15 139:10	212:3 215:1	222:7 223:13
275:8	270:21	144:23 147:8	217:4 231:11	225:13 234:15
tended 163:8	testifying 10:15	147:11,18	234:16,23	234:18 261:13
tendered 183:13	132:8 152:21	149:22 154:13	237:16 253:22	264:11,13
tends 190:2	236:16	156:8 158:5	281:6	267:10 275:6
tentative 36:16	testimony 7:17	168:1 170:14	thinking 13:21	276:21 277:19
37:7 38:9,14	7:20 8:1 126:4	181:19 185:5	277:24	285:21
39:9	129:23 130:24	211:21 282:13	third 71:13,17	timely 189:24
tenure 160:9	133:12 151:6	282:15,16,23	71:21 129:11	times 5:19 10:7
184:20	152:8,9 154:5	<b>Thanks</b> 20:19	129:14	48:8 224:12
terminology	154:20 155:1,6	57:15 135:9	<b>thought</b> 155:13	title 24:22 26:4
206:16	155:15,23	139:6 157:23	250:9 253:14	today 6:8,21
terms 22:19	156:4,18 157:1	159:2,7 163:23	254:7 278:13	14:15 28:13
130:20 133:6	157:8,13	theories 23:1	threatened	56:16 126:4
165:8	166:14,20	112:22 231:2	258:20	133:12 136:16
<b>Terry</b> 7:16,18	181:22 189:13	thing 8:11 60:13	threats 80:3	136:22,24
45:7 54:6 93:3	190:7 200:18	106:6 108:23	86:16 129:5,8	145:3 151:3
93:7,8,19 94:1	229:24 235:10	140:14 147:1	three 22:1 47:21	155:2 157:9
94:8 122:17,24	240:13 243:12	179:8,10,12	92:12 129:12	180:14 190:24
123:21 124:10	247:2 253:16	185:24 203:3	275:23 280:6	203:1 212:11
124:22 125:12	253:17 254:3	things 27:16	time 9:13,18	214:7,14
126:7 127:24	text 120:22	48:17 59:22	10:12,12 16:6	215:10 218:16
128:23 129:8	thank 8:21,22	126:11 134:6	45:21 61:19	230:5 234:17
129:22 148:22	11:15 18:24	137:7 177:21	79:14 80:8	234:22 242:10
154:20 155:1	21:6 23:14,23	178:23 179:23	81:24 83:21	251:4 274:22
227:2,4,10	24:9 25:13,24	183:9 202:21	95:23 100:14	276:15 280:16
228:1,9 229:6	26:18 33:24	204:21 214:23	105:16 108:18	today's 57:6
229:18 231:20	35:5 36:12	216:16 230:3	108:19,19	130:8 150:2,6
232:10,24	43:22 50:13	260:13	111:19 112:12	150:15
234:1 281:15	53:15 56:1,23	think 17:16	117:24 127:13	told 6:24 29:11
Terry's 233:13	57:11 58:2	25:20 37:3,9	131:23 134:10	43:6 138:7
testified 5:5	59:20 60:3,17	37:11,13,16	134:22 138:6	139:24 140:22
11:16 26:14	67:5 71:20	39:15 45:4	144:9 146:19	152:15 164:24
28:9 32:20	75:2 80:13	54:11 56:18	147:1,8,11	208:14 210:3
63:9 84:6	83:12 87:9	62:22 73:4,4	149:10 161:15	228:2 235:7,7
119:14 182:11	89:18 91:5	83:5 90:16	164:2,8 169:6	235:12,17,21
217:11 218:21	92:6,24 102:4	92:11 112:13	176:14 177:13	235:23 236:13
220:15 222:15	102:15 106:18	122:12,20,21	182:17 187:1	237:1,14,19
229:4 234:9,10	108:17 110:1	123:2,4 140:8	190:12 191:8	238:13 239:1
234:15 235:5	114:12 115:19	140:9,13 150:5	191:19 201:21	239:17 240:24
2515 255.5	112 113.17	1 .0.5,15 150.5	171.17 201.21	257.17 210.21

				raye 320
241.21.24	72.16.20.21	100.11 104.22	170.12 171.15	ungung 00.2
241:21,24	72:16,20,21	100:11 104:23	170:12 171:15	unsure 98:3
243:23 244:6,9	73:17,19,22	105:5 107:24	172:21 173:7	unusual 109:6
245:23 246:13	74:1,3 217:13	112:8,10 114:5	179:24 188:10	117:18 138:14
248:7 249:4	true 64:3 195:8	114:20 115:1	188:12,15,18	update 186:4
251:12 252:5	195:8,14,21	117:11 126:11	189:7 190:4	upper 19:18
Tony 222:23	196:3,7 285:19	162:1,3,5	196:10 198:9	use 147:16 153:4
224:17 225:5	truth 285:15,16	163:3,5,7	203:1 214:22	173:17 174:2
top 8:13 41:16	285:16	165:1,5,10	217:10 229:18	178:12
41:18 42:3	try 40:8 97:17	192:21 193:5	230:3 261:4	utilize 51:6
43:21 44:3,8	212:13 215:16	193:19 194:12	275:1	utilizing 6:10
67:15 102:18	217:6 263:20	200:8 205:16	understanding	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
106:22 108:18	270:6	208:5,8	59:14 78:24	v 180:1,4,8
188:11 202:4 268:20 274:11	<b>trying</b> 60:12 133:17 212:18	U	162:23 172:6 173:5,10 180:7	<b>V2</b> 36:7,9,10
	215:7 216:16	<b>Uh-huh</b> 7:14	/	95:23 105:14
total 108:3 track 108:4	216:22 267:22	ultimately 92:2	182:10,12	105:16,21,23
	280:2	um 173:5	189:5 194:2,8 197:13 207:22	vacated 151:17
training 190:20	280:2 turn 74:4,10,12	un- 246:14	274:3 282:1	vacated 131.17 vague 13:23
190:23 191:1,1 191:2	183:20 184:1	un-240.14 unable 111:17	2/4:3 282:1 understood	vague 13.23 variety 212:2
trans- 10:23	turned 73:16	205:12 235:13	16:18 133:21	variety 212.2 vehicle 219:3
	247:20 260:14	235:18,23	169:16 183:19	220:21
transcript 7:17 7:24 129:22	twice 5:21	244:6,10	183:24 184:4	verdict 142:16
135:17 282:11	twice 5:21 two 33:7 57:5	unaware 152:2	undisclosed	vetted 269:17
282:18 284:14	74:4,7,9,11,13	178:23 202:17	259:8,11,13	victim 34:2,14
transcripts	144:11 149:16	235:9 236:15	undue 261:4,16	35:17 36:11,13
153:14,19	152:7 153:3	236:15 240:12	unduly 237:20	103:22
translates 41:6	158:10,23	243:14 245:1,3	238:13 239:2	video 209:11
transpart 10:19	159:5,5 235:6	253:16,17	239:17 245:20	videoconference
transporting	235:12 269:19	254:2,4	245:24 246:14	1:17 2:1 3:1
10:24	type 19:2 62:7	under- 194:7	262:4,17,23	5:5 285:12
treated 80:1,16	81:23 83:20	underlying	263:9 264:20	view 65:15
80:20,23	88:15 140:14	30:18,22 32:2	unexpected	115:24 116:22
128:20,23	typewriting	79:2,9 160:23	276:19	118:11 240:19
166:7,18	285:10	166:16	unit 9:12 11:8	241:15,22
168:10	typewritten	Underneath	179:22 195:3	viewing 105:1
trial 59:17,18	163:10	87:22	197:3 198:15	118:4,12
142:17 185:10	typically 15:22	undersigned	United 1:1,15	240:21 241:2
187:17 234:11	16:2,10,13	286:1	284:1 285:1	241:17
243:14	18:12 22:1	understand 6:3	UNKNOWN	violated 276:10
tried 263:19	26:3 27:14,15	6:6,6 8:21	1:7 284:7	violating 155:20
trouble 20:23	43:23 44:23	23:13 26:17	unreasonable	visit 138:14
54:2 137:1	45:4,13 46:24	77:14 85:4	213:8 276:19	visiting 138:19
139:20 172:6	49:6 59:9 71:4	107:10,14	unrelated	volume 34:22
173:4	71:8 75:9,13	132:13 152:19	175:24 177:6	voluntarily
truck 12:24	77:17 80:14	153:5 156:7	178:14	129:10
		<u> </u>		<u>                                      </u>

				Tage 321
<b>vs</b> 1:5 284:5	17:23 29:22	153:12 154:11	we've 96:18	94:20 95:14
VS 1.3 20T.3	36:18 37:11	159:18 165:7	100:13 212:7	97:15 100:2,21
$\overline{\mathbf{W}}$	38:2,20 40:21	171:20 192:19	website 8:8	102:3,14
W 22:5,15 24:7	48:19 58:6	192:22 203:3	week 127:8	102:3,14
95:22 100:8	60:19 62:24	203:13 209:20	went 13:5 72:16	105:20 104:3
W-A-L-K-E-R	63:13 66:11,17	211:18 212:12	74:16,21	105.9 100.7,12
5:13	66:19 68:1,10	213:2 214:3,4	190:20 200:13	107:16 108:16
<b>W1</b> 92:13,17,18	69:8 80:2	214:12 215:15	210:1 240:8	109:24 111:3
93:2,9 124:1	82:15 84:1	216:4,10 267:7	241:15,22	112:7 113:5,10
<b>W2</b> 92:20	86:9 88:7,11	276:18 282:12	250:2 251:7	113:13 114:4
<b>W3</b> 92:22	88:21 96:14	wanted 26:15	weren't 28:24	114:15,23
115:24,24	97:10 101:2	56:22 114:13	52:7 161:24	117:6 118:1,7
116:9,19	104:7 105:12	146:9 160:2	West 2:13,13,19	118:22 119:12
Wacker 2:8	104.7 103.12	214:20 268:6	4:4 5:8,15	120:2,15
Wade 7:23	114:17 116:4	wants 215:14	11:14 12:13	123:19 125:9
25:18 31:22,23	120:18 121:17	warrant 8:9	14:16 16:7,19	125:17 126:17
32:6 96:10,12	121:18 124:15	87:23 88:2,5	17:7,14,22	129:20 130:5
96:24 97:2	125:14,18	88:18 90:1,5	23:22 24:8,21	131:13,22
110:3,5,17	127:4 129:1	90:21 91:7,11	27:4,6,20	132:1,6 133:21
111:23 113:17	130:2,6 132:7	91:16,19 92:2	30:10 31:20	135:3,6,8,10
113:20 116:9	136:1,5,15	103:12,17	32:14 33:23	135:13,18,21
116:15,18,22	144:2,6 146:17	115:17,20	35:13,23 37:12	136:4 138:22
135:23 136:8	146:23 147:13	washer 74:17	38:15 39:7,18	139:4,9,18
137:16 138:11	152:20 153:8	wasn't 12:3 29:2	40:7,19 41:8	140:10 141:9
139:11,23	154:23 155:5	38:16,18 84:4	43:5 46:21	141:24 142:24
140:5 141:4	156:13,19,23	121:24 165:3	47:9 48:12	143:22 144:5
149:10,15,17	157:7 158:6,10	188:9 213:9	49:16 50:5,13	145:12,22
249:23 250:7	159:12 180:12	215:2 280:3	51:17 52:5,15	148:1,11,20
250:18 251:23	180:13 181:23	watching 118:19	53:1,12 54:4	149:4,21
252:4,22,23	217:10 247:5	way 29:1,23	56:2,5,10,12	150:23 151:14
253:10,18,19	264:9 268:1	32:19 38:5	56:17,22 57:2	151:21 152:5
254:6,21 255:2	274:8,23 277:7	40:8 97:18	57:4,11,15,16	152:15 154:2
255:3,7 272:10	281:1 282:16	98:24 102:2	59:12 60:16,18	154:13,18,22
273:12,23	284:11,16	118:21 154:2	62:6,20 64:5	156:12,17,22
274:14,21	285:7,14,21,24	183:5 187:24	65:12,20 66:5	157:5,6 158:5
Wade's 250:2	Walker's 12:7	188:14 264:18	66:14,19 67:2	160:11 178:18
254:2,3	55:11 89:5	ways 47:7,13,15	69:23 70:9	178:21 194:16
wait 135:14	want 8:11 36:1	47:20,21	71:16,19,21,23	195:17 198:7
215:12 277:1	57:9 75:7	we'll 6:1 99:12	75:6 76:3	198:20 209:3
waived 84:3	82:13 90:19	125:10 134:1	77:13 78:3,21	210:9 211:12
85:20,24	99:10 121:20	135:10,14,21	80:10 81:4,21	217:2,7,15,23
121:23	130:12 131:4	we're 104:18	82:11,17 83:11	226:5 228:22
<b>Walker</b> 1:12 4:3	135:1 137:6,13	212:13 214:8	86:8 87:4 88:3	229:8,11,22
4:13 5:3,9,13	140:1 144:11	216:19,24	88:10 89:24	230:1,15
5:14 17:1,15	144:23 151:1	270:1	91:4 92:5 94:6	234:18 238:19

				Page 322
220.24.241.0	24.2 12 17	115.1 / 116.0	102.10 11 12	252.6 254.17
239:24 241:8 245:11,14	24:3,13,17 26:18 27:2,5	115:1,4 116:9 117:1,21	193:10,11,12 193:13,23	253:6 254:17 255:18 256:13
· ·	27:13 30:2,7	117:1,21	193:13,23	
254:11,15 269:14 278:2	,	118:10,17	195:18 196:6	256:16,21
	30:22 31:1,17	, ,		257:13,24
278:15,18	32:10 33:16	120:9 121:17	196:16,20	258:6,9,16
279:11 282:3,9	35:6,9,20 37:6	123:12,21	197:8,18 198:8	259:3,10,21
282:16,18,19	38:12,24 39:14	124:6 126:2	198:21 199:12	260:19,21
whatsoever	40:5,14 41:2	130:17 133:10	199:22 200:21	261:10 262:9
225:4 226:18	42:14,19 45:7	136:9 138:15	204:6,18,23	263:3,13,15
265:19	45:8 46:8,14	138:17 139:7	205:2,7,11,15	265:13 266:1,8
white 235:6,13	46:18,23 47:2	139:16 140:7	206:2,12,14,20	267:5 268:3
Wiedenhaupt	47:5,20 48:1,4	140:18,22	207:6,18 208:3	269:20,21,22
1:18 285:4	48:11 49:12,21	141:2,11,15,18	208:6,8,13,13	270:12,20,20
286:15	50:8,9,10,11	142:2,11,14,16	208:14 209:4,7	271:10 272:9
WILLIAM 3:2	50:14 51:1,8	143:3,5,7,10	209:7,9,16	273:8,18 274:6
william.holme	51:19,21 52:1	143:14 145:9	210:2,3,10,16	274:17 278:20
3:4	52:2,7,11,22	145:18 147:23	211:16,24	279:12 280:1
Willie 155:9	53:16 55:18,24	148:9,17 149:1	215:15,16	280:11 281:3
157:15 197:5	56:3 59:8 61:2	149:12 150:20	217:16,24	281:17 282:3
198:13 200:6	61:6,21 62:14	151:13,19	219:19 220:6	282:13 285:7
218:4 223:6	63:24 65:6,18	153:15,22	221:8,18 222:1	285:14,21,23
225:6 226:19	65:22 66:2,22	156:8 157:23	223:15,22	286:4
228:3,10,11,19	69:18 70:6	157:24 158:16	224:4 225:16	witness's 229:24
229:7 235:14	71:10 75:10,11	158:17,20,21	225:23 226:7	270:24
235:24 243:3	75:16,20 77:8	159:1 161:10	226:19 227:15	witnessed 81:5
243:17,24	77:20,23 78:2	162:20 163:2	227:22 228:13	86:15 115:3
246:1 248:21	78:18 79:23	163:24 166:4,8	228:24 229:12	120:4
250:13,22	80:16,19 81:2	166:15,22,24	230:2,16	witnesses 10:7
251:7,9 252:2	81:10 82:8	167:24 168:14	231:10 232:6	10:11 13:8
254:23 255:5	83:8,24 86:4	169:8,21,23	232:20 233:4,6	40:1,6 99:7,15
257:19 258:3	86:22 89:22	170:13 171:1	233:16,20	105:2 118:4,12
259:15,24	90:24 91:22	171:14 172:5	234:6 236:4,6	130:14,24
260:6 263:6	93:3,10,13,17	172:19 173:3,9	237:9,12,23	138:20 155:15
264:13 265:21	93:19,23 94:8	174:1,9,12,14	238:4,20 239:7	155:23 164:18
266:19	94:14 95:8	175:5,7,17,23	239:9 240:1	164:20,20,23
wished 10:8	96:9,12 97:12	176:2,7,15	241:6,9 242:6	166:1 168:7,19
withdraw	99:19 100:18	177:5,11,17	242:7,22 243:8	170:21 171:6
113:10,11	101:22 102:12	178:22 180:14	244:15,17	171:11,22
withheld 191:23	103:14 104:2	180:15,16	245:13,16	172:12 173:17
192:7	105:4,16	182:4 183:3,6	246:5,7,19	175:9 178:11
witness 4:2 5:1	107:13 108:10	183:9,12	247:10 248:1	184:2 258:14
10:19,24 11:1	109:9 110:3,21	184:15 185:4	248:13,16	258:20,24
11:6 12:8	111:17 112:2,9	185:19 187:9	249:1,10,17,22	witnesses' 33:3
14:14 15:21	112:11,14	188:3 189:4	251:2,17,19	Wojcik 1:7
17:4 23:14,20	114:2,5,18,20	192:3,15	252:12,14	224:18 225:6
				l

				rage 323
225, 12, 12, 22	247.6266.10	100.2.124.0	000201417 20	226.9
225:12,12,20	247:6 266:10	109:3 134:8	0003014 17:20	236:8
226:4,9,12	269:23 271:1	148:10,18	00152 135:24	<b>101</b> 7:24
264:20 284:7	276:16 278:4	149:2 186:14	001604 88:6	<b>125</b> 4:17
wondering	work-product	210:20,24	<b>003040</b> 17:20	<b>13</b> 21:2 36:17
187:14	167:8 181:18	211:4,9	<b>007762</b> 129:24	37:9 40:11,22
word 21:21	workday 215:1	wrong 36:24	<b>01</b> 159:24	41:17,18 42:4
25:18,23 28:7	worked 14:2,5	wrongful 261:5	<b>010</b> 116:4	43:18 48:20,21
37:8,8 44:7	14:10,17,20,23	261:16	<b>02</b> 21:2 36:17	53:6 54:15
45:12 53:21	15:2,3,7,13	wrote 8:12 49:7	41:17,19 42:4	92:9 116:4
62:18 72:12,17	126:11 222:3	277:12,21	43:18 48:20,21	124:12,15
73:20 74:22	250:13		63:1 82:23	268:23 269:7
84:5 87:22	working 12:15	X	83:16,20 84:19	279:8
95:24 98:9	15:4 167:1	<b>X</b> 4:1,11 154:6	91:15 92:21,23	<b>13:50</b> 68:24
99:3,4,6	179:21 187:1	•	96:6,15 97:7	<b>130</b> 4:18
109:18 111:14	187:15 188:4	Y	103:7 104:7,14	<b>136</b> 4:19
112:5 121:10	263:7	Y 154:6	108:8 110:8	<b>13th</b> 94:11
122:4,7 137:3	<b>world</b> 41:6	yeah 31:4 48:16	115:21,24	268:21
185:8	94:19	56:21 57:13,14	116:4,4,5	<b>14</b> 1:19 284:13
words 19:19	worries 114:15	58:9 60:11	117:8 124:15	285:6
36:22 58:17	123:7	78:15 87:19,19	268:23 269:7	<b>14:20</b> 83:22
61:8 64:16	worry 161:22	87:19 106:9,12	274:23	<b>144</b> 4:20
90:9 96:17	wouldn't 16:12	130:9 131:22	<b>03</b> 160:1	<b>153</b> 137:9 139:5
97:22 101:8	18:22 137:2	132:11 134:4	<b>040</b> 116:5	<b>154</b> 139:5
103:6 104:5,13	161:22,23	158:15 168:4	084-003582	<b>156</b> 4:21
110:5,10,15	185:11,23	170:17 178:8	286:18	<b>157</b> 135:24
111:6,7,11	205:9 233:10	187:12 188:13		<b>159</b> 4:5
115:11 123:17	239:3 248:10	189:2 199:3	1	<b>16</b> 87:6 91:15
123:18 124:4	write 38:5,6	207:19 209:19	<b>1</b> 4:14 16:23	96:6,15,24
137:4,23	49:2 52:7	211:13 212:20	17:2 18:1 25:2	97:7 99:16
140:16 269:11	108:2 183:6,7	213:9,11,16,18	25:15 41:21,21	110:8 116:5
273:23 274:22	186:20 204:12	215:24 232:9	45:7,8 46:23	272:3 274:4,23
277:20	writing 19:7,9	255:22 261:13	72:11,24 73:5	<b>161</b> 286:15
work 14:7 23:8	19:21 21:16	263:18 265:16	73:13,15,19	<b>163</b> 125:13
23:10 26:6,11	25:7,8 27:22	272:15,24	76:6,23 77:5	<b>16th</b> 110:18
31:13 44:8	28:1,3 58:15	years 48:6	78:5 82:13,15	149:16,18
51:10 52:19	58:18 60:1	177:20 190:22	83:18 93:3,19	273:13 274:8
99:23 109:19	75:22 78:1	202:1 277:19	97:24 107:18	<b>17</b> 4:14
109:21 112:23	82:14 89:10,11	you-all 133:14	108:3 123:21	<b>1750</b> 2:19
147:12,17	99:6 128:9,11		203:2 268:1,8	1730 2.19 17th 209:9
169:20,21	129:3,17 137:2	Z	<b>1:30</b> 106:13	<b>18</b> 102:24
170:2,4,6,10	207:7 264:17	<b>zoom</b> 6:10,14	<b>1:50</b> 135:10	18th 103:7
177:24 212:5	written 39:16,19	82:16 268:2	<b>10:00</b> 276:15	<b>19-</b> 9:3 157:16
212:17 221:22	39:21 47:18	269:1 281:2	<b>10:30</b> 216:12	<b>1990</b> 7:22 28:20
231:4,12	77:1 83:6		<b>10:32</b> 1:19	29:6 78:12
231.4,12	88:20 102:7	0	100 5:22 99:5	79:10 122:2,5
232.10 230.0	00.20 102.7		= 3 0 0 . = 2 )	17.10 122.2,3

				rage 324
155:9 157:17	101:2,7 102:19	103:8 131:8	312.243.5900	
155:9 157:17 1994 9:3	101:2,7 102:19	225:1	2:4	5
<b>1994</b> 9.3 <b>1997</b> 9:9	102.24 103.24	223.1	312.341.9646	<b>5</b> 4:4,18 20:11
1997 9.9 1st 157:2	110:18 121:2	3	2:15	44:14,16,18
181 137.2	124:12 125:4	<b>3</b> 4:16 25:18	312.361.8851	68:22 74:8
2	126:22 129:24	31:21 54:11	286:17	79:15 118:10
<b>2</b> 4:15 25:16	154:21 157:2	88:4,8 96:9,12	312.603.5440	118:20 119:15
30:12 34:2	193:3,17 235:6	100:3,5,5	3:4	127:1 129:21
36:14 37:10	235:18,23	107:2,2,6,18	312.929.4308	130:3 135:15
41:10 42:1,6,6	270:16 272:3	107:18 108:6,6	2:20	135:17,19
61:2,6 66:7,12	273:13 274:4,8	108:7 116:9	312.982.0900	154:17,18,19
66:20 67:12	274:11 279:8	139:4	2:9	224:9
83:18 93:10,13	279:22 281:15	<b>3.8</b> 189:22	<b>333</b> 102:16	<b>5/112-6</b> 54:22
93:17 95:22	<b>2003</b> 9:22	<b>3:00</b> 214:6	<b>334</b> 2:14	<b>5:00</b> 212:18
98:22 99:1,3	146:21 159:22	<b>30</b> 212:14 213:3	3rd 2:3	214:11,19
99:13 103:22	<b>2024</b> 1:19	214:9,10		215:10,12 <b>50</b> 216:19
105:16 107:6	284:13,19	30-minute	4	<b>50</b> 216:19 <b>500</b> 3:3
107:18 108:7	285:6 286:6	214:10	4 4:17 25:3,19	<b>5200</b> 2:8
108:15 115:15	<b>20668</b> 84:2	<b>30(b)(6)</b> 193:9	33:11 58:5	<b>5200</b> 2:8 <b>53</b> 2:13,19
128:18 137:8	121:19	193:11 194:17	74:8 100:8	<b>55</b> 21:2 116:4
139:4	<b>20718</b> 84:2	196:18	125:10,15	5th 286:6
<b>2-</b> 48:5	121:19	<b>3014</b> 19:16	281:1,7	3th 200.0
<b>2:00</b> 106:13	<b>20th</b> 106:23	<b>3015</b> 41:10 42:1	<b>4:15</b> 212:9,13	6
134:7	108:8,20	52:16 268:17	213:3	<b>6</b> 4:19 44:14,16
<b>20</b> 1:5 102:19	<b>21</b> 83:20 122:2	268:17	<b>4:30</b> 214:6,8,18	44:18 45:1
103:24 104:7	<b>21st</b> 79:10 84:19	<b>3016</b> 54:11	215:11 216:18	135:14,21,22
104:14 107:6	155:9 157:16	57:22	217:4	136:2 154:17
115:21 117:8	<b>22</b> 48:6 115:24	<b>3017</b> 58:6	<b>4:40</b> 216:19	158:11
215:4 216:17	177:20 190:22	<b>3018</b> 20:11,15	<b>4:45</b> 217:5	<b>60601</b> 286:16
274:11 284:5	202:1	21:9 41:16	<b>4:48</b> 283:2	<b>60602</b> 3:3
<b>20-minute</b> 213:6	<b>24th</b> 8:5	42:10	<b>4:50</b> 277:2,2,3	<b>60604</b> 2:14,20
216:1,9,20	<b>25</b> 277:2	<b>3020</b> 60:4 82:19	<b>40</b> 70:12	<b>60606</b> 2:9
<b>2000-</b> 9:22	<b>26</b> 23:10 103:8	83:1	<b>42</b> 71:15,17,21	<b>60607</b> 2:4
<b>2001</b> 9:21 29:2	181:18 182:23	<b>3022</b> 83:13	<b>425</b> 144:1	<b>66</b> 4:15
146:20 159:22	208:24 231:4	<b>3024</b> 96:5 272:1	<b>426</b> 144:1	
208:5	238:1 247:6	272:5	<b>44</b> 79:16	7
<b>2002</b> 8:5 12:17	<b>26(a)(2)</b> 180:14	<b>3026</b> 92:8	<b>45</b> 75:8,8 212:12	7 4:20 82:19
14:18,21,24	181:23 187:7	<b>3028</b> 87:7 97:16	212:14 213:4	92:21 143:23
15:4,7,14 29:1	188:2 189:1	<b>3030</b> 106:20	45-minute	144:3,16 235:2
29:6 40:11,22	193:9 194:17	274:10	212:10	<b>7:00</b> 213:2
53:6 54:15	196:18 205:24	<b>3033</b> 102:18	<b>46</b> 66:21 76:5	<b>725</b> 54:22
60:5 63:14	206:19 207:17	<b>3037</b> 123:20	<b>474</b> 157:2,3	<b>7762</b> 154:21
68:24 87:6	233:18 237:10	3039 121:1	<b>4786</b> 1:5 284:5	<b>7767</b> 154:21
92:19 94:12	248:14 261:8	<b>3050</b> 286:16	<b>489</b> 157:3	<b>7768</b> 130:1
96:24 99:16	<b>26th</b> 6:9 55:13	<b>311</b> 2:3,8		
	<u> </u>	I	1	ı

				Page 325
8				
<b>8</b> 4:21 121:2				
125:4 126:22				
144:24 145:24				
154:16 156:18				
156:20 157:4,5				
157:11 158:12				
<b>8:00</b> 276:17				
<b>88</b> 4:16				
8th 125:7 279:21				
281:15				
9				
9 60:5 63:1				
68:24 82:23				
83:13,16 101:2				
101:7 116:4				
129:23 144:24				
157:4				
<b>9th</b> 63:14 154:20				
	•	•	•	